```
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
1
2
                    DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
3
            DIRECTOR'S HEARING ON PROPOSED REFUSE RATES
4
                   2017 REFUSE RATE APPLICATION
5
 6
7
                            CITY HALL
8
            1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE, ROOM 400
9
                     SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102
10
11
12
                      Wednesday, May 3, 2017
13
                             Volume 7
14
                        (Pages 730 - 808)
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
    ATKINSON-BAKER, INC.
    COURT REPORTERS
    (800) 288-3376
    www.depo.com
23
24
    REPORTED BY: MAXIMILLIAN A. CONTRERAS, CSR NO. 13876
25
   FILE NO.: AB0285E
```

APPEARANCES FOR DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS:	
	¹ Wednesday, May 3, 2017 8:07 a.m.
Mohammed Nuru, Director	2 PROCEEDINGS
Julia Dawson, Deputy Director of Finance and Administration	3 DIRECTOR NURU: All right. If everyone would
Jose Pujol	take their seats, I'll go ahead and call this hearing to
City Hall, Room 348 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place	5 order.
San Francisco, CA 94102	6 Good morning, everyone. I am Mohammed Nuru,
FOR DEPARTMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENT:	7 Director of the Department of Public Works, City and
Robert Haley, Zero Waste Program Manager	Director of the Department of Fabric Works, city and
Kevin Drew, Residential Zero Waste Coordinator 1455 Market Street, Suite 1200	Country of Sun Francisco. This is the sixth and find
San Francisco, CA 94103	day of the birector's flearing of the Recology's
FOR OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY:	application for an increase of residential collection
Manu Pradhan, Deputy City Attorney	and disposal rates.
Thomas Bruen, Of Counsel City Hall, Room 234	12 Today is Wednesday, May 3rd. The agenda for
FOR THE RATEPAYERS:	today is on the table. As in every hearing, we will
Rosie Dilger, Ratepayer Advocate	reserve the last period for public comment. I intend to
FOR THE APPLICANT: Michael J. Baker. Esg.	close the record at the end of today's hearing; so if
Carolyn Pearce, Esq.	you have anything to add to the proceedings, I encourag
Arnold & Porter Kate Scholer LLP Three Embarcadero Center	you to take advantage of this last opportunity. You may
10th Floor	also convey your comments to the Ratepayer Advocate.
San Francisco, CA 94111	Before we start the hearing, I would like the
John Porter	before we start the fleating, I would like the
Dan Negron MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC:	Tubile Works clerk to make all amounterment concerning
Maximilienne Ewalt	the Department's efforts to comply with Title VI of the
David Pilpel Maurice Bizzarri	22 Civil Right Act and ask your cooperation with a public
Tracy Thompson	²³ participation survey.
	Mr. Pujol, would you proceed with your
	²⁵ announcement.
Page 731	Page 73
INDEX	MR. PUJOL: Title VI of the Civil Rights Act
PAGE	That is a second training and the second training and an articular and an articular and an articular and an a
WITNESS: Kevin Drew EXAMINATION BY MR. HALEY	requires equal and equitable decess to sun i rancisco s
PRESENTATION BY PUBLIC WORKS	Tubile World program delivities and services. To
	document that the Department is in compliance with the
WITNESS: Dan Negron EXAMINATION BY MS. DAWSON	5 Title VI, we ask that everyone attending and
EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER 756	6 participating in today's hearing complete a public
EXAMINATION BY MS. PEARCE	⁷ participation survey. However, this survey is optional
	8 and completing it is not required for participation.
WITNESS: John Porter EXAMINATION BY MR. BAKER 764	⁹ The data you provide will be analyzed and be used to
EXAMINATION BY MR. HALEY 776	ensure residents and stakeholders in the community are
EXAMINATION BY MS. DAWSON	involved in the refuse rate hearing process. The
PUBLIC COMMENT BY MAXIMILIENNE EWALT 792	information will not be used for any other purposes.
	initination will not be able for any other purposes.
1 00220 001 1211 01 071120 121 22111111111111111 750	
PUBLIC COMMENT BY MAURICE BIZZARRI 802	You will find the survey on the sign-in table. Please
PUBLIC COMMENT BY MAURICE BIZZARRI	You will find the survey on the sign-in table. Please complete survey forms in the collection box.
PUBLIC COMMENT BY MAURICE BIZZARRI	You will find the survey on the sign-in table. Please complete survey forms in the collection box. Thank you.
PUBLIC COMMENT BY MAURICE BIZZARRI	You will find the survey on the sign-in table. Please complete survey forms in the collection box. Thank you. DIRECTOR NURU: Thank you, Mr. Pujol.
PUBLIC COMMENT BY MAURICE BIZZARRI	You will find the survey on the sign-in table. Please complete survey forms in the collection box. Thank you. DIRECTOR NURU: Thank you, Mr. Pujol. Okay. Our meeting is being transcribed; so I
PUBLIC COMMENT BY MAURICE BIZZARRI	You will find the survey on the sign-in table. Please complete survey forms in the collection box. Thank you. DIRECTOR NURU: Thank you, Mr. Pujol. Okay. Our meeting is being transcribed; so I ask everyone who wants to speak to come forward and tal
PUBLIC COMMENT BY MAURICE BIZZARRI	You will find the survey on the sign-in table. Please complete survey forms in the collection box. Thank you. DIRECTOR NURU: Thank you, Mr. Pujol. Okay. Our meeting is being transcribed; so I
PUBLIC COMMENT BY MAURICE BIZZARRI	You will find the survey on the sign-in table. Please complete survey forms in the collection box. Thank you. DIRECTOR NURU: Thank you, Mr. Pujol. Okay. Our meeting is being transcribed; so I ask everyone who wants to speak to come forward and tal
PUBLIC COMMENT BY MAURICE BIZZARRI	You will find the survey on the sign-in table. Please complete survey forms in the collection box. Thank you. DIRECTOR NURU: Thank you, Mr. Pujol. Okay. Our meeting is being transcribed; so I ask everyone who wants to speak to come forward and tall clearly into the microphone so that we can capture your testimony.
PUBLIC COMMENT BY MAURICE BIZZARRI	You will find the survey on the sign-in table. Please complete survey forms in the collection box. Thank you. DIRECTOR NURU: Thank you, Mr. Pujol. Okay. Our meeting is being transcribed; so I ask everyone who wants to speak to come forward and tal clearly into the microphone so that we can capture your testimony. Today we will start with testimony from the
PUBLIC COMMENT BY MAURICE BIZZARRI	You will find the survey on the sign-in table. Please complete survey forms in the collection box. Thank you. DIRECTOR NURU: Thank you, Mr. Pujol. Okay. Our meeting is being transcribed; so I ask everyone who wants to speak to come forward and tal clearly into the microphone so that we can capture your testimony. Today we will start with testimony from the Department of the Environment followed by testimony from
PUBLIC COMMENT BY MAURICE BIZZARRI	You will find the survey on the sign-in table. Please complete survey forms in the collection box. Thank you. DIRECTOR NURU: Thank you, Mr. Pujol. Okay. Our meeting is being transcribed; so I ask everyone who wants to speak to come forward and tal clearly into the microphone so that we can capture your testimony. Today we will start with testimony from the Department of the Environment followed by testimony from Public Works. Recology and the Ratepayer Advocate will
PUBLIC COMMENT BY MAURICE BIZZARRI	You will find the survey on the sign-in table. Please complete survey forms in the collection box. Thank you. DIRECTOR NURU: Thank you, Mr. Pujol. Okay. Our meeting is being transcribed; so I ask everyone who wants to speak to come forward and tal clearly into the microphone so that we can capture your testimony. Today we will start with testimony from the Department of the Environment followed by testimony from Public Works. Recology and the Ratepayer Advocate will have the opportunity to cross-examine City staff. We
PUBLIC COMMENT BY MAURICE BIZZARRI	You will find the survey on the sign-in table. Please complete survey forms in the collection box. Thank you. DIRECTOR NURU: Thank you, Mr. Pujol. Okay. Our meeting is being transcribed; so I ask everyone who wants to speak to come forward and tal clearly into the microphone so that we can capture your testimony. Today we will start with testimony from the Department of the Environment followed by testimony from Public Works. Recology and the Ratepayer Advocate will

1	witnesses as necessary. Recology will be offered the	1	effective.
2	opportunity provide additional testimony and the	2	Q. And do many San Francisco buildings currently
3	Ratepayer Advocate will present a final summary on its	3	have zero-waste facilitators?
4	outreach efforts and a summary of the public concerns	4	A. Sadly, no. We're just beginning to see that
5	for the record.	5	industry take hold.
6	Department of the Environment, are you ready	6	Q. So Recology proposed 1% apartment migration.
7	to proceed?	7	Given these and other considerations and all
8	MR. HALEY: We are.	8	your experience with this sector, what percent migration
9	DIRECTOR NURU: Mr. Haley.	9	is reasonable?
10	MR. HALEY: I'd like to call Kevin Drew up,	10	A. I think 3/4ths of a percent is a more
11	please.	11	reasonable number to project.
12	We may need to bring him in from outside.	12	MR. HALEY: That's all the questions I have.
13	(Mr. Drew enters the hearing room.)	13	DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. Mr. Baker, do you wish
14	DIRECTOR NURU: Come to the stand, sir.	14	to cross-examine the witness
15	KEVIN DREW,	15	MR. BAKER: It'll disappoint Mr. Drew, but I
16	having previously been duly sworn,	16	have no questions.
17	was examined and testified as follows:	17	DIRECTOR NURU: at this time?
18	THE WITNESS: Good morning.	18	THE WITNESS: Duly noted.
19	MR. HALEY: Good morning.	19	DIRECTOR NURU: Ms. Dilger, do you wish to
20	EXAMINATION	20	cross-examine the witness?
21	BY MR. HALEY:	21	
22	Q. What's your position at the San Francisco	22	(Ms. Dilger shakes her head "no.")
23	Department of the Environment?		DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. We'll proceed with the
24	A. I am a Residential Zero Waste Senior	23	Public Works presentation. Ms. Dawson?
25	Coordinator.	24	PRESENTATION BY PUBLIC WORKS
23	Cool dillator.	25	MS. DAWSON: Thank you, Mr. Nuru.
	Page 735		Page 737
1	Q. About how many years have you been working	1	I'm going to come down here so that can I use
2	with residences and apartment buildings on zero waste?	2	the equipment here to present a few items.
3	A. Over thirty.	3	I'm going to first start some testimony that
4	Q. Is it possible that some of the 348 largest	4	relates to the proposed residential refuse rates, and
5	apartment buildings Recology discussed at the last	5	then I'm going to ask Recology to come up and talk about
6	hearing are actually commercial accounts?	6	BIR Bulky Item Recycling and Abandoned Materials
7	A. Yes.	7	Collection programs.
8	Q. In your experience, is it possible to go from	8	So I'm going go ahead and start with the
9	10% to 65% or even 75% landfill diversion at a large	9	
10	apartment building in one effort?	10	testimony or presentations related to the rates. And as
11	A. No, not in one effort.	11	such, I have an exhibit to introduce.
12	•	12	DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. I'm being advised that
13	Q. Is it possible to increase diversion of a	13	you probably should be sworn in since you're testifying.
14	large building from, say, 50% to 65% or 75%?	14	JULIA DAWSON,
	A. Yes, that's possible.		having first been duly sworn,
15	Q. And that takes a bit of work?	15	was examined and testified as follows:
16	A. Yes. Well, all of it takes quite a bit of	16	MS. DAWSON: Okay. So I'd like to continue
17	work.	17	this with a conversation about the distribution of the
18	Q. So in addition to changing chutes and working	18	effective rate increases that cost different customer
19	with building management as was discussed last week,	19	classes. So in a prior Director's hearing we introduced
20	would it typically also require engaging a zero-waste	20	Exhibit 88, and Mr. Garth Schultz testified about the
21	facilitator?	21	number of customer service mixes that would actually
22	And for those who don't know what that is,	22	experience a decrease in their monthly service charge,
23	it's an organization that helps educate tenants and	23	which is primarily as a result of the reduced charge for
24	valet and/or sort material on-site.	24	trash, from \$25.90 to \$10.44 per 32 gallons.
25	A. Yes, that kind of work has been very	25	So for customers with 96-gallon trash service,
	Page 736		Page 738

1

11

12

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 I understand that they're going to be transitioned to 2 64 gallons of service; so a portion of their rate 3 reduction is due to a decrease in actual service levels. 4 But for those customers to who currently have a 5 64-gallon trash service, they would see a reduction 6 merely as a result of the structural change in the rates 7 and not as a result of any change in their service 8 levels. So while the City understands that Recology's 9 proposed rate structure is intended to move closer to 10 the full cost of service, we believe that we need to mitigate the unintended consequence of high-volume 11 12 trash generators receiving a reduction in their 13 monthly service charge without any change in behavior. 14

So imposing a premium rate on trash service above 32 gallons per dwelling unit would continue to provide an incentive for customers to downsize their trash service and migrate to lower-cost recycling and composting services. A tiered rate structure where additional units of service cost more, which is pretty common in water or electricity rates, is a rate structure that you see in a lot of San Francisco services.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PRADHAN: You've been referring to a document that you distributed. Is that an existing

or adding routes. So the City looked at the impact of 2 charging a \$10 dollar premium on every 32 gallons of 3 trash service above the first 32 gallons per dwelling 4 unit. And based on customer data from Recology, we 5 estimate that the surcharge would about \$850,000 dollars 6 a year, which takes into account potential migration to 7 lower service levels. So when this number is factored 8 into the revenue requirement, it reduces the rate 9 increase by a little less than 0.5% in the first year. 10 So while this overall impact on rates isn't substantial, the City believes that this premium charge is more consistent with its overall policy goals of promoting 13 zero waste.

> So when we talked last week, we also talked about the roughly 24,000 customers who are low-quantity generators who already are at minimum service levels and would experience an above-average rate increase, 40% in the first year. So Recology had proposed to mitigate that impact with a \$5 dollar transition credit in the first year, reducing their effective increase to about 20%. And I agree with Recology's assessment that the customers have benefitted in the past from relatively low charges for recycling and composting services as well as from a low fixed charge that does not reflect the company's cost structure.

Page 739 Page 741

exhibit or should we mark that as a new exhibit, 97?

MS. DAWSON: No, this is a new exhibit. Sorry, you were I think a little distracted when I started and moved in. So this is a new exhibit.

MR. PRADHAN: Okay. This will be admitted as Exhibit 97 then.

> (Exhibit 97, "Sample Changes in Single Family Residential Monthly Service Charges [City]," was admitted into evidence.)

MS. DAWSON: Okay. So what I was referring to was kind of a more detailed document on the distribution of rates that we did for both the Unit-1 customers and the U-2 through -5 for residential rates in the last rate process.

So what this exhibit is going to run through is basically what the typical residential customer looks like with the current default and with what we're proposing, and then how it affects across the different rate years for both the average customer, the small--quantity generator customer, and the large-volume trash customer, and I'll get to that in a second.

So, consistent with the approach of providing higher costs for incremental service, because the idea is every incremental service does actually potentially lead to higher costs whether it's expanding facilities

Nevertheless, given the magnitude of the rate increase that they face, I suggest that we offer this \$5 dollar transition credit for two years, which is consistent with the time frame that Recology plans to roll out the new default service to all customers. And at that time, I agree it is appropriate for the 20-gallon customers to be brought into line with other residential customers. Since the existing 20-gallon customers keep their bins, as has been stated in prior hearings, they do receive an extra four gallons of capacity at no extra charge.

So the exhibit that I introduced before kind of shows exactly how that would play out. The top table -- and I apologize for the small type; that's why we had handouts so that it was easier for people to see -- were calling the typical residential customer with 32 gallons of service for each element of the waste stream. So these customers will be transitioned, as Recology's described, over a two-year rollout period to new default service of 16 trash, 64 recycling, and 32 compost.

Given the proposed rate structure, the resulting increase will be the same whether they keep their 32/32/32 current service or they accept the new default service level. The table here has been expanded

Page 740

1.5

2.5

to show the impact that the scheduled increase is in years two through four as various surplus revenues have been applied and are then exhausted. So overall -- and before any COLA increases, which would be assessed on top of that -- the typical residential customer will see a 22% rate increase over four years from roughly \$35 dollars a month to \$43 a month. So in actual dollars terms, those customers start at roughly \$35 dollars, go up to \$40-\$42, and eventually end up with about a 7% overall increase at the end of the projected four-year period.

So the second category I want to talk about are the small-quantity generators who've already reduced their trash service. So with the with the \$5 dollar credit, they will receive an increase of 20% in the first year. But there is a 5% increase in the second year as the Zero Waste Incentive funds are exhausted after their application, and another increase in the third year when the credit is discontinued. So overall, these customers will receive a 47% increase over four years from \$25 a month to \$37 a month -- again, before COLA is applied.

But by continuing the credit for an additional year, it mitigates that big jump in year two when both the \$5 dollar credit and the application of surplus

this proposal.

MR. PRADHAN: So this next document is called "2017 Rate Calculation Impact of Trash Premium," one page, and we will admit it as Exhibit 98.

(Exhibit 98, "2017 Rate Calculation Impact of Trash Premium [City]," was admitted into evidence.)

MS. DAWSON: Thanks.

So I'm just going to briefly kind of walk through this exhibit, which shows the effect of adding revenues from potential trash premium charge and continuing the \$5 dollar transition credit for a second year. So the top portion of the exhibit shows the Recology proposal including post-filing changes. The second table at the bottom shows what the new proposed adjustments would like look in terms of increases to customers over the four-year period, and it also shows the assumptions on both the additional revenues that would come in as a result of the proposal and shows the way in which the credit changes the rate adjustments.

So when the \$850,000 dollars from the premium charge is added to the revenues, the required rate increase declines by 4/10ths of a percent. I know that the amount generated from the premium over the four-year period, it just about offsets the value of the credit

Page 743

Page 745

revenues would essentially be sunsetting at the same time. And this approach kind of reflects a lot of what we've heard in public comment, which is to the extent to which the City can, the public has asked us to implement the rate increase over time in a slower manner when that's possible; and so this is what we're trying to achieve here with these small-quantity generator customers.

Okay. So the third category are what I've been describing as the large-volume trash customers. So this would be a single-family home with 64 gallons of trash service and roughly -- this exhibit showing 32 gallons of recycling and composting. So even with a \$10 dollar high-volume premium charge, these customers would experience a less-than-1% increase in their rates in the first year and they would also be subject to the same incremental rate increases in years two through four as other customers. So over the four-year period, their rates increased about 5% from a monthly charge of \$61 to \$64. But what is also true for these customers is they can further mitigate their rate impact by downsizing their trash and increasing their other services, either recycling or composting.

So now I'd like to introduce a second exhibit which shows kind of the financial assumptions behind

offered in the first two years; so \$3.4 million in revenue versus \$3.5 million in credits, because the premium charge would continue throughout the period whereas the credit is only offered for two years.

And that's all I have on the rates.

DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. We have some Bulky Item and Abandoned Material stuff.

MS. DAWSON: So at this time I'd like to askDan Negron to come up.

DAN NEGRON,
having previously been duly sworn,
was examined and testified as follow

was examined and testified as follows:
THE WITNESS: Good morning.

Mr. Negron, I'm going to go ahead and introduce one final exhibit from me which shows the Abandoned Material program -- Abandoned Waste program calls. It's a little bit of a flavor of what we saw the last time, but there's a point in particular I want to make people aware of before I start asking Mr. Negron some questions.

MS. DAWSON: Before I have guestions of

MR. PRADHAN: So this is a three-page document titled "Map of Abandoned Waste Service Orders," and we will admit it as Exhibit 99.

(Exhibit 99, "Map of Abandoned Waste Service

Page 744

Orders [City]," was admitted into evidence.)
MS. DAWSON: Okay. So I'm actually going to
show these maps in a slightly different order than what
they were printed in, so I apologize for that. There's
essentially three different views of the Abandoned
Materials Collection program. One is service orders
received during the operating shift, and I'm going to
put that one up now.

So we talked a little bit and looked in the last hearing about call volumes between the Abandoned Materials Collection program and the Bulky Item Recycling program. But I'm focused in this particular time on Abandoned Material because it doesn't look the same as the Bulky Item Collection and there are a variety of reasons for that which I'm going to have Mr. Negron talk to in a few minutes. But before we go there, I just want us to understand kind of what the Abandoned Materials Collection call volumes generally look like.

So this is during the day when Recology's crews are going out and they're responding to calls for service, essentially both -- these are the calls that are coming in from 8 o'clock on; so it doesn't reflect any calls that Recology might have gotten after 4:30 when they stop accepting calls and route those calls for

Q. So in our previous Director's hearing,
Recology proposed to combine the Bulky Item Recycling
program and the Abandoned Materials Collection program.
Can you please describe why Recology believes this is a
more efficient way to provide service for both programs?
And can you explain the differences between the ways
that the Bulky Item Recycling program and the Abandoned
Materials Collection program are operated today?

A. Okay, let me answer the second part first.

So today, the Bulky Item Recycling program which has been around for over 20 years, a very successful and highly popular program, has ten crews -- excuse me, five crews, ten drivers who through an appointment system provide service curbside Bulky Item Collection for those customers that for whatever reason can't bring it to the transfer station or don't have the pickup truck to move the materials. The crews do an average of 60 to 70 stops per day per crew and they launch as early as 6:00 in the morning and they'll do a full eight-to-nine-hour day.

On the Abandoned Materials side, the crews -- same amount of crews: ten drivers -- excuse me, five crews, ten drivers, ten vehicles -- they try to manage what Julia has shown here for Mondays which is our most business request day. They launch at 8:00 in the

Page 747

Page 749

response to the next morning. So this is all about what the customer's asking for, not so much about what Recology is actually doing out there on the street. So you can see that there's definitely particular parts of town that received more calls for Abandoned Material Collection, although there are a smattering of calls, generally speaking, around all of San Francisco.

So this is what the call volumes look like that come in between 4:30 and 8 o'clock. And you'll notice that there's a particularly concentrated area, generally speaking, in what we're describing as kind of the northeast corner and kind of down the spine of Mission Street and little bit into the Bayview. But it's predominately focused in that kind of Civic Center/ Tenderloin/Chinatown part of town.

And then this final map, which is the one you see the first page, just shows you the two superimposed together -- gives you a sense of just how intense the demand is for Abandoned Materials Collection, but it is not an evenly-distributed demand; it's a very much focused demand.

So with that, I'm going to go ahead and start asking Mr. Negron to explain a few things.

EXAMINATION

BY MS. DAWSON:

morning and they are basically what we call "dynamic routing." It's whatever comes across their computer system in their trucks, because they're connected directly with 311, and they pretty much chase all these circles all day from 8:00 to 4:30.

Specifically to Abandoned Material, we have what they call a "four-hour service level agreement."

So although in the Bulky Item Recycling, our goal is to take care of the customer within 48 hours by appointment. The Abandoned Material, the requirement is that we service the requests through 311 within four hours any time between 8:00 and 4:30. And so we get a call any time between 4:15 and 4:29 in the late afternoon in that northeast corridor that you see the predominant circles, that's were we head.

We looked at the data together, the Staff and Recology, and I think we're averaging two-and-a-half to close to three hours of overtime as it sits today with that 8:00 to 4:30 requirement.

Q. So what do you think you're going to gain when you combine both of these programs together? How will that kind of help you operate?

A. Good question. So we have learned through -since 2013 we first rolled out Abandoned Material -that the efficiencies that the Bulky Item Recycling

Page 748

2.4

2.2

program brings, which is routing the calls more efficiently because we have an opportunity to bring in all the calls from the previous day or the evening and we are able to assign specific workloads for the crews. They have a clear path where they're going to travel for the day's business. They're able to do -- like I said earlier, 60 to 70 stops versus the Abandoned Material program which, to your point, from 1600 or 1630 to 0800 in the morning, we may -- we'll get an average of calls that the crews can pretty much pick up within an hour or two hours tops, three.

2.0

2.4

2.5

- And then the rest of their day, it's dynamic routing based on calls on-the-fly; so we don't really have a good opportunity to route, which is really the critical component. We see the opportunity to combine and make this more efficient. I know we originally in the rate application had requested for four additional drivers and trucks to support both of these programs, but I think we can mitigate some of that by combining it, giving us an opportunity to route as much as we can the previous day and through the evening. And at the end of the day, we save a little bit of money for the ratepayers. It makes a world of difference.
- Q. Can you describe a little bit about the way that your zone system works today and how you think it

- our zones, Zone 1 would be the entire Sunset and half of
- the Richmond area; so that's a lot of real estate to
- 3 cover for one crew, but we find that the calls are
- fairly -- under the AMC umbrella, the calls are fairly
- $^{5}\,\,$ $\,$ light, so we give them more geography to drive. The
- 6 problem is is that the more geography I give them, the
 7 more efficient they are. We call it "windshield time"
 - in chasing these abandoned materials on-the-fly.
 - Q. So because we have this uneven distribution, we talked about adding an additional crew; so you would have ten in your zones with the combined program, and then one additional crew. Can you speak to what that crew would be doing and why you think that's an important part of the combination of these two programs?
 - A. So as the Staff and us sat down and really dived into the data, there is a significant need for a specific Abandoned Material Collection in that northeast corridor. So without impacting our ability to lose any efficiency, all the gains we have for the AMC/BIR in the ten zones, we would recommend adding an additional crew truck -- two trucks and two drivers, one crew to the northeast corridor -- and we would start them in the later afternoon.
 - I've been speaking to the superintendent at SF Public Works, and we would adjust their times from

Page 751

Page 753

will work once you combine both programs together?

A. So the zone system as it applies to Abandoned Material Collection, we initially split the city into five zones -- at least initially for all the calls that come in through the evening. But then as the calls become dynamic during the day, the crews are moved depending on the supply and demand; and so crews will migrate towards the northeast corner if that's the case versus what we're proposing.

If we were to combine the crews, we in theory would have ten zones, smaller footprint for the crews to handle a fairly -- efficiently would be the Bulky Item Recycling requests, and then we can definitely with the same equipment and the same drivers pick up these dynamic calls on the Abandoned Materials. And we'll have the resources to -- as you can see, if we have some Sunset/Parkside areas that are fairly light in calls, we can move those assets to the predominant areas where the high requests are coming in. Gives us a lot more flexibility.

- Q. And can you speak a little bit to the differential size of those operational zones? I know that they're not all the same size, and there's reason for that.
 - A. Right. So looking at your map, we -- one of

- maybe 12:00 to 8:00, 12:00 to 9:00, or as late as 2:00 to 11:00, and allows the crews on the off-shift to
- really mitigate a lot of those calls that are coming in
- the evening, which gives our core ten zones/ten crews
- 5 the opportunity to deal with the calls from the night
- 6 before in a limited capacity but then go after those AMC
- 7 calls during the day. So it's kind of a partnership
- 8 isolating the northeast corner so it doesn't impact our
 - ability to gain those efficiencies that we talked about
- 10 for AMC/BIR combination.
 - Q. And with the discussed efficiencies we've been talking about, one of your goals is to try to improve on the scheduling of BIR and what you can offer the customers. Can you speak a little bit to that, kind of what your plans are in terms of improving customer response and potentially being able to provide more appointments for when the customers want them?
 - A. Right. So we're sensitive to the BIR in the sense it's been a very successful program. Our goal has always been for BIR within 48 hours, depending on the supply and demand from the customer base. We think if we combine the crews, that we can offer an opportunity to get the BIRs done within 24 hours.

Realistically, most customers are not ready; they normally just schedule the time so that they can

Page 752

1	work on cleaning out their garage and get things at a	THE WITNESS: You're welcome.	
2	predetermined time. But the opportunity will now exist	MS. DILGER: Ms. Dawson, I had a couple	
3	for even same-day BIR. Depending on the calls, we can	questions in regards to the items you introduced.	
4	send crews out there. But at a minimum, I think if they	4 You mentioned a \$10 dollar surcharge for	
5	request it, we can meet a goal of 24 hours on the BIR	additional 32 gallons. Did you consider multi-unit	
6	side.	buildings that maybe share bins and service? Would they	
7	MS. DAWSON: Thank you. That's all the	7 also see \$10 dollar surcharges?	
8	questions that I have.	8 MS. DAWSON: We did look at that. But because	
9	DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. Mr. Baker, do you wish	of the minimum service requirements, this proposal does	
10	to cross-examine the witness?	not end up affecting the multi-unit buildings. And it	
11	MR. BAKER: Just one moment, please.	also has to do with bin size. You can't there are	
12	DIRECTOR NURU: Okay.	kind of awkward sizes of bins, so when you start	
13	MR. BAKER: So I wonder, if I might ask,	dividing the bins by the required service level, you	
14	whether the City has any other witnesses. Because	really don't and it doesn't end up affecting those	
15 16	before we proceed, which we may have a couple of	customers. So really, it ends up being mostly the	
17	questions for Mr. Negron, we may have a couple of	one unit customers that would be directed by this	
18	questions for Ms. Dawson, and then Mr. Porter was going	Surcharge.	
19	to take the stand. I'd like to talk with my client	1 15. Diedert. Die you diso consider decreasing	
20	about what we just heard to decided whether we do have	and arresting arms roos for simum master generators softena	
21	any other questions. So either we could take a break	the two years and maybe mereasing that \$10 donar	
22	now if that would be alright, or if the City has other	 surcharge even more? MS. DAWSON: So the idea was to make it 	
23	witnesses or evidence they want to put in, they could go ahead and do that.	Tiol Britison So the laca was to make it	
24	DIRECTOR NURU: I will ask Ms. Dilger if she	neutral, essentially, between credits and surcharges. We're really looking to change behavior within the	
25	would like to cross-examine the witness, and then we'll	envelope that we have. We really were only looking at	
25	would like to cross-examilie the withess, and their we in	envelope that we have. We really were only looking at	
	Page 755	Page 757	
1	give you that opportunity	1 to do to a divisit for the value described by locking at a	
1	give you that opportunity.	trying to adjust for the rate decrease by looking at a	
2	EXAMINATION	surcharge that would encourage behavior away from trash;	
2	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER:	surcharge that would encourage behavior away from trash; so we didn't look at anything really beyond the \$10	
2 3 4	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER: Q. Hi. I just have a couple questions, just one	surcharge that would encourage behavior away from trash; so we didn't look at anything really beyond the \$10 dollar fee. And in terms of the multi-unit, the	
2	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER: Q. Hi. I just have a couple questions, just one for you. Did you consider doing nine-day routes on just	surcharge that would encourage behavior away from trash; so we didn't look at anything really beyond the \$10 dollar fee. And in terms of the multi-unit, the 20-gallon customers, this credit actually applies to	
2 3 4 5	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER: Q. Hi. I just have a couple questions, just one for you. Did you consider doing nine-day routes on just one night shift for the combined BIR and Abandoned Waste	surcharge that would encourage behavior away from trash; so we didn't look at anything really beyond the \$10 dollar fee. And in terms of the multi-unit, the 20-gallon customers, this credit actually applies to both to all customers; so from one to five units,	
2 3 4 5 6 7	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER: Q. Hi. I just have a couple questions, just one for you. Did you consider doing nine-day routes on just one night shift for the combined BIR and Abandoned Waste service?	surcharge that would encourage behavior away from trash; so we didn't look at anything really beyond the \$10 dollar fee. And in terms of the multi-unit, the 20-gallon customers, this credit actually applies to both to all customers; so from one to five units, they all receive that \$5 dollar credit.	
2 3 4 5 6	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER: Q. Hi. I just have a couple questions, just one for you. Did you consider doing nine-day routes on just one night shift for the combined BIR and Abandoned Waste service? A. You said "nine-day routes"?	surcharge that would encourage behavior away from trash; so we didn't look at anything really beyond the \$10 dollar fee. And in terms of the multi-unit, the 20-gallon customers, this credit actually applies to both to all customers; so from one to five units, they all receive that \$5 dollar credit. MS. DILGER: Great. That's all I have.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER: Q. Hi. I just have a couple questions, just one for you. Did you consider doing nine-day routes on just one night shift for the combined BIR and Abandoned Waste service? A. You said "nine-day routes"? Q. Because it's ten total; is that right	surcharge that would encourage behavior away from trash; so we didn't look at anything really beyond the \$10 dollar fee. And in terms of the multi-unit, the 20-gallon customers, this credit actually applies to both to all customers; so from one to five units, they all receive that \$5 dollar credit. MS. DILGER: Great. That's all I have. DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. So back to Mr. Baker.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER: Q. Hi. I just have a couple questions, just one for you. Did you consider doing nine-day routes on just one night shift for the combined BIR and Abandoned Waste service? A. You said "nine-day routes"? Q. Because it's ten total; is that right routes?	surcharge that would encourage behavior away from trash; so we didn't look at anything really beyond the \$10 dollar fee. And in terms of the multi-unit, the 20-gallon customers, this credit actually applies to both to all customers; so from one to five units, they all receive that \$5 dollar credit. MS. DILGER: Great. That's all I have. DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. So back to Mr. Baker. So were you asking for a short recess?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER: Q. Hi. I just have a couple questions, just one for you. Did you consider doing nine-day routes on just one night shift for the combined BIR and Abandoned Waste service? A. You said "nine-day routes"? Q. Because it's ten total; is that right routes? A. Oh, nine crews?	surcharge that would encourage behavior away from trash; so we didn't look at anything really beyond the \$10 dollar fee. And in terms of the multi-unit, the 20-gallon customers, this credit actually applies to both to all customers; so from one to five units, they all receive that \$5 dollar credit. MS. DILGER: Great. That's all I have. DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. So back to Mr. Baker. So were you asking for a short recess? MR. BAKER: Yes, please. Thank you.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER: Q. Hi. I just have a couple questions, just one for you. Did you consider doing nine-day routes on just one night shift for the combined BIR and Abandoned Waste service? A. You said "nine-day routes"? Q. Because it's ten total; is that right routes? A. Oh, nine crews? Q. Mm-hmm.	surcharge that would encourage behavior away from trash; so we didn't look at anything really beyond the \$10 dollar fee. And in terms of the multi-unit, the 20-gallon customers, this credit actually applies to both to all customers; so from one to five units, they all receive that \$5 dollar credit. MS. DILGER: Great. That's all I have. DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. So back to Mr. Baker. So were you asking for a short recess? MR. BAKER: Yes, please. Thank you. DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. We'll take a ten-minute	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER: Q. Hi. I just have a couple questions, just one for you. Did you consider doing nine-day routes on just one night shift for the combined BIR and Abandoned Waste service? A. You said "nine-day routes"? Q. Because it's ten total; is that right routes? A. Oh, nine crews? Q. Mm-hmm. A. And we have. The problem is the original ask	surcharge that would encourage behavior away from trash; so we didn't look at anything really beyond the \$10 dollar fee. And in terms of the multi-unit, the 20-gallon customers, this credit actually applies to both to all customers; so from one to five units, they all receive that \$5 dollar credit. MS. DILGER: Great. That's all I have. DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. So back to Mr. Baker. So were you asking for a short recess? MR. BAKER: Yes, please. Thank you. DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. We'll take a ten-minute	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER: Q. Hi. I just have a couple questions, just one for you. Did you consider doing nine-day routes on just one night shift for the combined BIR and Abandoned Waste service? A. You said "nine-day routes"? Q. Because it's ten total; is that right routes? A. Oh, nine crews? Q. Mm-hmm. A. And we have. The problem is the original ask was two crews, four drivers. We're projecting the data	surcharge that would encourage behavior away from trash; so we didn't look at anything really beyond the \$10 dollar fee. And in terms of the multi-unit, the 20-gallon customers, this credit actually applies to both to all customers; so from one to five units, they all receive that \$5 dollar credit. MS. DILGER: Great. That's all I have. DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. So back to Mr. Baker. So were you asking for a short recess? MR. BAKER: Yes, please. Thank you. DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. We'll take a ten-minute short recess and resume at five minutes to 9:00. So	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER: Q. Hi. I just have a couple questions, just one for you. Did you consider doing nine-day routes on just one night shift for the combined BIR and Abandoned Waste service? A. You said "nine-day routes"? Q. Because it's ten total; is that right routes? A. Oh, nine crews? Q. Mm-hmm. A. And we have. The problem is the original ask was two crews, four drivers. We're projecting the data saying for this year alone, we're going to be at an 18%	surcharge that would encourage behavior away from trash; so we didn't look at anything really beyond the \$10 dollar fee. And in terms of the multi-unit, the 20-gallon customers, this credit actually applies to both to all customers; so from one to five units, they all receive that \$5 dollar credit. MS. DILGER: Great. That's all I have. DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. So back to Mr. Baker. So were you asking for a short recess? MR. BAKER: Yes, please. Thank you. DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. We'll take a ten-minute short recess and resume at five minutes to 9:00. So 9:55.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER: Q. Hi. I just have a couple questions, just one for you. Did you consider doing nine-day routes on just one night shift for the combined BIR and Abandoned Waste service? A. You said "nine-day routes"? Q. Because it's ten total; is that right routes? A. Oh, nine crews? Q. Mm-hmm. A. And we have. The problem is the original ask was two crews, four drivers. We're projecting the data saying for this year alone, we're going to be at an 18% growth and 2018 shows another 20%; so I'm trying to	surcharge that would encourage behavior away from trash; so we didn't look at anything really beyond the \$10 dollar fee. And in terms of the multi-unit, the 20-gallon customers, this credit actually applies to both to all customers; so from one to five units, they all receive that \$5 dollar credit. MS. DILGER: Great. That's all I have. DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. So back to Mr. Baker. So were you asking for a short recess? MR. BAKER: Yes, please. Thank you. DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. We'll take a ten-minute short recess and resume at five minutes to 9:00. So 9:55. (Off the record at 8:47 a.m.)	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER: Q. Hi. I just have a couple questions, just one for you. Did you consider doing nine-day routes on just one night shift for the combined BIR and Abandoned Waste service? A. You said "nine-day routes"? Q. Because it's ten total; is that right routes? A. Oh, nine crews? Q. Mm-hmm. A. And we have. The problem is the original ask was two crews, four drivers. We're projecting the data saying for this year alone, we're going to be at an 18% growth and 2018 shows another 20%; so I'm trying to mitigate the growth that's coming our way, which is	surcharge that would encourage behavior away from trash; so we didn't look at anything really beyond the \$10 dollar fee. And in terms of the multi-unit, the 20-gallon customers, this credit actually applies to both to all customers; so from one to five units, they all receive that \$5 dollar credit. MS. DILGER: Great. That's all I have. DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. So back to Mr. Baker. So were you asking for a short recess? MR. BAKER: Yes, please. Thank you. DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. We'll take a ten-minute short recess and resume at five minutes to 9:00. So 9:55. (Off the record at 8:47 a.m.) (On the record at 8:58 a.m.)	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER: Q. Hi. I just have a couple questions, just one for you. Did you consider doing nine-day routes on just one night shift for the combined BIR and Abandoned Waste service? A. You said "nine-day routes"? Q. Because it's ten total; is that right routes? A. Oh, nine crews? Q. Mm-hmm. A. And we have. The problem is the original ask was two crews, four drivers. We're projecting the data saying for this year alone, we're going to be at an 18% growth and 2018 shows another 20%; so I'm trying to	surcharge that would encourage behavior away from trash; so we didn't look at anything really beyond the \$10 dollar fee. And in terms of the multi-unit, the 20-gallon customers, this credit actually applies to both to all customers; so from one to five units, they all receive that \$5 dollar credit. MS. DILGER: Great. That's all I have. DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. So back to Mr. Baker. So were you asking for a short recess? MR. BAKER: Yes, please. Thank you. DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. We'll take a ten-minute short recess and resume at five minutes to 9:00. So 9:55. (Off the record at 8:47 a.m.) (On the record at 8:58 a.m.) DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. Mr. Baker?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER: Q. Hi. I just have a couple questions, just one for you. Did you consider doing nine-day routes on just one night shift for the combined BIR and Abandoned Waste service? A. You said "nine-day routes"? Q. Because it's ten total; is that right routes? A. Oh, nine crews? Q. Mm-hmm. A. And we have. The problem is the original ask was two crews, four drivers. We're projecting the data saying for this year alone, we're going to be at an 18% growth and 2018 shows another 20%; so I'm trying to mitigate the growth that's coming our way, which is significant because of both of these programs with the same resources that I have. So I think it's a very	surcharge that would encourage behavior away from trash; so we didn't look at anything really beyond the \$10 dollar fee. And in terms of the multi-unit, the 20-gallon customers, this credit actually applies to both to all customers; so from one to five units, they all receive that \$5 dollar credit. MS. DILGER: Great. That's all I have. DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. So back to Mr. Baker. So were you asking for a short recess? MR. BAKER: Yes, please. Thank you. DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. We'll take a ten-minute short recess and resume at five minutes to 9:00. So 9:55. (Off the record at 8:47 a.m.) (On the record at 8:58 a.m.) DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. Mr. Baker? MR. BAKER: Carolyn Pearce is going to ask	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER: Q. Hi. I just have a couple questions, just one for you. Did you consider doing nine-day routes on just one night shift for the combined BIR and Abandoned Waste service? A. You said "nine-day routes"? Q. Because it's ten total; is that right routes? A. Oh, nine crews? Q. Mm-hmm. A. And we have. The problem is the original ask was two crews, four drivers. We're projecting the data saying for this year alone, we're going to be at an 18% growth and 2018 shows another 20%; so I'm trying to mitigate the growth that's coming our way, which is significant because of both of these programs with the same resources that I have. So I think it's a very good I think we have a very good solution on the	surcharge that would encourage behavior away from trash; so we didn't look at anything really beyond the \$10 dollar fee. And in terms of the multi-unit, the 20-gallon customers, this credit actually applies to both to all customers; so from one to five units, they all receive that \$5 dollar credit. MS. DILGER: Great. That's all I have. DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. So back to Mr. Baker. So were you asking for a short recess? MR. BAKER: Yes, please. Thank you. DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. We'll take a ten-minute short recess and resume at five minutes to 9:00. So 9:55. (Off the record at 8:47 a.m.) (On the record at 8:58 a.m.) DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. Mr. Baker? MR. BAKER: Carolyn Pearce is going to ask Mr. Negron some questions.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER: Q. Hi. I just have a couple questions, just one for you. Did you consider doing nine-day routes on just one night shift for the combined BIR and Abandoned Waste service? A. You said "nine-day routes"? Q. Because it's ten total; is that right routes? A. Oh, nine crews? Q. Mm-hmm. A. And we have. The problem is the original ask was two crews, four drivers. We're projecting the data saying for this year alone, we're going to be at an 18% growth and 2018 shows another 20%; so I'm trying to mitigate the growth that's coming our way, which is significant because of both of these programs with the same resources that I have. So I think it's a very good I think we have a very good solution on the table to deal with specifically the northeast corridor	surcharge that would encourage behavior away from trash; so we didn't look at anything really beyond the \$10 dollar fee. And in terms of the multi-unit, the 20-gallon customers, this credit actually applies to both to all customers; so from one to five units, they all receive that \$5 dollar credit. MS. DILGER: Great. That's all I have. DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. So back to Mr. Baker. So were you asking for a short recess? MR. BAKER: Yes, please. Thank you. DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. We'll take a ten-minute short recess and resume at five minutes to 9:00. So 9:55. (Off the record at 8:47 a.m.) (On the record at 8:58 a.m.) DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. Mr. Baker? MR. BAKER: Carolyn Pearce is going to ask Mr. Negron some questions. DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. You may begin the	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER: Q. Hi. I just have a couple questions, just one for you. Did you consider doing nine-day routes on just one night shift for the combined BIR and Abandoned Waste service? A. You said "nine-day routes"? Q. Because it's ten total; is that right routes? A. Oh, nine crews? Q. Mm-hmm. A. And we have. The problem is the original ask was two crews, four drivers. We're projecting the data saying for this year alone, we're going to be at an 18% growth and 2018 shows another 20%; so I'm trying to mitigate the growth that's coming our way, which is significant because of both of these programs with the same resources that I have. So I think it's a very good I think we have a very good solution on the	surcharge that would encourage behavior away from trash; so we didn't look at anything really beyond the \$10 dollar fee. And in terms of the multi-unit, the 20-gallon customers, this credit actually applies to both to all customers; so from one to five units, they all receive that \$5 dollar credit. MS. DILGER: Great. That's all I have. DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. So back to Mr. Baker. So were you asking for a short recess? MR. BAKER: Yes, please. Thank you. DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. We'll take a ten-minute short recess and resume at five minutes to 9:00. So 9:55. (Off the record at 8:47 a.m.) (On the record at 8:58 a.m.) DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. Mr. Baker? MR. BAKER: Carolyn Pearce is going to ask Mr. Negron some questions. DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. You may begin the cross-examination of your witnesses.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER: Q. Hi. I just have a couple questions, just one for you. Did you consider doing nine-day routes on just one night shift for the combined BIR and Abandoned Waste service? A. You said "nine-day routes"? Q. Because it's ten total; is that right routes? A. Oh, nine crews? Q. Mm-hmm. A. And we have. The problem is the original ask was two crews, four drivers. We're projecting the data saying for this year alone, we're going to be at an 18% growth and 2018 shows another 20%; so I'm trying to mitigate the growth that's coming our way, which is significant because of both of these programs with the same resources that I have. So I think it's a very good I think we have a very good solution on the table to deal with specifically the northeast corridor which is our biggest challenge and still meet the growth	surcharge that would encourage behavior away from trash; so we didn't look at anything really beyond the \$10 dollar fee. And in terms of the multi-unit, the 20-gallon customers, this credit actually applies to both to all customers; so from one to five units, they all receive that \$5 dollar credit. MS. DILGER: Great. That's all I have. DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. So back to Mr. Baker. So were you asking for a short recess? MR. BAKER: Yes, please. Thank you. DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. We'll take a ten-minute short recess and resume at five minutes to 9:00. So 9:55. (Off the record at 8:47 a.m.) (On the record at 8:58 a.m.) DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. Mr. Baker? MR. BAKER: Carolyn Pearce is going to ask Mr. Negron some questions. DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. You may begin the cross-examination of your witnesses. MS. PEARCE: I'm sorry?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER: Q. Hi. I just have a couple questions, just one for you. Did you consider doing nine-day routes on just one night shift for the combined BIR and Abandoned Waste service? A. You said "nine-day routes"? Q. Because it's ten total; is that right routes? A. Oh, nine crews? Q. Mm-hmm. A. And we have. The problem is the original ask was two crews, four drivers. We're projecting the data saying for this year alone, we're going to be at an 18% growth and 2018 shows another 20%; so I'm trying to mitigate the growth that's coming our way, which is significant because of both of these programs with the same resources that I have. So I think it's a very good I think we have a very good solution on the table to deal with specifically the northeast corridor which is our biggest challenge and still meet the growth that the City's projected over the next two or three	surcharge that would encourage behavior away from trash; so we didn't look at anything really beyond the \$10 dollar fee. And in terms of the multi-unit, the 20-gallon customers, this credit actually applies to both to all customers; so from one to five units, they all receive that \$5 dollar credit. MS. DILGER: Great. That's all I have. DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. So back to Mr. Baker. So were you asking for a short recess? MR. BAKER: Yes, please. Thank you. DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. We'll take a ten-minute short recess and resume at five minutes to 9:00. So 9:55. (Off the record at 8:47 a.m.) (On the record at 8:58 a.m.) DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. Mr. Baker? MR. BAKER: Carolyn Pearce is going to ask Mr. Negron some questions. DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. You may begin the cross-examination of your witnesses. MS. PEARCE: I'm sorry? DIRECTOR NURU: You may continue your	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	EXAMINATION BY MS. DILGER: Q. Hi. I just have a couple questions, just one for you. Did you consider doing nine-day routes on just one night shift for the combined BIR and Abandoned Waste service? A. You said "nine-day routes"? Q. Because it's ten total; is that right routes? A. Oh, nine crews? Q. Mm-hmm. A. And we have. The problem is the original ask was two crews, four drivers. We're projecting the data saying for this year alone, we're going to be at an 18% growth and 2018 shows another 20%; so I'm trying to mitigate the growth that's coming our way, which is significant because of both of these programs with the same resources that I have. So I think it's a very good I think we have a very good solution on the table to deal with specifically the northeast corridor which is our biggest challenge and still meet the growth that the City's projected over the next two or three years.	surcharge that would encourage behavior away from trash; so we didn't look at anything really beyond the \$10 dollar fee. And in terms of the multi-unit, the 20-gallon customers, this credit actually applies to both to all customers; so from one to five units, they all receive that \$5 dollar credit. MS. DILGER: Great. That's all I have. DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. So back to Mr. Baker. So were you asking for a short recess? MR. BAKER: Yes, please. Thank you. DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. We'll take a ten-minute short recess and resume at five minutes to 9:00. So 9:55. (Off the record at 8:47 a.m.) (On the record at 8:58 a.m.) DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. Mr. Baker? MR. BAKER: Carolyn Pearce is going to ask Mr. Negron some questions. DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. You may begin the cross-examination of your witnesses. MS. PEARCE: I'm sorry? DIRECTOR NURU: You may continue your cross-examination.	

		Î
1	EXAMINATION	1 your ability to meet this four-hour window. But in the
2	BY MS. PEARCE:	entire history of the program, you all have managed very
3	Q. All right. Mr. Negron, there's currently a	3 successfully to meet that four-hour window. Can you
4	requirement in the 2013 Director's Report that AMC calls	speak a little bit more about why you are so concerned
5	be serviced within four hours and that Recology is	5 enough about the ability to make that time that you want
6	subject to liquidated damages if that service time is	6 this operational period of six-months?
7	not met. Is that accurate?	A. I have a real concern with the growth of
8	A. That's correct.	8 the program, and we've talked about this over these
9	Q. And how do you propose that Recology handle	9 last several hearings, and that's really my biggest
10	that AMC service requirement going forward?	challenge, is not necessary I mean, everything's
11	A. We're asking the City or the Director	important to what I'm doing today. But I don't want
12	to consider for the first six months from July to	to come back here in a year or two and ask for more
13	December 31st to suspend the four-hour condition.	resources. I need the capacity in the system to be able
14	I just want to be clear because I know it gets folks a	to manage what is going to be significant amount of
15	little nervous. It is our intention and our expectation	participants. And specifically in the BIR, because we
16	that we are going to go after this material within four	have a very aggressive outreach plan in the rate
17	hours. But because there's so much dynamic routing	application for this for the apartments, and I just need
18	involved with larger amount of crews and where these	18 to be prepared.
19	calls are coming from, I'd like the opportunity to at	So all the those efficiencies that we're
20	least six months of data and of course the City to be	recommending including the crew in the northeast, I feel
21	right there with me to monitor the data to ensure that	comfortable that we will go after those four hours, but
22	that condition can be attained with the resources that	it will be a challenge if I just need the data to
23	I will have after this six-month period.	tell me that over the next six months so that I can give
24	Q. Just to be clear, it's still Recology's	you assurances that the resources are there to take care
25	intention and expectation to service all the AMC calls	of the needs of the city over the next two to three
	Page 759	Page 761
1	Table for home Table to a constant	1 years based on the growth.
1	within four hours. Is that accurate?	years sused on the grown
2	A. That's accurate.	Q. This so let me just make an a calculation and
	Q. So is it asking for some relief from the	oo a maa yaa a manaanaa aa sa gaasan aha ahan aa sa
4 5	damages provision of that requirement?	g.o. a., a.a. a.a. a.a., p.og. a,
6	A. Yes, specifically the liquidated damages.	
7	Q. And that will just be for a six-months period.	Corrects
8	Is that accurate?	That's correct
9	A. That's accurate.	Q: And you know, I think the hope is that as we
10	Q. Is the BIR program subject to any order or	combine both programs, we can encourage some people time
11	requirement on service times currently?	are in the Abanaonea Flaterials grouping today to move
12	A. No. It's an understanding between the City	over to being more senegated and mee barn be instead
13	departments and Recology that we do our best for BIR to	of just putting it out on the street, we're hoping we can encourage some portion of those individuals to move
14	give the customer the opportunity to schedule an	can encourage some person or alloss manualla to more
15	appointment within 48 hours.	to a more manageable way of disposal.
16	Q. And will that continue to be Recology's goal for BIR calls going forward?	A. Yes. At the end of the day, yes, we want them
17	A. Yes. Our goal will be 48 hours or better with	to migrate towards BIR and get rid of this bad behavior. But also at the end of the day, for me, the materials
18	_	Dat also at the chart of the day, for the materials
19	the efficiency that we gain. MS. PEARCE: Thank you. That's all the	switching from one side to another, I still need the
20	questions that I have.	requirement to get it on the streets which I know is a
21	DIRECTOR NURU: Ms. Dawson, do you wish to	priority. But we will do our best to afford the BIR folks the opportunity and really the AMC folks, the
22	cross-examine?	Tollie die opportunity and really die 7th le follo, die
23	EXAMINATION	folks who have the bad behavior, to utilize this program more efficiently and really get the city a lot cleaner
24	BY MS. DAWSON:	more emetally and really get the city a for dealler
		than what we're dealing with today as far as AMC.
25	Q. So Mr. Negron, I know you're concerned about	Q. And right now your proposal is so you were

Page 760

1	originally asking for the two crews for each program.	make that assertion.
2	So we would have been, you know, we would have had 12,	2 Q. Do you have any anecdotal information?
3	if I'm remembering correctly. So now we're at 11.	3 A. Yes. I have two people that do work in my
4	A. We're at 11 now. So we would the	4 department that actually have multigenerational
5	efficiencies that we're recommending will cut the	5 households, so two individuals who have both their
6	requests by half.	6 parents and their grandparents living with them.
7	Q. And if the demand changed or shifts around	7 And they're citizens and residents of San Francisco.
8	between programs, that 11th crew could potentially be	8 Q. Now, this is a proposal that was not discussed
9	used in a variety of different ways. Is that fair to	9 in the various workshops, I suppose, that occurred
10	say?	before and during these hearings; is that true?
11	A. Absolutely. It would give us a lot of	11 A. That's correct. We've had discussions about
12	flexibility depending on the needs of Public Works and	A. That's correct. We've had discussions about
13	special events and things that happen throughout the	this offline and principals in principal discussion about this offline.
14		about this offine.
15	year. Absolutely. MS. DAWSON: Okay. Thank you.	Q. If the city honetheress were to the the
16	·	Director, paradir me, noncemeross accided to melade and
17	DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. Does the Ratepayer	in the rate order, is there anything about the
18	Advocate have any questions? No?	Statistics that are offered in Exhibits 37 and 30 that
19	All right. I will go back to Mr. Baker.	you climic require further constactation.
20	Do you have any more witnesses you would like	74 Table Fardediany Exhibit 50, are volume
21	to call for rebuttal or any evidence you would like to	premium 14 make two dajustments to this. One is
22	enter into record?	these customers would likely migrate away from the
	MR. BAKER: Yes. We'd like to recall	Service over time given that its fair more expensive
23	John Porter, please.	for similar volumetric service for other types of
24	JOHN PORTER,	containers. If they're truly these high trash
25	having previously been duly sworn,	generators, there is opportunity for them to do that;
	Page 763	Page 765
1	was examined and testified as follows:	1 co I would expect there to be some form of a migration
1	was examined and testified as follows:	so I would expect there to be some form of a migration
2	EXAMINATION	assumption built into their revenues that we would be
2	EXAMINATION BY MR. BAKER:	assumption built into their revenues that we would be collecting over the course of the four years.
2 3 4	EXAMINATION BY MR. BAKER: Q. Mr. Porter, you've already been sworn.	assumption built into their revenues that we would be collecting over the course of the four years. Q. And as it's been described, that's actually
2 3 4 5	EXAMINATION BY MR. BAKER: Q. Mr. Porter, you've already been sworn. You understand you're still under oath; correct?	assumption built into their revenues that we would be collecting over the course of the four years. Q. And as it's been described, that's actually one of the purposes, isn't it, to have large-volume
2 3 4 5	EXAMINATION BY MR. BAKER: Q. Mr. Porter, you've already been sworn. You understand you're still under oath; correct? A. Yes.	assumption built into their revenues that we would be collecting over the course of the four years. Q. And as it's been described, that's actually one of the purposes, isn't it, to have large-volume customers use smaller black bins?
2 3 4 5 6 7	EXAMINATION BY MR. BAKER: Q. Mr. Porter, you've already been sworn. You understand you're still under oath; correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. I'd like to ask you about the	assumption built into their revenues that we would be collecting over the course of the four years. Q. And as it's been described, that's actually one of the purposes, isn't it, to have large-volume customers use smaller black bins? A. Correct, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	EXAMINATION BY MR. BAKER: Q. Mr. Porter, you've already been sworn. You understand you're still under oath; correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. I'd like to ask you about the large-volume trash customer \$10 dollar surcharge that	assumption built into their revenues that we would be collecting over the course of the four years. Q. And as it's been described, that's actually one of the purposes, isn't it, to have large-volume customers use smaller black bins? A. Correct, yes. Q. All right. Moving on to another but related
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	EXAMINATION BY MR. BAKER: Q. Mr. Porter, you've already been sworn. You understand you're still under oath; correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. I'd like to ask you about the large-volume trash customer \$10 dollar surcharge that Ms. Dawson talked about and that is shown in Exhibits 97	assumption built into their revenues that we would be collecting over the course of the four years. Q. And as it's been described, that's actually one of the purposes, isn't it, to have large-volume customers use smaller black bins? A. Correct, yes. Q. All right. Moving on to another but related area, there's been public comment and some discussion
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	EXAMINATION BY MR. BAKER: Q. Mr. Porter, you've already been sworn. You understand you're still under oath; correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. I'd like to ask you about the large-volume trash customer \$10 dollar surcharge that Ms. Dawson talked about and that is shown in Exhibits 97 and 98. From Recology's point of view, do you think	assumption built into their revenues that we would be collecting over the course of the four years. Q. And as it's been described, that's actually one of the purposes, isn't it, to have large-volume customers use smaller black bins? A. Correct, yes. Q. All right. Moving on to another but related area, there's been public comment and some discussion about the buildings that have two to five units.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	EXAMINATION BY MR. BAKER: Q. Mr. Porter, you've already been sworn. You understand you're still under oath; correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. I'd like to ask you about the large-volume trash customer \$10 dollar surcharge that Ms. Dawson talked about and that is shown in Exhibits 97 and 98. From Recology's point of view, do you think this would be a good approach, namely the \$10 dollar	assumption built into their revenues that we would be collecting over the course of the four years. Q. And as it's been described, that's actually one of the purposes, isn't it, to have large-volume customers use smaller black bins? A. Correct, yes. Q. All right. Moving on to another but related area, there's been public comment and some discussion about the buildings that have two to five units. A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	EXAMINATION BY MR. BAKER: Q. Mr. Porter, you've already been sworn. You understand you're still under oath; correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. I'd like to ask you about the large-volume trash customer \$10 dollar surcharge that Ms. Dawson talked about and that is shown in Exhibits 97 and 98. From Recology's point of view, do you think this would be a good approach, namely the \$10 dollar surcharge?	assumption built into their revenues that we would be collecting over the course of the four years. Q. And as it's been described, that's actually one of the purposes, isn't it, to have large-volume customers use smaller black bins? A. Correct, yes. Q. All right. Moving on to another but related area, there's been public comment and some discussion about the buildings that have two to five units. A. Yes. Q. And therefore they're covered under the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	EXAMINATION BY MR. BAKER: Q. Mr. Porter, you've already been sworn. You understand you're still under oath; correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. I'd like to ask you about the large-volume trash customer \$10 dollar surcharge that Ms. Dawson talked about and that is shown in Exhibits 97 and 98. From Recology's point of view, do you think this would be a good approach, namely the \$10 dollar surcharge? A. Well, I understand the objectives that Julia	assumption built into their revenues that we would be collecting over the course of the four years. Q. And as it's been described, that's actually one of the purposes, isn't it, to have large-volume customers use smaller black bins? A. Correct, yes. Q. All right. Moving on to another but related area, there's been public comment and some discussion about the buildings that have two to five units. A. Yes. Q. And therefore they're covered under the residential rate setting.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	EXAMINATION BY MR. BAKER: Q. Mr. Porter, you've already been sworn. You understand you're still under oath; correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. I'd like to ask you about the large-volume trash customer \$10 dollar surcharge that Ms. Dawson talked about and that is shown in Exhibits 97 and 98. From Recology's point of view, do you think this would be a good approach, namely the \$10 dollar surcharge? A. Well, I understand the objectives that Julia and Public Works are trying to achieve. After further	assumption built into their revenues that we would be collecting over the course of the four years. Q. And as it's been described, that's actually one of the purposes, isn't it, to have large-volume customers use smaller black bins? A. Correct, yes. Q. All right. Moving on to another but related area, there's been public comment and some discussion about the buildings that have two to five units. A. Yes. Q. And therefore they're covered under the residential rate setting. A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	EXAMINATION BY MR. BAKER: Q. Mr. Porter, you've already been sworn. You understand you're still under oath; correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. I'd like to ask you about the large-volume trash customer \$10 dollar surcharge that Ms. Dawson talked about and that is shown in Exhibits 97 and 98. From Recology's point of view, do you think this would be a good approach, namely the \$10 dollar surcharge? A. Well, I understand the objectives that Julia and Public Works are trying to achieve. After further kind of discussion and investigation, we realize that	assumption built into their revenues that we would be collecting over the course of the four years. Q. And as it's been described, that's actually one of the purposes, isn't it, to have large-volume customers use smaller black bins? A. Correct, yes. Q. All right. Moving on to another but related area, there's been public comment and some discussion about the buildings that have two to five units. A. Yes. Q. And therefore they're covered under the residential rate setting. A. Correct. Q. Has there been discussion, consideration
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	EXAMINATION BY MR. BAKER: Q. Mr. Porter, you've already been sworn. You understand you're still under oath; correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. I'd like to ask you about the large-volume trash customer \$10 dollar surcharge that Ms. Dawson talked about and that is shown in Exhibits 97 and 98. From Recology's point of view, do you think this would be a good approach, namely the \$10 dollar surcharge? A. Well, I understand the objectives that Julia and Public Works are trying to achieve. After further kind of discussion and investigation, we realize that many of these larger-quantity generators may be	assumption built into their revenues that we would be collecting over the course of the four years. Q. And as it's been described, that's actually one of the purposes, isn't it, to have large-volume customers use smaller black bins? A. Correct, yes. Q. All right. Moving on to another but related area, there's been public comment and some discussion about the buildings that have two to five units. A. Yes. Q. And therefore they're covered under the residential rate setting. A. Correct. Q. Has there been discussion, consideration within Recology as to different ways that the issues
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	EXAMINATION BY MR. BAKER: Q. Mr. Porter, you've already been sworn. You understand you're still under oath; correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. I'd like to ask you about the large-volume trash customer \$10 dollar surcharge that Ms. Dawson talked about and that is shown in Exhibits 97 and 98. From Recology's point of view, do you think this would be a good approach, namely the \$10 dollar surcharge? A. Well, I understand the objectives that Julia and Public Works are trying to achieve. After further kind of discussion and investigation, we realize that many of these larger-quantity generators may be multigenerational households where people have either	assumption built into their revenues that we would be collecting over the course of the four years. Q. And as it's been described, that's actually one of the purposes, isn't it, to have large-volume customers use smaller black bins? A. Correct, yes. Q. All right. Moving on to another but related area, there's been public comment and some discussion about the buildings that have two to five units. A. Yes. Q. And therefore they're covered under the residential rate setting. A. Correct. Q. Has there been discussion, consideration within Recology as to different ways that the issues raised by those folks can be addressed?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	EXAMINATION BY MR. BAKER: Q. Mr. Porter, you've already been sworn. You understand you're still under oath; correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. I'd like to ask you about the large-volume trash customer \$10 dollar surcharge that Ms. Dawson talked about and that is shown in Exhibits 97 and 98. From Recology's point of view, do you think this would be a good approach, namely the \$10 dollar surcharge? A. Well, I understand the objectives that Julia and Public Works are trying to achieve. After further kind of discussion and investigation, we realize that many of these larger-quantity generators may be multigenerational households where people have either their parents and their grandparents living with them	assumption built into their revenues that we would be collecting over the course of the four years. Q. And as it's been described, that's actually one of the purposes, isn't it, to have large-volume customers use smaller black bins? A. Correct, yes. Q. All right. Moving on to another but related area, there's been public comment and some discussion about the buildings that have two to five units. A. Yes. Q. And therefore they're covered under the residential rate setting. A. Correct. Q. Has there been discussion, consideration within Recology as to different ways that the issues raised by those folks can be addressed? A. Yes. There have been ongoing discussions
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	EXAMINATION BY MR. BAKER: Q. Mr. Porter, you've already been sworn. You understand you're still under oath; correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. I'd like to ask you about the large-volume trash customer \$10 dollar surcharge that Ms. Dawson talked about and that is shown in Exhibits 97 and 98. From Recology's point of view, do you think this would be a good approach, namely the \$10 dollar surcharge? A. Well, I understand the objectives that Julia and Public Works are trying to achieve. After further kind of discussion and investigation, we realize that many of these larger-quantity generators may be multigenerational households where people have either their parents and their grandparents living with them and therefore have a larger service volume.	assumption built into their revenues that we would be collecting over the course of the four years. Q. And as it's been described, that's actually one of the purposes, isn't it, to have large-volume customers use smaller black bins? A. Correct, yes. Q. All right. Moving on to another but related area, there's been public comment and some discussion about the buildings that have two to five units. A. Yes. Q. And therefore they're covered under the residential rate setting. A. Correct. Q. Has there been discussion, consideration within Recology as to different ways that the issues raised by those folks can be addressed? A. Yes. There have been ongoing discussions about the surcharge associated with the premium
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	EXAMINATION BY MR. BAKER: Q. Mr. Porter, you've already been sworn. You understand you're still under oath; correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. I'd like to ask you about the large-volume trash customer \$10 dollar surcharge that Ms. Dawson talked about and that is shown in Exhibits 97 and 98. From Recology's point of view, do you think this would be a good approach, namely the \$10 dollar surcharge? A. Well, I understand the objectives that Julia and Public Works are trying to achieve. After further kind of discussion and investigation, we realize that many of these larger-quantity generators may be multigenerational households where people have either their parents and their grandparents living with them and therefore have a larger service volume. Q. Have you done any scientific survey to see of	assumption built into their revenues that we would be collecting over the course of the four years. Q. And as it's been described, that's actually one of the purposes, isn't it, to have large-volume customers use smaller black bins? A. Correct, yes. Q. All right. Moving on to another but related area, there's been public comment and some discussion about the buildings that have two to five units. A. Yes. Q. And therefore they're covered under the residential rate setting. A. Correct. Q. Has there been discussion, consideration within Recology as to different ways that the issues raised by those folks can be addressed? A. Yes. There have been ongoing discussions about the surcharge associated with the premium associated with shared service, and we understand our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	EXAMINATION BY MR. BAKER: Q. Mr. Porter, you've already been sworn. You understand you're still under oath; correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. I'd like to ask you about the large-volume trash customer \$10 dollar surcharge that Ms. Dawson talked about and that is shown in Exhibits 97 and 98. From Recology's point of view, do you think this would be a good approach, namely the \$10 dollar surcharge? A. Well, I understand the objectives that Julia and Public Works are trying to achieve. After further kind of discussion and investigation, we realize that many of these larger-quantity generators may be multigenerational households where people have either their parents and their grandparents living with them and therefore have a larger service volume. Q. Have you done any scientific survey to see of the 3,933 customers, how many might be multigenerational	assumption built into their revenues that we would be collecting over the course of the four years. Q. And as it's been described, that's actually one of the purposes, isn't it, to have large-volume customers use smaller black bins? A. Correct, yes. Q. All right. Moving on to another but related area, there's been public comment and some discussion about the buildings that have two to five units. A. Yes. Q. And therefore they're covered under the residential rate setting. A. Correct. Q. Has there been discussion, consideration within Recology as to different ways that the issues raised by those folks can be addressed? A. Yes. There have been ongoing discussions about the surcharge associated with the premium associated with shared service, and we understand our customers' concerns around this. We also have our own
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	EXAMINATION BY MR. BAKER: Q. Mr. Porter, you've already been sworn. You understand you're still under oath; correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. I'd like to ask you about the large-volume trash customer \$10 dollar surcharge that Ms. Dawson talked about and that is shown in Exhibits 97 and 98. From Recology's point of view, do you think this would be a good approach, namely the \$10 dollar surcharge? A. Well, I understand the objectives that Julia and Public Works are trying to achieve. After further kind of discussion and investigation, we realize that many of these larger-quantity generators may be multigenerational households where people have either their parents and their grandparents living with them and therefore have a larger service volume. Q. Have you done any scientific survey to see of the 3,933 customers, how many might be multigenerational households?	assumption built into their revenues that we would be collecting over the course of the four years. Q. And as it's been described, that's actually one of the purposes, isn't it, to have large-volume customers use smaller black bins? A. Correct, yes. Q. All right. Moving on to another but related area, there's been public comment and some discussion about the buildings that have two to five units. A. Yes. Q. And therefore they're covered under the residential rate setting. A. Correct. Q. Has there been discussion, consideration within Recology as to different ways that the issues raised by those folks can be addressed? A. Yes. There have been ongoing discussions about the surcharge associated with the premium associated with shared service, and we understand our customers' concerns around this. We also have our own internal concerns around how to administer this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	EXAMINATION BY MR. BAKER: Q. Mr. Porter, you've already been sworn. You understand you're still under oath; correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. I'd like to ask you about the large-volume trash customer \$10 dollar surcharge that Ms. Dawson talked about and that is shown in Exhibits 97 and 98. From Recology's point of view, do you think this would be a good approach, namely the \$10 dollar surcharge? A. Well, I understand the objectives that Julia and Public Works are trying to achieve. After further kind of discussion and investigation, we realize that many of these larger-quantity generators may be multigenerational households where people have either their parents and their grandparents living with them and therefore have a larger service volume. Q. Have you done any scientific survey to see of the 3,933 customers, how many might be multigenerational households? A. Unfortunately we did not, and I don't think we	assumption built into their revenues that we would be collecting over the course of the four years. Q. And as it's been described, that's actually one of the purposes, isn't it, to have large-volume customers use smaller black bins? A. Correct, yes. Q. All right. Moving on to another but related area, there's been public comment and some discussion about the buildings that have two to five units. A. Yes. Q. And therefore they're covered under the residential rate setting. A. Correct. Q. Has there been discussion, consideration within Recology as to different ways that the issues raised by those folks can be addressed? A. Yes. There have been ongoing discussions about the surcharge associated with the premium associated with shared service, and we understand our customers' concerns around this. We also have our own internal concerns around how to administer this particular kind of issue. We are looking for better
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	EXAMINATION BY MR. BAKER: Q. Mr. Porter, you've already been sworn. You understand you're still under oath; correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. I'd like to ask you about the large-volume trash customer \$10 dollar surcharge that Ms. Dawson talked about and that is shown in Exhibits 97 and 98. From Recology's point of view, do you think this would be a good approach, namely the \$10 dollar surcharge? A. Well, I understand the objectives that Julia and Public Works are trying to achieve. After further kind of discussion and investigation, we realize that many of these larger-quantity generators may be multigenerational households where people have either their parents and their grandparents living with them and therefore have a larger service volume. Q. Have you done any scientific survey to see of the 3,933 customers, how many might be multigenerational households? A. Unfortunately we did not, and I don't think we have that information available to us. We don't have a	assumption built into their revenues that we would be collecting over the course of the four years. Q. And as it's been described, that's actually one of the purposes, isn't it, to have large-volume customers use smaller black bins? A. Correct, yes. Q. All right. Moving on to another but related area, there's been public comment and some discussion about the buildings that have two to five units. A. Yes. Q. And therefore they're covered under the residential rate setting. A. Correct. Q. Has there been discussion, consideration within Recology as to different ways that the issues raised by those folks can be addressed? A. Yes. There have been ongoing discussions about the surcharge associated with the premium associated with shared service, and we understand our customers' concerns around this. We also have our own internal concerns around how to administer this particular kind of issue. We are looking for better alternatives. We have not yet arrived at what we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	EXAMINATION BY MR. BAKER: Q. Mr. Porter, you've already been sworn. You understand you're still under oath; correct? A. Yes. Q. All right. I'd like to ask you about the large-volume trash customer \$10 dollar surcharge that Ms. Dawson talked about and that is shown in Exhibits 97 and 98. From Recology's point of view, do you think this would be a good approach, namely the \$10 dollar surcharge? A. Well, I understand the objectives that Julia and Public Works are trying to achieve. After further kind of discussion and investigation, we realize that many of these larger-quantity generators may be multigenerational households where people have either their parents and their grandparents living with them and therefore have a larger service volume. Q. Have you done any scientific survey to see of the 3,933 customers, how many might be multigenerational households? A. Unfortunately we did not, and I don't think we	assumption built into their revenues that we would be collecting over the course of the four years. Q. And as it's been described, that's actually one of the purposes, isn't it, to have large-volume customers use smaller black bins? A. Correct, yes. Q. All right. Moving on to another but related area, there's been public comment and some discussion about the buildings that have two to five units. A. Yes. Q. And therefore they're covered under the residential rate setting. A. Correct. Q. Has there been discussion, consideration within Recology as to different ways that the issues raised by those folks can be addressed? A. Yes. There have been ongoing discussions about the surcharge associated with the premium associated with shared service, and we understand our customers' concerns around this. We also have our own internal concerns around how to administer this particular kind of issue. We are looking for better

1	ongoing discussion that we are having and working	Exhibit 100. Can you tell us what this chart shows us?
2	diligently to find the right solution so that our	A. Sure. So this chart was created to help
3	customers don't feel like they're being penalized just	illustrate the issue in kind of a graphical format.
4	because they want separate piece of paper in the form of	So working bars left to right, the green bar represents
5	a bill.	5 the total capital costs or the construction costs
6	Q. You mentioned the word "surcharge."	associated with the different projects, which are the
7	It's not really a surcharge, is it?	West Wing, the iMRF, and the trash processing plant.
8	A. It's a premium. There's a 50% premium on	8 And the last set of bars is the total over the
9	shared services.	⁹ three projects that are contemplated in the rate
10	Q. So where does that stand right now?	application. The yellow bar represents the O.R. that we
11	A. We've got a proposal that we're in discussions	11 receive
12	with Staff about to make sure that we're addressing	Q. Let's stop just for one second.
13	everyone's concerns, both Recology's, the customers' and	13 A. Sure.
14	the City's; so it hasn't been formalized or finalized	Q. Let's just use the West Wing as an example.
15	yet.	¹⁵ A. Okay.
16	Q. So I take it today you don't have a proposal	Q. So the green bar, again, the West Wing is
17	to make?	17 what?
18	A. That is correct.	18 A. Is the construction cost.
19	Q. Okay. But you're working on it?	Q. And that is approximately \$16 million or so as
20	A. Yes.	has been testified to?
21	MR. BAKER: All right. Let me mark let me	A. It's about \$18 million, nearly \$19 million
22	move to a different subject, which is lease financing,	22 dollars.
23	and mark two new documents as Exhibits I think 99 and	Q. Okay, I misread the scale.
24	100 no, 100 and 101; is that right, Manu?	A. The numbers get very big very quickly, yes.
25	THE WITNESS: Yes, 100 and 101.	Q. Okay, good. So that's the construction cost.
	Daga 747	Page 760
	Page 767	Page 769
1	MR. PRADHAN: Give me one second.	1 And does that include O.R.?
1 2	MR. PRADHAN: Give me one second. MR. BAKER: Sorry to be presumptuous on this.	And does that include onti-
	MR. BAKER: Sorry to be presumptuous on this,	2 A. No, it does not.
2	MR. BAKER: Sorry to be presumptuous on this, but I have two new exhibits.	2 A. No, it does not. 3 Q. Does that include financing costs and tips
2	MR. BAKER: Sorry to be presumptuous on this, but I have two new exhibits. MR. PRADHAN: Yes. They'll be admitted as	2 A. No, it does not. 3 Q. Does that include financing costs and tips 4 expense?
2 3 4	MR. BAKER: Sorry to be presumptuous on this, but I have two new exhibits. MR. PRADHAN: Yes. They'll be admitted as Exhibits 100 and 101. If you could just make sure to	2 A. No, it does not. 3 Q. Does that include financing costs and tips 4 expense? 5 A. It does not.
2 3 4 5	MR. BAKER: Sorry to be presumptuous on this, but I have two new exhibits. MR. PRADHAN: Yes. They'll be admitted as Exhibits 100 and 101. If you could just make sure to identify which is which number.	2 A. No, it does not. 3 Q. Does that include financing costs and tips 4 expense? 5 A. It does not. 6 Q. Okay. So what is the yellow bar?
2 3 4 5 6	MR. BAKER: Sorry to be presumptuous on this, but I have two new exhibits. MR. PRADHAN: Yes. They'll be admitted as Exhibits 100 and 101. If you could just make sure to identify which is which number. MR. BAKER: So you're going get a chart, and	A. No, it does not. Q. Does that include financing costs and tips expense? A. It does not. Q. Okay. So what is the yellow bar? A. The yellow bar represents what would be the
2 3 4 5 6	MR. BAKER: Sorry to be presumptuous on this, but I have two new exhibits. MR. PRADHAN: Yes. They'll be admitted as Exhibits 100 and 101. If you could just make sure to identify which is which number. MR. BAKER: So you're going get a chart, and the chart will be 100. And you're going to get some	A. No, it does not. Q. Does that include financing costs and tips expense? A. It does not. Q. Okay. So what is the yellow bar? A. The yellow bar represents what would be the O.R. on that construction cost for that project if we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. BAKER: Sorry to be presumptuous on this, but I have two new exhibits. MR. PRADHAN: Yes. They'll be admitted as Exhibits 100 and 101. If you could just make sure to identify which is which number. MR. BAKER: So you're going get a chart, and the chart will be 100. And you're going to get some bullet points, and that'll be 101.	A. No, it does not. Q. Does that include financing costs and tips expense? A. It does not. Q. Okay. So what is the yellow bar? A. The yellow bar represents what would be the O.R. on that construction cost for that project if we were to treat this as depreciation. So it's a much
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. BAKER: Sorry to be presumptuous on this, but I have two new exhibits. MR. PRADHAN: Yes. They'll be admitted as Exhibits 100 and 101. If you could just make sure to identify which is which number. MR. BAKER: So you're going get a chart, and the chart will be 100. And you're going to get some bullet points, and that'll be 101. MR. PRADHAN: Thank you.	A. No, it does not. Q. Does that include financing costs and tips expense? A. It does not. Q. Okay. So what is the yellow bar? A. The yellow bar represents what would be the O.R. on that construction cost for that project if we were to treat this as depreciation. So it's a much smaller number as you can see than the following graph
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. BAKER: Sorry to be presumptuous on this, but I have two new exhibits. MR. PRADHAN: Yes. They'll be admitted as Exhibits 100 and 101. If you could just make sure to identify which is which number. MR. BAKER: So you're going get a chart, and the chart will be 100. And you're going to get some bullet points, and that'll be 101. MR. PRADHAN: Thank you. (Exhibit 100, "Depreciation vs. Lease	A. No, it does not. Q. Does that include financing costs and tips expense? A. It does not. Q. Okay. So what is the yellow bar? A. The yellow bar represents what would be the O.R. on that construction cost for that project if we were to treat this as depreciation. So it's a much smaller number as you can see than the following graph or the following bar, which is the gray bar, which is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. BAKER: Sorry to be presumptuous on this, but I have two new exhibits. MR. PRADHAN: Yes. They'll be admitted as Exhibits 100 and 101. If you could just make sure to identify which is which number. MR. BAKER: So you're going get a chart, and the chart will be 100. And you're going to get some bullet points, and that'll be 101. MR. PRADHAN: Thank you. (Exhibit 100, "Depreciation vs. Lease Treatment [Recology]," was admitted	A. No, it does not. Q. Does that include financing costs and tips expense? A. It does not. Q. Okay. So what is the yellow bar? A. The yellow bar represents what would be the O.R. on that construction cost for that project if we were to treat this as depreciation. So it's a much smaller number as you can see than the following graph or the following bar, which is the gray bar, which is the interest expected interest on that capital
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. BAKER: Sorry to be presumptuous on this, but I have two new exhibits. MR. PRADHAN: Yes. They'll be admitted as Exhibits 100 and 101. If you could just make sure to identify which is which number. MR. BAKER: So you're going get a chart, and the chart will be 100. And you're going to get some bullet points, and that'll be 101. MR. PRADHAN: Thank you. (Exhibit 100, "Depreciation vs. Lease Treatment [Recology]," was admitted into evidence.)	A. No, it does not. Q. Does that include financing costs and tips expense? A. It does not. Q. Okay. So what is the yellow bar? A. The yellow bar represents what would be the O.R. on that construction cost for that project if we were to treat this as depreciation. So it's a much smaller number as you can see than the following graph or the following bar, which is the gray bar, which is the interest expected interest on that capital improvement of that construction project.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. BAKER: Sorry to be presumptuous on this, but I have two new exhibits. MR. PRADHAN: Yes. They'll be admitted as Exhibits 100 and 101. If you could just make sure to identify which is which number. MR. BAKER: So you're going get a chart, and the chart will be 100. And you're going to get some bullet points, and that'll be 101. MR. PRADHAN: Thank you. (Exhibit 100, "Depreciation vs. Lease Treatment [Recology]," was admitted into evidence.) (Exhibit 101, "Why Lease Financing Rather	A. No, it does not. Q. Does that include financing costs and tips expense? A. It does not. Q. Okay. So what is the yellow bar? A. The yellow bar represents what would be the O.R. on that construction cost for that project if we were to treat this as depreciation. So it's a much smaller number as you can see than the following graph or the following bar, which is the gray bar, which is the interest expected interest on that capital improvement of that construction project. Q. Okay. So in other words, for the West Wing,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. BAKER: Sorry to be presumptuous on this, but I have two new exhibits. MR. PRADHAN: Yes. They'll be admitted as Exhibits 100 and 101. If you could just make sure to identify which is which number. MR. BAKER: So you're going get a chart, and the chart will be 100. And you're going to get some bullet points, and that'll be 101. MR. PRADHAN: Thank you. (Exhibit 100, "Depreciation vs. Lease Treatment [Recology]," was admitted into evidence.) (Exhibit 101, "Why Lease Financing Rather than Depreciation is Required [Recology],"	A. No, it does not. Q. Does that include financing costs and tips expense? A. It does not. Q. Okay. So what is the yellow bar? A. The yellow bar represents what would be the O.R. on that construction cost for that project if we were to treat this as depreciation. So it's a much smaller number as you can see than the following graph or the following bar, which is the gray bar, which is the interest expected interest on that capital improvement of that construction project. Q. Okay. So in other words, for the West Wing, this is a depreciation model?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. BAKER: Sorry to be presumptuous on this, but I have two new exhibits. MR. PRADHAN: Yes. They'll be admitted as Exhibits 100 and 101. If you could just make sure to identify which is which number. MR. BAKER: So you're going get a chart, and the chart will be 100. And you're going to get some bullet points, and that'll be 101. MR. PRADHAN: Thank you. (Exhibit 100, "Depreciation vs. Lease Treatment [Recology]," was admitted into evidence.) (Exhibit 101, "Why Lease Financing Rather than Depreciation is Required [Recology]," was admitted into evidence.)	A. No, it does not. Q. Does that include financing costs and tips expense? A. It does not. Q. Okay. So what is the yellow bar? A. The yellow bar represents what would be the O.R. on that construction cost for that project if we were to treat this as depreciation. So it's a much smaller number as you can see than the following graph or the following bar, which is the gray bar, which is the interest expected interest on that capital improvement of that construction project. Q. Okay. So in other words, for the West Wing, this is a depreciation model? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. BAKER: Sorry to be presumptuous on this, but I have two new exhibits. MR. PRADHAN: Yes. They'll be admitted as Exhibits 100 and 101. If you could just make sure to identify which is which number. MR. BAKER: So you're going get a chart, and the chart will be 100. And you're going to get some bullet points, and that'll be 101. MR. PRADHAN: Thank you. (Exhibit 100, "Depreciation vs. Lease Treatment [Recology]," was admitted into evidence.) (Exhibit 101, "Why Lease Financing Rather than Depreciation is Required [Recology]," was admitted into evidence.) BY MR. BAKER:	A. No, it does not. Q. Does that include financing costs and tips expense? A. It does not. Q. Okay. So what is the yellow bar? A. The yellow bar represents what would be the O.R. on that construction cost for that project if we were to treat this as depreciation. So it's a much smaller number as you can see than the following graph or the following bar, which is the gray bar, which is the interest expected interest on that capital improvement of that construction project. Q. Okay. So in other words, for the West Wing, this is a depreciation model? A. Correct. Q. So the green is the construction costs,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. BAKER: Sorry to be presumptuous on this, but I have two new exhibits. MR. PRADHAN: Yes. They'll be admitted as Exhibits 100 and 101. If you could just make sure to identify which is which number. MR. BAKER: So you're going get a chart, and the chart will be 100. And you're going to get some bullet points, and that'll be 101. MR. PRADHAN: Thank you. (Exhibit 100, "Depreciation vs. Lease Treatment [Recology]," was admitted into evidence.) (Exhibit 101, "Why Lease Financing Rather than Depreciation is Required [Recology]," was admitted into evidence.) BY MR. BAKER: Q. So Mr. Porter, I'd like to return to the	A. No, it does not. Q. Does that include financing costs and tips expense? A. It does not. Q. Okay. So what is the yellow bar? A. The yellow bar represents what would be the O.R. on that construction cost for that project if we were to treat this as depreciation. So it's a much smaller number as you can see than the following graph or the following bar, which is the gray bar, which is the interest expected interest on that capital improvement of that construction project. Q. Okay. So in other words, for the West Wing, this is a depreciation model? A. Correct. Q. So the green is the construction costs, and then it assumes that the construction costs are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. BAKER: Sorry to be presumptuous on this, but I have two new exhibits. MR. PRADHAN: Yes. They'll be admitted as Exhibits 100 and 101. If you could just make sure to identify which is which number. MR. BAKER: So you're going get a chart, and the chart will be 100. And you're going to get some bullet points, and that'll be 101. MR. PRADHAN: Thank you. (Exhibit 100, "Depreciation vs. Lease Treatment [Recology]," was admitted into evidence.) (Exhibit 101, "Why Lease Financing Rather than Depreciation is Required [Recology]," was admitted into evidence.) BY MR. BAKER: Q. So Mr. Porter, I'd like to return to the question that you've already testified about, namely why	A. No, it does not. Q. Does that include financing costs and tips expense? A. It does not. Q. Okay. So what is the yellow bar? A. The yellow bar represents what would be the O.R. on that construction cost for that project if we were to treat this as depreciation. So it's a much smaller number as you can see than the following graph or the following bar, which is the gray bar, which is the interest expected interest on that capital improvement of that construction project. Q. Okay. So in other words, for the West Wing, this is a depreciation model? A. Correct. Q. So the green is the construction costs, and then it assumes that the construction costs are depreciated on straight-line basis over how long?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. BAKER: Sorry to be presumptuous on this, but I have two new exhibits. MR. PRADHAN: Yes. They'll be admitted as Exhibits 100 and 101. If you could just make sure to identify which is which number. MR. BAKER: So you're going get a chart, and the chart will be 100. And you're going to get some bullet points, and that'll be 101. MR. PRADHAN: Thank you. (Exhibit 100, "Depreciation vs. Lease Treatment [Recology]," was admitted into evidence.) (Exhibit 101, "Why Lease Financing Rather than Depreciation is Required [Recology]," was admitted into evidence.) BY MR. BAKER: Q. So Mr. Porter, I'd like to return to the question that you've already testified about, namely why Recology is proposing lease financing treatment for the	A. No, it does not. Q. Does that include financing costs and tips expense? A. It does not. Q. Okay. So what is the yellow bar? A. The yellow bar represents what would be the O.R. on that construction cost for that project if we were to treat this as depreciation. So it's a much smaller number as you can see than the following graph or the following bar, which is the gray bar, which is the interest expected interest on that capital improvement of that construction project. Q. Okay. So in other words, for the West Wing, this is a depreciation model? A. Correct. Q. So the green is the construction costs, and then it assumes that the construction costs are depreciated on straight-line basis over how long? A. For that particular project, 20 years.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. BAKER: Sorry to be presumptuous on this, but I have two new exhibits. MR. PRADHAN: Yes. They'll be admitted as Exhibits 100 and 101. If you could just make sure to identify which is which number. MR. BAKER: So you're going get a chart, and the chart will be 100. And you're going to get some bullet points, and that'll be 101. MR. PRADHAN: Thank you. (Exhibit 100, "Depreciation vs. Lease Treatment [Recology]," was admitted into evidence.) (Exhibit 101, "Why Lease Financing Rather than Depreciation is Required [Recology]," was admitted into evidence.) BY MR. BAKER: Q. So Mr. Porter, I'd like to return to the question that you've already testified about, namely why Recology is proposing lease financing treatment for the three capital projects in the application the West	A. No, it does not. Q. Does that include financing costs and tips expense? A. It does not. Q. Okay. So what is the yellow bar? A. The yellow bar represents what would be the O.R. on that construction cost for that project if we were to treat this as depreciation. So it's a much smaller number as you can see than the following graph or the following bar, which is the gray bar, which is the interest expected interest on that capital improvement of that construction project. Q. Okay. So in other words, for the West Wing, this is a depreciation model? A. Correct. Q. So the green is the construction costs, and then it assumes that the construction costs are depreciated on straight-line basis over how long? A. For that particular project, 20 years. Q. 20 years? And then the yellow is the O.R.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. BAKER: Sorry to be presumptuous on this, but I have two new exhibits. MR. PRADHAN: Yes. They'll be admitted as Exhibits 100 and 101. If you could just make sure to identify which is which number. MR. BAKER: So you're going get a chart, and the chart will be 100. And you're going to get some bullet points, and that'll be 101. MR. PRADHAN: Thank you. (Exhibit 100, "Depreciation vs. Lease Treatment [Recology]," was admitted into evidence.) (Exhibit 101, "Why Lease Financing Rather than Depreciation is Required [Recology]," was admitted into evidence.) BY MR. BAKER: Q. So Mr. Porter, I'd like to return to the question that you've already testified about, namely why Recology is proposing lease financing treatment for the three capital projects in the application the West Wing, the iMRF, and the trash processing as opposed	A. No, it does not. Q. Does that include financing costs and tips expense? A. It does not. Q. Okay. So what is the yellow bar? A. The yellow bar represents what would be the O.R. on that construction cost for that project if we were to treat this as depreciation. So it's a much smaller number as you can see than the following graph or the following bar, which is the gray bar, which is the interest expected interest on that capital improvement of that construction project. Q. Okay. So in other words, for the West Wing, this is a depreciation model? A. Correct. Q. So the green is the construction costs, and then it assumes that the construction costs are depreciated on straight-line basis over how long? A. For that particular project, 20 years. Q. 20 years? And then the yellow is the O.R. that Recology would earn on that expense depreciation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. BAKER: Sorry to be presumptuous on this, but I have two new exhibits. MR. PRADHAN: Yes. They'll be admitted as Exhibits 100 and 101. If you could just make sure to identify which is which number. MR. BAKER: So you're going get a chart, and the chart will be 100. And you're going to get some bullet points, and that'll be 101. MR. PRADHAN: Thank you. (Exhibit 100, "Depreciation vs. Lease Treatment [Recology]," was admitted into evidence.) (Exhibit 101, "Why Lease Financing Rather than Depreciation is Required [Recology]," was admitted into evidence.) BY MR. BAKER: Q. So Mr. Porter, I'd like to return to the question that you've already testified about, namely why Recology is proposing lease financing treatment for the three capital projects in the application the West Wing, the iMRF, and the trash processing as opposed to expensing through depreciation.	A. No, it does not. Q. Does that include financing costs and tips expense? A. It does not. Q. Okay. So what is the yellow bar? A. The yellow bar represents what would be the O.R. on that construction cost for that project if we were to treat this as depreciation. So it's a much smaller number as you can see than the following graph or the following bar, which is the gray bar, which is the interest expected interest on that capital improvement of that construction project. Q. Okay. So in other words, for the West Wing, this is a depreciation model? A. Correct. Q. So the green is the construction costs, and then it assumes that the construction costs are depreciated on straight-line basis over how long? A. For that particular project, 20 years. Q. 20 years? And then the yellow is the O.R. that Recology would earn on that expense depreciation over a cumulative 20-year period; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. BAKER: Sorry to be presumptuous on this, but I have two new exhibits. MR. PRADHAN: Yes. They'll be admitted as Exhibits 100 and 101. If you could just make sure to identify which is which number. MR. BAKER: So you're going get a chart, and the chart will be 100. And you're going to get some bullet points, and that'll be 101. MR. PRADHAN: Thank you. (Exhibit 100, "Depreciation vs. Lease Treatment [Recology]," was admitted into evidence.) (Exhibit 101, "Why Lease Financing Rather than Depreciation is Required [Recology]," was admitted into evidence.) BY MR. BAKER: Q. So Mr. Porter, I'd like to return to the question that you've already testified about, namely why Recology is proposing lease financing treatment for the three capital projects in the application the West Wing, the iMRF, and the trash processing as opposed to expensing through depreciation. A. Okay.	A. No, it does not. Q. Does that include financing costs and tips expense? A. It does not. Q. Okay. So what is the yellow bar? A. The yellow bar represents what would be the O.R. on that construction cost for that project if we were to treat this as depreciation. So it's a much smaller number as you can see than the following graph or the following bar, which is the gray bar, which is the interest expected interest on that capital improvement of that construction project. Q. Okay. So in other words, for the West Wing, this is a depreciation model? A. Correct. Q. So the green is the construction costs, and then it assumes that the construction costs are depreciated on straight-line basis over how long? A. For that particular project, 20 years. Q. 20 years? And then the yellow is the O.R. that Recology would earn on that expense depreciation over a cumulative 20-year period; is that right? A. That is correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. BAKER: Sorry to be presumptuous on this, but I have two new exhibits. MR. PRADHAN: Yes. They'll be admitted as Exhibits 100 and 101. If you could just make sure to identify which is which number. MR. BAKER: So you're going get a chart, and the chart will be 100. And you're going to get some bullet points, and that'll be 101. MR. PRADHAN: Thank you. (Exhibit 100, "Depreciation vs. Lease Treatment [Recology]," was admitted into evidence.) (Exhibit 101, "Why Lease Financing Rather than Depreciation is Required [Recology]," was admitted into evidence.) BY MR. BAKER: Q. So Mr. Porter, I'd like to return to the question that you've already testified about, namely why Recology is proposing lease financing treatment for the three capital projects in the application the West Wing, the iMRF, and the trash processing as opposed to expensing through depreciation.	A. No, it does not. Q. Does that include financing costs and tips expense? A. It does not. Q. Okay. So what is the yellow bar? A. The yellow bar represents what would be the O.R. on that construction cost for that project if we were to treat this as depreciation. So it's a much smaller number as you can see than the following graph or the following bar, which is the gray bar, which is the interest expected interest on that capital improvement of that construction project. Q. Okay. So in other words, for the West Wing, this is a depreciation model? A. Correct. Q. So the green is the construction costs, and then it assumes that the construction costs are depreciated on straight-line basis over how long? A. For that particular project, 20 years. Q. 20 years? And then the yellow is the O.R. that Recology would earn on that expense depreciation over a cumulative 20-year period; is that right? A. That is correct.

1 1 Recology would pay, assuming a 3% rate if it borrowed provide further justification demonstrating the need for 2 2 the money to pay for that construction? this change in financial treatment and to certify that 3 3 A. That's correct. the leases are booked at market-comparable rates with 4 Q. And then what does the red show us? zero profit accruing to Recology's leasing company as 5 5 A. The red is the difference between interest recommended in the 2006 Director's Report; so this 6 6 expense less the O.R. on using the depreciation method. language that I just paraphrased was in the Staff Report 7 7 So effectively, this is the quote, unquote, "loss" that for the West Wing and the two contingent projects. 8 The letter that was requested was provided from the we would incur if we were not to use a lease financing 9 9 mechanism on that particular. Chief Financial Officer, Mr. Lamele of Recology, the 10 10 Q. And why would lease financing as opposed to parent company, is Exhibit 83. 11 So I just wanted -- now that Mr. Porter is 11 depreciation protect you from the loss in red? 12 12 here and has provided us additional testimony, I just A. Essentially, the gray bar, we would get 13 13 recovery on that gray which is the interest expense; want to ask Staff whether there's any other information 14 14 so we would include that as a piece of our recovery. that Staff requires or any other questions Staff would 1.5 15 like to ask to address the comment in the Staff Report Q. So in other words, the amount that you would 16 16 that further justification was desired. pay as an annual lease expense includes not only the 17 MS. DAWSON: I don't have any additional 17 construction cost, but also the financing that is 18 information I think needs to be provided, but I do want 18 required to get the money to build the building? 19 to just make sure we're clear for the public that what 19 A. Correct. 20 this means in effect is that there's no O.R. on these 2.0 Q. And that same pattern of the green, yellow, 21 leasing costs. So there's a shift with the chart that 21 gray and red is depicted here for the Contingent 22 you were introducing. You were essentially showing 22 Schedule, the iMRF? 23 there was a loss because the O.R. that you were unable 23 A. Yes. 2.4 to capture wouldn't cover the expense of the financing. 24 Q. And also for the trash processing facility? 25 In the new scenario, you're allowed to pass 25 A. That's correct. Page 771 Page 773 1 Q. And then the total? through the entire cost of the financing, but you don't 2 2 A. Correct. earn any O.R.; so it reduces your risk on that side, but 3 3 Q. Now, what is Exhibit 101? it also doesn't allow for that profit. So I just want 4 4 A. 101, I believe, is Julia Dawson's request. to make that clear. 5 5 She wanted a narrative to kind of describe the rationale MR. BAKER: That's a good summary. 6 BY MR. BAKER: from using a lease method versus depreciation. And so 7 7 Q. Is that true, Mr. Porter? we've written the narrative to help kind of reinforce 8 8 the items that have already been discussed as part of A. I would maybe make more of a nuanced comment 9 9 in the sense that it's not O.R., there's just no profit these hearings. 10 Q. Can you summarize that for us, please? 10 on the leasing -- built-in profit on the leasing 11 11 A. Sure. Depreciation is a method that would mechanism. So our leasing entity that, you know, 12 12 recover the cost of construction, but not the financing Recology has created does not get any profit on the 13 13 financing of these facility developments. costs; so depreciation is designed to compensate for the 14 risk of capital, not for the cost of financing. 14 Q. So there's no double-profit? 15 15 A. Correct. Two, this is a historical practice for 16 16 Q. But there is an O.R. on the expense -previous projects of a similar nature such as the 17 original iMRF, lease financing was used, and so we're 17 18 just asking to continue that practice. 18 Q. -- that the lease payments that Recology pays 19 19 And then lastly, it's a reasonable return under the lease financing structure? 20 20 associated with the risks of each project and is A. Correct. 21 21 required to cover the cost of financing these Q. Have we --22 22 construction projects. MS. DAWSON: Just one other point of 23 23 MR. BAKER: Okay. This is a very important clarification. When Recology is running its lease 24 24 financing, it is still going to the market for those issue to Recology, which is the reason we've spent time 25 2.5 on it, and the Staff Report did ask that Recology borrowing costs?

Page 772

_		
1	THE WITNESS: That's correct.	workshops prior to the rate application, even the draft
2	MS. DAWSON: It's not the "Bank of Recology,"	application did not include that \$5 dollar credit. But
3	it's a commercial institution. And Recology is subject	before submittal between draft and final, you did add
4	to those market fluctuations?	4 that \$5 dollar credit?
5	THE WITNESS: That's true.	⁵ A. I frankly don't recall when that credit was
6	MS. DAWSON: But at the same time, it can also	6 introduced into the application. You seem certain, so I
7	search around for the best cost to borrowing?	will go with your recollection. It's been a very long
8	THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. I guess	8 process for me, so the timeline's getting a little
9	one further point is the methodology that's used to	9 blurred.
10	create the interest expense was prescribed, I believe,	Q. Okay. I'd also like to talk a little bit
11	as part of the 2006 Director's Report. And that	about the \$10 dollar surcharge you mentioned that
12	methodology continues to be used in this application and	multigenerational households would be affected. But
13		what in effect has happened with the rate structure is
14		that those customers are getting a decrease in their
15	DI FINA DI MERA	rates. So the idea behind the surcharge is to keep
16	Q. And it is about in this approach regard to	people at a to not reduce the rates as much, although
17	equipment parenases, sat also than regard to these times	in fact they're seeing a far smaller increase than other
18	capital collection projects.	in fact they re seeing a far smaller mereuse than strict
	7 That's correct 7 and 30 the reason 1 say	customers even with the suremarger 15 that
19	that is just to kind of farther add on to his. Bawson's	A. That's an accurate statement, yes.
20	comment that the methodology prescribed in the 2000	Q. And so what we're really trying to achieve
21	Birector's Report requires us to use market lease rates.	with the surcharge is to bring those customers to have
22	And so I'm just kind of further adding to that.	at least not a decrease in service though not as big
23	MR. BAKER: Thank you.	an increase as the smaller waste-generating customers,
24	Any other questions from Staff on that point?	but also give them an incentive to reduce.
25	DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. Mr. Haley has a	Now, if they can't reduce, given that they're
	Page 775	Page 777
1	question.	not seeing as large a rate increase as other customers,
2	EXAMINATION	
	270 1110 1110 11	it seems to me that even if they have multigeneration
3	BY MR. HALEY:	and they're unable to reduce, they're not seeing as big
	-	it been to me that even if they have management on
3	BY MR. HALEY:	and they're unable to reduce, they're not seeing as big
3 4	BY MR. HALEY: Q. A little while ago you were talking about	and they're unable to reduce, they're not seeing as big an impact and they're still on the lower side of the
3 4 5	BY MR. HALEY: Q. A little while ago you were talking about premium charges on shared service, and I just want to	and they're unable to reduce, they're not seeing as big an impact and they're still on the lower side of the average increase than most customers. Is that fair?
3 4 5 6	BY MR. HALEY: Q. A little while ago you were talking about premium charges on shared service, and I just want to clarify. I think you were really talking about	and they're unable to reduce, they're not seeing as big an impact and they're still on the lower side of the average increase than most customers. Is that fair? A. That is, again, an accurate statement, I would
3 4 5 6 7	BY MR. HALEY: Q. A little while ago you were talking about premium charges on shared service, and I just want to clarify. I think you were really talking about splitting bills.	and they're unable to reduce, they're not seeing as big an impact and they're still on the lower side of the average increase than most customers. Is that fair? A. That is, again, an accurate statement, I would say.
3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. HALEY: Q. A little while ago you were talking about premium charges on shared service, and I just want to clarify. I think you were really talking about splitting bills. A. That's correct. Q. And so there is not a premium charge on shared	and they're unable to reduce, they're not seeing as big an impact and they're still on the lower side of the average increase than most customers. Is that fair? A. That is, again, an accurate statement, I would say. Q. Okay. And then back to our favorite topic,
3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. HALEY: Q. A little while ago you were talking about premium charges on shared service, and I just want to clarify. I think you were really talking about splitting bills. A. That's correct. Q. And so there is not a premium charge on shared service, rather there is a premium charge on splitting	and they're unable to reduce, they're not seeing as big an impact and they're still on the lower side of the average increase than most customers. Is that fair? A. That is, again, an accurate statement, I would say. Q. Okay. And then back to our favorite topic, the split bill.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. HALEY: Q. A little while ago you were talking about premium charges on shared service, and I just want to clarify. I think you were really talking about splitting bills. A. That's correct. Q. And so there is not a premium charge on shared service, rather there is a premium charge on splitting	and they're unable to reduce, they're not seeing as big an impact and they're still on the lower side of the average increase than most customers. Is that fair? A. That is, again, an accurate statement, I would say. Q. Okay. And then back to our favorite topic, the split bill. A. Okay.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY MR. HALEY: Q. A little while ago you were talking about premium charges on shared service, and I just want to clarify. I think you were really talking about splitting bills. A. That's correct. Q. And so there is not a premium charge on shared service, rather there is a premium charge on splitting the bills? A. Correct.	and they're unable to reduce, they're not seeing as big an impact and they're still on the lower side of the average increase than most customers. Is that fair? A. That is, again, an accurate statement, I would say. Q. Okay. And then back to our favorite topic, the split bill. A. Okay. Q. So how many split bills do you currently
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	BY MR. HALEY: Q. A little while ago you were talking about premium charges on shared service, and I just want to clarify. I think you were really talking about splitting bills. A. That's correct. Q. And so there is not a premium charge on shared service, rather there is a premium charge on splitting the bills? A. Correct. MR. HALEY: Thank you.	and they're unable to reduce, they're not seeing as big an impact and they're still on the lower side of the average increase than most customers. Is that fair? A. That is, again, an accurate statement, I would say. Q. Okay. And then back to our favorite topic, the split bill. A. Okay. Q. So how many split bills do you currently process in a billing cycle? Do you have a sense of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. HALEY: Q. A little while ago you were talking about premium charges on shared service, and I just want to clarify. I think you were really talking about splitting bills. A. That's correct. Q. And so there is not a premium charge on shared service, rather there is a premium charge on splitting the bills? A. Correct. MR. HALEY: Thank you. MS. DAWSON: I also have some follow-up	and they're unable to reduce, they're not seeing as big an impact and they're still on the lower side of the average increase than most customers. Is that fair? A. That is, again, an accurate statement, I would say. Q. Okay. And then back to our favorite topic, the split bill. A. Okay. Q. So how many split bills do you currently process in a billing cycle? Do you have a sense of that?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY MR. HALEY: Q. A little while ago you were talking about premium charges on shared service, and I just want to clarify. I think you were really talking about splitting bills. A. That's correct. Q. And so there is not a premium charge on shared service, rather there is a premium charge on splitting the bills? A. Correct. MR. HALEY: Thank you. MS. DAWSON: I also have some follow-up questions for Mr. Porter.	and they're unable to reduce, they're not seeing as big an impact and they're still on the lower side of the average increase than most customers. Is that fair? A. That is, again, an accurate statement, I would say. Q. Okay. And then back to our favorite topic, the split bill. A. Okay. Q. So how many split bills do you currently process in a billing cycle? Do you have a sense of that? A. I don't have the exact number in front of me. I believe it's around 6,600.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY MR. HALEY: Q. A little while ago you were talking about premium charges on shared service, and I just want to clarify. I think you were really talking about splitting bills. A. That's correct. Q. And so there is not a premium charge on shared service, rather there is a premium charge on splitting the bills? A. Correct. MR. HALEY: Thank you. MS. DAWSON: I also have some follow-up questions for Mr. Porter. EXAMINATION	and they're unable to reduce, they're not seeing as big an impact and they're still on the lower side of the average increase than most customers. Is that fair? A. That is, again, an accurate statement, I would say. Q. Okay. And then back to our favorite topic, the split bill. A. Okay. Q. So how many split bills do you currently process in a billing cycle? Do you have a sense of that? A. I don't have the exact number in front of me. I believe it's around 6,600. Q. And have you I know you're still
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. HALEY: Q. A little while ago you were talking about premium charges on shared service, and I just want to clarify. I think you were really talking about splitting bills. A. That's correct. Q. And so there is not a premium charge on shared service, rather there is a premium charge on splitting the bills? A. Correct. MR. HALEY: Thank you. MS. DAWSON: I also have some follow-up questions for Mr. Porter. EXAMINATION BY MS. DAWSON:	and they're unable to reduce, they're not seeing as big an impact and they're still on the lower side of the average increase than most customers. Is that fair? A. That is, again, an accurate statement, I would say. Q. Okay. And then back to our favorite topic, the split bill. A. Okay. Q. So how many split bills do you currently process in a billing cycle? Do you have a sense of that? A. I don't have the exact number in front of me. I believe it's around 6,600. Q. And have you I know you're still considering options and I imagine there will still be
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. HALEY: Q. A little while ago you were talking about premium charges on shared service, and I just want to clarify. I think you were really talking about splitting bills. A. That's correct. Q. And so there is not a premium charge on shared service, rather there is a premium charge on splitting the bills? A. Correct. MR. HALEY: Thank you. MS. DAWSON: I also have some follow-up questions for Mr. Porter. EXAMINATION BY MS. DAWSON: Q. On the subject of the rates, I just want to be	and they're unable to reduce, they're not seeing as big an impact and they're still on the lower side of the average increase than most customers. Is that fair? A. That is, again, an accurate statement, I would say. Q. Okay. And then back to our favorite topic, the split bill. A. Okay. Q. So how many split bills do you currently process in a billing cycle? Do you have a sense of that? A. I don't have the exact number in front of me. I believe it's around 6,600. Q. And have you I know you're still considering options and I imagine there will still be discussion on this item to figure out what seems like a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. HALEY: Q. A little while ago you were talking about premium charges on shared service, and I just want to clarify. I think you were really talking about splitting bills. A. That's correct. Q. And so there is not a premium charge on shared service, rather there is a premium charge on splitting the bills? A. Correct. MR. HALEY: Thank you. MS. DAWSON: I also have some follow-up questions for Mr. Porter. EXAMINATION BY MS. DAWSON: Q. On the subject of the rates, I just want to be clear. That \$5 dollar credit that you originally	and they're unable to reduce, they're not seeing as big an impact and they're still on the lower side of the average increase than most customers. Is that fair? A. That is, again, an accurate statement, I would say. Q. Okay. And then back to our favorite topic, the split bill. A. Okay. Q. So how many split bills do you currently process in a billing cycle? Do you have a sense of that? A. I don't have the exact number in front of me. I believe it's around 6,600. Q. And have you I know you're still considering options and I imagine there will still be discussion on this item to figure out what seems like a fair solution, but we're wondering if you've considered
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MR. HALEY: Q. A little while ago you were talking about premium charges on shared service, and I just want to clarify. I think you were really talking about splitting bills. A. That's correct. Q. And so there is not a premium charge on shared service, rather there is a premium charge on splitting the bills? A. Correct. MR. HALEY: Thank you. MS. DAWSON: I also have some follow-up questions for Mr. Porter. EXAMINATION BY MS. DAWSON: Q. On the subject of the rates, I just want to be clear. That \$5 dollar credit that you originally proposed to low-waste generators, that was actually not	and they're unable to reduce, they're not seeing as big an impact and they're still on the lower side of the average increase than most customers. Is that fair? A. That is, again, an accurate statement, I would say. Q. Okay. And then back to our favorite topic, the split bill. A. Okay. Q. So how many split bills do you currently process in a billing cycle? Do you have a sense of that? A. I don't have the exact number in front of me. I believe it's around 6,600. Q. And have you I know you're still considering options and I imagine there will still be discussion on this item to figure out what seems like a fair solution, but we're wondering if you've considered just kind of a simple administrative fee to cover the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. HALEY: Q. A little while ago you were talking about premium charges on shared service, and I just want to clarify. I think you were really talking about splitting bills. A. That's correct. Q. And so there is not a premium charge on shared service, rather there is a premium charge on splitting the bills? A. Correct. MR. HALEY: Thank you. MS. DAWSON: I also have some follow-up questions for Mr. Porter. EXAMINATION BY MS. DAWSON: Q. On the subject of the rates, I just want to be clear. That \$5 dollar credit that you originally proposed to low-waste generators, that was actually not in any of the workshops prior to the submittal of the	and they're unable to reduce, they're not seeing as big an impact and they're still on the lower side of the average increase than most customers. Is that fair? A. That is, again, an accurate statement, I would say. Q. Okay. And then back to our favorite topic, the split bill. A. Okay. Q. So how many split bills do you currently process in a billing cycle? Do you have a sense of that? A. I don't have the exact number in front of me. I believe it's around 6,600. Q. And have you I know you're still considering options and I imagine there will still be discussion on this item to figure out what seems like a fair solution, but we're wondering if you've considered just kind of a simple administrative fee to cover the split bill expense. You know, split bills seem like an
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. HALEY: Q. A little while ago you were talking about premium charges on shared service, and I just want to clarify. I think you were really talking about splitting bills. A. That's correct. Q. And so there is not a premium charge on shared service, rather there is a premium charge on splitting the bills? A. Correct. MR. HALEY: Thank you. MS. DAWSON: I also have some follow-up questions for Mr. Porter. EXAMINATION BY MS. DAWSON: Q. On the subject of the rates, I just want to be clear. That \$5 dollar credit that you originally proposed to low-waste generators, that was actually not in any of the workshops prior to the submittal of the rate application, I believe.	and they're unable to reduce, they're not seeing as big an impact and they're still on the lower side of the average increase than most customers. Is that fair? A. That is, again, an accurate statement, I would say. Q. Okay. And then back to our favorite topic, the split bill. A. Okay. Q. So how many split bills do you currently process in a billing cycle? Do you have a sense of that? A. I don't have the exact number in front of me. I believe it's around 6,600. Q. And have you I know you're still considering options and I imagine there will still be discussion on this item to figure out what seems like a fair solution, but we're wondering if you've considered just kind of a simple administrative fee to cover the split bill expense. You know, split bills seem like an administrative cost rather than being related to the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MR. HALEY: Q. A little while ago you were talking about premium charges on shared service, and I just want to clarify. I think you were really talking about splitting bills. A. That's correct. Q. And so there is not a premium charge on shared service, rather there is a premium charge on splitting the bills? A. Correct. MR. HALEY: Thank you. MS. DAWSON: I also have some follow-up questions for Mr. Porter. EXAMINATION BY MS. DAWSON: Q. On the subject of the rates, I just want to be clear. That \$5 dollar credit that you originally proposed to low-waste generators, that was actually not in any of the workshops prior to the submittal of the rate application, I believe. A. I don't recall whether or not it was discussed	and they're unable to reduce, they're not seeing as big an impact and they're still on the lower side of the average increase than most customers. Is that fair? A. That is, again, an accurate statement, I would say. Q. Okay. And then back to our favorite topic, the split bill. A. Okay. Q. So how many split bills do you currently process in a billing cycle? Do you have a sense of that? A. I don't have the exact number in front of me. I believe it's around 6,600. Q. And have you I know you're still considering options and I imagine there will still be discussion on this item to figure out what seems like a fair solution, but we're wondering if you've considered just kind of a simple administrative fee to cover the split bill expense. You know, split bills seem like an administrative cost rather than being related to the fixed charge. So is splitting the bill essentially just
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	BY MR. HALEY: Q. A little while ago you were talking about premium charges on shared service, and I just want to clarify. I think you were really talking about splitting bills. A. That's correct. Q. And so there is not a premium charge on shared service, rather there is a premium charge on splitting the bills? A. Correct. MR. HALEY: Thank you. MS. DAWSON: I also have some follow-up questions for Mr. Porter. EXAMINATION BY MS. DAWSON: Q. On the subject of the rates, I just want to be clear. That \$5 dollar credit that you originally proposed to low-waste generators, that was actually not in any of the workshops prior to the submittal of the rate application, I believe. A. I don't recall whether or not it was discussed or contemplated during the workshops.	and they're unable to reduce, they're not seeing as big an impact and they're still on the lower side of the average increase than most customers. Is that fair? A. That is, again, an accurate statement, I would say. Q. Okay. And then back to our favorite topic, the split bill. A. Okay. Q. So how many split bills do you currently process in a billing cycle? Do you have a sense of that? A. I don't have the exact number in front of me. I believe it's around 6,600. Q. And have you I know you're still considering options and I imagine there will still be discussion on this item to figure out what seems like a fair solution, but we're wondering if you've considered just kind of a simple administrative fee to cover the split bill expense. You know, split bills seem like an administrative cost rather than being related to the fixed charge. So is splitting the bill essentially just a fixed cost regardless of the type of service somebody
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MR. HALEY: Q. A little while ago you were talking about premium charges on shared service, and I just want to clarify. I think you were really talking about splitting bills. A. That's correct. Q. And so there is not a premium charge on shared service, rather there is a premium charge on splitting the bills? A. Correct. MR. HALEY: Thank you. MS. DAWSON: I also have some follow-up questions for Mr. Porter. EXAMINATION BY MS. DAWSON: Q. On the subject of the rates, I just want to be clear. That \$5 dollar credit that you originally proposed to low-waste generators, that was actually not in any of the workshops prior to the submittal of the rate application, I believe. A. I don't recall whether or not it was discussed or contemplated during the workshops.	and they're unable to reduce, they're not seeing as big an impact and they're still on the lower side of the average increase than most customers. Is that fair? A. That is, again, an accurate statement, I would say. Q. Okay. And then back to our favorite topic, the split bill. A. Okay. Q. So how many split bills do you currently process in a billing cycle? Do you have a sense of that? A. I don't have the exact number in front of me. I believe it's around 6,600. Q. And have you I know you're still considering options and I imagine there will still be discussion on this item to figure out what seems like a fair solution, but we're wondering if you've considered just kind of a simple administrative fee to cover the split bill expense. You know, split bills seem like an administrative cost rather than being related to the fixed charge. So is splitting the bill essentially just

2.5

should be trying to think about these additional costs.

- A. So you're wondering about the underlying costs associated with administrating each account?
- Q. Yeah, and that might be fixed. You know, if you're always having to adjust for doing two bills, there's a certain fixed cost to that to splitting them. When one out of those units is vacant, there's a cost of making sure you know where to apply that vacant unit until it's filled again. I'm trying to understand whether there might be a way to establish this as a fixed cost. I think the concern is right now it's a percentage.
 - A. Mm-hmm.

2.0

- Q. And that percentage, as the fixed part of the rate goes up versus the variable, that percentage is growing. It's growing on this bigger base and it seems like it's perhaps outgrowing what is a reasonable cost to provide this kind of service, and so I think that's really what we're interested in exploring.
- A. I understand. To answer your question directly, no formal study has been performed associated with how much money does it cost in a year to administer all of these split accounts. You know, what I would say the is that it is a substantial effort in managing these accounts and some of the compliance issues that have

Q. In hearing you talk about this, I think
I would say that we might want to look at -- maybe
there are ways to look at the different charges.
For instance, isolating what you're doing for the
charging of the bill from starting and stopping service.
Maybe there needs to be a charge specific to that for
these units to recognize that there's logistical issues
with you starting and stopping service and desegregating
those.

Because I think what's happening, as I said, the rate shift that you're accumulating costs on a constant basis that's not really real. But when you these changeovers, maybe we need to look at a cost to adjust for that. So I'm trying to be sensitive to your administrative issues, but I think we need to look at it a different way of potentially moving these costs around?

A. We are definitely open to an alternative structure for this and happy to work with you on that. My only ask is that we find a solution that is simple for the customer to understand and that also simple for us to administer and easy for us to kind of track to ensure that we don't have compliance issues, to make sure we're not spending a lot of time and energy flipping carts when it's not necessary. Those types of

Page 779

Page 781

been brought up during this discussion are a result of these split accounts.

So for example, I have two-unit building, two inhabitants. They have a 32-gallon. One person moves out. You know, that account is closed; now we have to go find that other account, remove the split. That customer calls, says, "I don't want my 32-gallon trash. I want a 20-gallon trash." Now, a new inhabitant moves in. Now we've got two people living in a building with a 20-gallon trash. It's upon them to call us and tell us that someone's moved in. So in the event that they do that, then we have to exchange the cart, get them a new cart, all for someone moving in and out of a building, which happens in San Francisco quite often.

And so if you include those types of costs in the split bill process, it is not insignificant in terms of labor. So I would say a one fixed-dollar administrative charge would be very difficult for us to kind of come up with because it all is dependent on facts and circumstances. And so -- and then on top of that, I think it also would be a challenge to administer.

So to answer your question, no, we have not considered just a fixed-dollar amount to kind solve this solve this problem, if you will.

things.

MS. DAWSON: Acknowledged.

DIRECTOR NURU: Any other cross-examination on any topic? Yes, you want to cross-examine.

Ratepayer Advocate.

EXAMINATION

BY MS. DILGER:

- Q. Hi. On the same topic, did you consider -as Julia just mentioned; I'll just echo her a bit -transaction fees for changes as well as some kind of transfer of service? With most utilities, you can call and have service transferred.
- A. So on your first question, so you're asking basically what Julia's idea of a cost for each bin change or something along those lines. Is that what you're asking?

No, we had not considered that as an option yet. Again, we're open to talking about that and considering that. And then to your second question, you'll have to repeat it. I apologize.

- Q. If people are moving within the city, can they transfer their service? And would that be a lower administrative cost?
- A. That's an interesting question. No, we have not talked about that yet. Our database actually uses

Page 780

2.5

both an account number and address number. We like to track buildings and properties by their address. And so when someone closes their account with us, we typically like to close that account and not transfer it. Because in terms of administering properties and servicing properties, it's important that we know exactly where that customer is.

2.0

So if we were to transfer an account to a different address, service address, we would then need to change route that they're on, make sure their service is matched, and so on, and that could result in errors that we would like to avoid. So while I agree that transferring your service like you would with Comcast or something along those lines, it would be preferable right now administratively. It's not something that I think we'd like to pursue, but definitely something we would like to consider and try to work with in the future.

Q. It seems like there may be some overlap between the increase dwelling unit charge of \$20 dollars per unit as well as the 50% percent premium for shared buildings. Have you calculated how this could be overlap for certain customers?

A. You know, I think that shared accounts are very a small minority of our customers. 6,600 accounts

Advocate has been doing extensive community outreach to community organizations, online, in multiple areas of distribution to inform ratepayers of the proposed changes, to answer their questions where possible, and to convey their thoughts and feelings and concerns in these hearings and also in reports to Public Works.

What you'll see is, as I said, similar to what we introduced before, but a little more expanded. It's a list of the neighborhood organizations where we did presentations. There are about 60 of them, I believe. We also did community presentations to some citywide stakeholder organizations, police station community meetings -- because it's a wonderful gathering place for people even though it's not necessarily a police issue, and then some additional distribution via the Board of Supervisors newsletters and some other community resources.

Additionally, we did some media outreach. We advertised in 13 local and multilingual newspapers with a collective readership of about 22,000 people. We also had a website that we updated regularly and did both paid and voluntary social promotion on social media via Facebook. We resulted in 195 likes, which I'm actually quite proud of on Facebook, and reached over 19,000 Facebook users. You'll see a list of the print and

Page 783 Page 785

with 168,000 customers in San Francisco is a fairly small number overall, so I don't know that it would have a significant impact, that unit charge, on that particular subset. There's very distinct costs associated with split bills that I think are separate from that unit charge that we've contemplated under this proposed rate.

Q. So you mentioned you don't have a proposal to introduce today. Do you have a deadline for when you'll have one?

A. Well, I would expect we'd need to have something agreed upon before the Director's Report, so we've got a week or so to come up with something.

MS. DILGER: Okay. Thank you.

DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. Actually, I'll ask the Ratepayer Advocate. Do you have any additional questions that you would like to address to the Companies or the Staff at this time or additional comments or exhibits you would like to add to the record on behalf of the public?

MS. DILGER: I do have one final exhibit to introduce.

We're introducing a summary of our outreach efforts. It's an expanded version of what we submitted a couple of hearings ago. As you know, Ratepayer

media advertisements we did and in which publications as well as the links to all of our social media.

To gather ratepayer input, we went all over the city in all 11 districts -- and as we said, some citywide organizations -- and we also staffed the telephone number, the e-mail, and the website. I would say that in the beginning we got mostly some concerns and questions, and it really gave the ratepayer team an opportunity to communicate with people because by and large, I would say almost everyone that contacted us was confused on some level. It's a complicated process. But I think that we really had an opportunity to communicate the process and also the rate itself. And in the end we often ended up with people who were still opposed to either part or all of it, but most people walked away feeling that they had better information in their hands.

We've already gone over some the common themes in feedback from before that helped inform the Staff Report, but they have not changed as this proceeding has gone further. The most common themes and feedback that we've had are the general cost of living. There are a lot of concerns with ratepayers that this increase is double digits and much more than most people make, whether it's on a fixed income or even in the private

Page 784

7

8

10

11

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 sector. It's higher than what people are comfortable 2 paying even though they understand a lot of the changes 3 and their justifications. I think we've done a lot to 4 address some of these disproportional impacts and 5 throughout to see some of the recommendations that 6 Public Works has made for especially low-waste 7 generators, two-to-five-unit building residents, and 8 also the recommendations in the Staff Report to raise that income level for seniors and those on fixed incomes.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As far as minimum service and pickup requirements, I think that it kind of goes hand-in-hand with low-waste generators. There's still a number of ratepayers who feel that they are being unduly impacted and would like to have the level of their service more reflective in the change of their rate. As we've seen, it will impact them a bit more than others. And I think that there is still some outreach and communication work to be done, and hopefully in the future some policy work to be done to maybe change our standards as we do get closer to the reality of zero waste.

Apartment and commercial rates, not as much feedback in general. But I think that the individual ratepayer in a single-family residence or in a two-to--five-unit building wants to know more about how their 1 refunds and rebates as well as the incentives. I think 2 that most ratepayers see that dollar amount on their 3 bill and they want it to come back to them. Whether 4 that's a larger conversation or not, I think that the 5 impact of seeing that dollar amount is meaningful to 6 them.

And then in the end, there's the same recommendations that we made for the Staff Report. Those have not changed, but I am pleased to see how this process has gone and I am thankful for the opportunity to represent the voice of the public.

12 MR. PRADHAN: Thank you. And the memo you 13 have circulated will be marked as 102.

(Exhibit 102, "Memo from Ratepayer Advocate to DPW Director [Ratepayers]," was admitted into evidence.)

MS. DILGER: Thank you.

DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. At this time, I will allow for final examinations from either the Department or the Companies.

21 MR. BAKER: We have one -- I have one 22 housekeeping thing that I'd like to take care of.

23 DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. 24

MR. BAKER: Recology's rate model includes revenue projections for payments from CalRecycle under

Page 787

Page 789

rates are supporting the needs of these buildings, especially as we've learned with the development impact of new apartments coming online and Recology stating that they don't intend to make any money on that because of the increase of routes. We wonder if there's some kind of development change, something that has to do with one building. Is there an impact for how this does impact refuse rates the same way it might transit?

Of course, our most common feedback in comment at any public meeting is the question of pilfering and enforcement. I think part of this is giving the community access and doing more outreach and education, but also maybe working within city departments to up the enforcement more than anything.

As far as the public process, we've all been here now for many meetings, so I think that that part is pretty clear. I would recommended later start times, if possible, for the average person.

We've heard a lot about outreach education. For the new programs that will be funded through Department of Environment, we've heard very few specifics and I think that a lot of ratepayers are eager to do the work themselves and it may be worth empowering them to give them an opportunity to do that.

Zero waste, we've talked a bit about the

1 the California bottle bill. And in the summary of 2 assumptions for Recology San Francisco that were part of 3 the application, Recology noted the possibility that the 4 bottle bill might be changed and noted discussions 5 currently underway in Sacramento addressing that subject. And the possibility that if the bottle bill 7 was amended, that it could change the way that 8 collectors and processors like Recology are paid and how 9 much they're paid.

So in the summary of assumptions submitted as part of the application, Recology suggested that the Director consider a mechanism to address a possible change in the bottle bill as part of the annual COLA adjustment. There's been no testimony on that subject from any of Recology's witnesses nor from the City's witnesses, but the Staff Report does mention the fact that Recology had included this in its application.

On reflection, Recology would like to withdraw that request. In thinking through how an adjustment like this might work, Recology concluded that it could be too complicated to deal with as part of the annual COLA adjustment and it also might not be consistent with the Ordinance itself; so Recology is withdrawing that. As everybody knows, there are mechanisms to address changes that occur between rate hearings. Recology,

Page 788

1	the City or anybody else can apply for a new rate	1	"Every, week my 20-gallon black bin is not
2	hearing. There's a reserve fund under the new landfill	2	even 25% full. My blue bin is usually full,
3	agreement as a general matter that addresses certain	3	and I keep my own garden compost for
4	situations that might arise.	4	gardening; so my 32-gallon green bin has even
5	So there are various mechanisms to deal with	5	less than my black unless I'm going to do some
6	things that occur between rate hearings, and Recology	6	yard work, then my green bin is full of weeds
7	thinks it's best that even though it made the	7	and gets picked up maybe ten times per year.
8	suggestion, that the suggestion not be pursued and is	8	"My garbage could be picked up about every
9	withdrawing it. So thank you.	9	other week and it still wouldn't be full. I
10	And we have no further witnesses and no	10	will be reducing my black bin to the 16-gallon
11	further comment.	11	size as well, so I do not feel it's fair for
12	DIRECTOR NURU: Thank you. I'll ask the	12	me to be charged this. When I calculated it
13	Department. Anything?	13	was 50%, but it looks like there's been a
14	Okay. I will then proceed to public comment.	14	rebate of \$5 dollars. It's a bit less.
15	I would like to open the public comment	15	"More for something that I already do,
16	period. Could everyone wishing to speak please give me	16	over and above what you are trying to get
17	a show of hands so I can allow time for everyone who	17	everyone else to do. It feels like I'm being
18	wants to speak.	18	penalized. I have also been and continue to
19	Okay. I'll allow five minutes per person for	19	be diligent about taking anything and
20	public comment. Since this is public comment only, you	20	everything that I can to places like SCRAP who
21	do not need to be sworn in unless you also intend to	21	reuse items that most people throw out, such
22	present material you'd like to have placed into the	22	as wine bottle cork caps, Styrofoam chips,
23	record. If that is the case, I will have our clerk	23	baskets, plastic flower pots I don't put
24	swear you in. Also, when you come forward, please state	24	any of this in my bin and I never have.
25	your name so that the court reporter can enter it into	25	"So instead of filling up my black bin
	Page 791		Page 793
1	the record.	1	with such things, I have also been an avid
2	Thank you. And let's proceed with our first	2	water recycler even before the drought and I
3	speaker.	3	had a gray water system installed. My water
4	PUBLIC COMMENT BY MAXIMILIENNE EWALT	4	bill is down to \$20 dollars a month. It's
5	MS. EWALT: Hello, hi. I do have my letter to	5	well below the utilities conservation target
6	submit, my personal letter. Is that something I need to	6	for individuals. For years before the
7	give to you? But I was just going to read it if I have	7	drought, I saved my bath and shower water and
8	five minutes.	8	siphoned it out to water my garden in dry
9	DIRECTOR NURU: You're welcome to.	9	periods. And I do this not to save money, but
10	MS. EWALT: My name is Maximilienne Ewalt	10	because I believe in the power and need to
11	and I'm a ratepayer. I live in San Francisco in the	11	reduce our waste of resources.
12	Ingleside district and I just found out recently how	12	"So I thank you for the opportunity to
13	this is going to affect me because it took me a while to	13	weigh in and to voice my concern and that I
14	find out. So I just wrote:	14	hope you will reconsider overcharging
15	"To whom it may concern,	15	customers like myself. I do understand the
16	"I'm writing to you to ask you to	16	big picture and I do support a zero waste
17	reconsider the almost 50% rate increase that	17	garbage target, but a 50% rate increase way
18	I am being asked to pay as a Sunset Scavenger	18	too much too fast, especially for those of us
19	customer in San Francisco. I have been a	19	who already have greatly reduced our waste."
20	dedicated recycler for decades. I have	20	I also want to just add that I learned today
21	enclosed a recent bill to show that I already	21	that, I guess, people who have higher waste, they're
22	have the bare minimum storage capacity bins	22	getting a decrease; so that makes me feel even more
23	that you provide. I requested this myself	23	penalized, and that is not fair. The last increase,
24	long before this rate increase was on the	24	I think, was 4 years ago, so it hasn't been all that
25	table.	25	long ago that there was an increase. When I get a raise
	D 702		D 704
1	Page 792	1	Page 794

```
1
                                                                       1
       in my job, I maybe got a 2% rate or 3% percent the most,
                                                                                     As part of that, I think that there should be
 2
                                                                        2
       so this is like huge. More than people getting
                                                                              public review at least the 30 days that were suggested
 3
                                                                        3
       increases in their jobs.
                                                                              in the Staff Report for proposals to use the ZWI funds
                                                                        4
 4
              So I also -- just to let you know, I always
                                                                              and as was just suggested by Mr. Baker, the use of
 5
                                                                       5
       used both sides of paper. Like, even some paper that's
                                                                              reserve funds. Any sort of major financial proposals,
 6
                                                                        6
       here today could be used on both sides. So you know,
                                                                              I think, should have a 30-day public review prior to any
                                                                       7
 7
                                                                              action by you as the DPW director to authorize using
       everybody has to do their part.
 8
                                                                        8
              What else? Oh, so I heard you say to the
                                                                              vour powers.
 9
                                                                       9
       gentleman that he didn't know how many people lived in a
                                                                                     I intended to introduce an exhibit today and
10
                                                                      10
       house. I think that really -- you should know how many
                                                                              unfortunately, I brought the wrong exhibit; so if we
                                                                      11
11
       people are living in a house and they should be charged
                                                                              could reserve an exhibit. It's a five-page memo from
12
                                                                      12
       appropriately.
                                                                              July of 2015 that was approved by the Commission of the
13
                                                                      13
              The other thing I thought of is in my
                                                                              Environment relating to the use of the impound account
14
                                                                      14
       neighborhood, there's a lot of those people who dump
                                                                              funds at Department of the Environment. Unfortunately
                                                                      15
15
       stuff illegally on the streets, and there's corners
                                                                              I brought the 2007 guidelines, but it's a five-page memo
16
                                                                      16
       where it happens all the time. And I was just wondering
                                                                              as I've described.
17
                                                                      17
       if anyone's thought of maybe giving a discount to the
                                                                                     Can we do that?
18
                                                                      18
       people who live on the corner and they could put a
                                                                                     MR. PRADHAN: That's fine.
19
                                                                      19
       camera and then catch these people and they can be
                                                                                     How will you get that to us?
20
       fined. I mean, this happens all the time. All the
                                                                      20
                                                                                     MR. PILPEL: I'll get it to Julia and she'll
21
       time.
                                                                      21
                                                                              broadcast it to the world. Fair enough?
22
              So thank you very much. That's all I have to
                                                                      22
                                                                                     MR. PRADHAN: That's fine. Assuming we
23
                                                                      23
                                                                              receive it, we'll admit it as Exhibit 104.
       say.
24
                                                                      2.4
              DIRECTOR NURU: Thank you.
                                                                              ///
25
                                                                      25
              MS. EWALT: And I can give you my letter.
                                                                              ///
                                                      Page 795
                                                                                                                             Page 797
 1
                                                                       1
              MR. PRADHAN: We will admit the letter as
                                                                                     (Exhibit 104, "SFE Memo to Commission on
 2
       Exhibit 103.
                                                                       2
                                                                                     Environment [Public]," was admitted into
 3
                                                                       3
              (Exhibit 103, "Letter from M. Ewalt to
                                                                                     evidence.)
 4
                                                                       4
              DPW Director [Public]," was admitted
                                                                                     MR. PILPEL: Okay, thank you.
 5
                                                                       5
              into evidence.)
                                                                                     DIRECTOR NURU: Can you make sure we get that
 6
              DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. Our next speaker,
                                                                        6
                                                                             today?
 7
                                                                        7
                                                                                     MR. PILPEL: Yes. Today or tomorrow?
       please. Is that it for public speaking?
 8
                                                                       8
                PUBLIC COMMENT BY DAVID PILPEL
                                                                                     DIRECTOR NURU: Today.
 9
                                                                       9
                                                                                     MR. PILPEL: We'll make it happen.
              MR. PILPEL: David Pilpel. Several things.
10
              I would anticipate that the Director's Report
                                                                      10
                                                                                     The question that came up earlier about
11
                                                                      11
       is due Friday, May 12th, close of business, and that
                                                                              the rate structures and the impact on large- and
                                                                      12
12
       will be posted on the website and we'll go from there as
                                                                              small-volume generators, it seems to me that we've
13
       to whether anyone's going to appeal it to the Rate
                                                                      13
                                                                              discussed that at prior hearings here in the past years,
14
       Board, but I'm looking forward to a comprehensive
                                                                      14
                                                                              and this has come up in water and sewer rates and other
15
                                                                      15
                                                                              rate-setting contexts. As I understand it, there's a
       Director's Report building on the Staff Report, but also
                                                                      16
16
       filling in a lot of areas that weren't addressed there
                                                                              concept known as an "inclining block rate," where the
17
                                                                      17
       including some of the public comments.
                                                                              more you use, the more the charge at that higher usage
18
              One of the things that I've been thinking
                                                                      18
                                                                              level or a "declining block rate," where you would pay
19
                                                                      19
       about is the quarterly reports, and I understand that
                                                                              less per unit if you use more or a "constant block
20
                                                                      20
       Staff and the consultants are looking very carefully at
                                                                              rate."
21
                                                                      21
       what additional items to require in the quarterly
                                                                                     And there are advantages and disadvantages.
22
                                                                      22
       reports and some changes to current reporting so that
                                                                              There's a conservation basis for an inclining block
23
                                                                      23
       the City and the public has a better sense of what's
                                                                              rate. There's a cost of service argument for a constant
24
                                                                      24
       been accomplished both financially and programatically
                                                                              block rate. It cuts different ways, and I think that
25
                                                                      25
       over the next likely four years.
                                                                              you have discretion for how you set it and it depends on
```

Page 796

1.5

competing factors and which particular policy objectives we're trying to accomplish. For example, the large household \$10 dollar charge that was suggested, I believe John Porter spoke to large households and how they might have disproportionate or different sorts of impacts there.

I would counter by saying that a large household that has multiple individuals is only paying the same unit charge, and presumably they're generating either additional or BIR calls or AMC needs or HHW. There are other programatic needs that are buried in the unit charge that aren't captured for large households. So it seems like a \$10 dollar surcharge tends to work in a meaningful way to change behavior and accommodate the additional costs for servicing large accounts; so I think that makes sense. And if that's just built into a 64-gallon black container, that might be the way to do it. I think the Company needs to have a way to implement that that doesn't just apply to 32-gallon containers, which would apply to everyone.

The question of transferring service and all the discussion about split bills, I don't think we have time in the next week to sort out all of that. But I think the report could ask the Company to explore how the SFPUC and PG&E handle the transferring of service --

Advocate -- which definitely has improved in this cycle,
but there's still things we could tweak and improve
there -- and the roles and responsibilities of everyone
involved: the City players, the consultants, Recology,
the Ratepayer Advocate, people like me or maybe just me.
And finally. I would just ask that we think

And finally, I would just ask that we think carefully about the diversion programs and the ZWI targets and whether we're really being realistic here. Are we going to get to zero waste? This \$100 million dollars of increased investment, is that going to move us in the next four years another 10%? Is that realistic? Is that, you know, should we be going faster? Should we invest more? Should we invest less? Are there other ways to do it? There's no -- I mean, there's science in some of this, but a lot of it is really art and how much commitment the Companies, the City, the ratepayers have to getting to zero waste and doing that in a cost-effective way; so I would just ask that we be careful and look realistically at all this.

And I thank you for all of your time.

Certainly everyone else in this process and in the unlikely but possible event that Mike Baker isn't doing this again in four years, I wanted to thank him for being a responsible advocate for the Company, but also decent person to work with over a number of years at

Page 799 Page 801

bearing in mind that there's some issues here because failure to pay a garbage bill results in a lien, so it's a property-based charge as opposed to a service charge like cable TV or other sorts of things. But that's certainly something to explore, and in the future, I think we should be more consistent with other utilities where that makes sense and different -- where that makes sense, and that's something to explore.

The other point I would make about split bills, and I think Tom Williams made this point earlier at a previous hearing, is that although there are additional costs for split bills as was discussed, there are also some increased efficiencies by only making one lift rather than two. That's a savings to the system. I mean, the more material -- not necessarily the more material, but the material that we can pick up with fewer lifts, that improves efficiency.

I've asked many times and continue to ask for a 16-gallon blue and green. The extent to which the report talks about that and makes it happen is probably the extent to which I'm less likely to appeal to the Rate Board. I'm not saying no, but less likely.

I think there should be some further discussion offline about this rate setting process, what's worked, what hasn't, the role of the Ratepayer

many of these rate processes. And I just wanted to thank him on the record.

And with that.

DIRECTOR NURU: Thank you.

All right. Do we have any other speakers?

Yes? Please make sure you fill out the

speaker's card so we know who.

PUBLIC COMMENT BY MAURICE BIZZARRI

MR. BIZZARRI: Good morning.

I already filled this out there, but I'll fill it out again. My name is Maurice Bizzarri, and I am the president of the HOA at 50 Lancing Street, and I have the board approval to speak as the president of the HOA representing the 82 units. I have prepared remarks and I have e-mailed this to you, and I've also mailed my official protest which hopefully will get to you.

I took the time to do a spreadsheet to model the rate increases. I could not find one online. If there is one online, I just found broken links; so it'd be nice to have one next time for multi-unit buildings. But I did took the time to do my own spreadsheet and I analyzed it and my -- the cost for our building are going up from \$3,000 dollars a month to \$4,000 dollars a month under the current proposed scheme, about 33%.

And I further analyzed to understand that the

Page 802

rage 800

majority of the increase comes from the mandate increase going from 10% to 25%. And I think that, from what I understand, you're all interested in ramping up and this is a number that needs to be ramped up. And I have put in my documents -- I modeled for me a ramping up of the increase starting at 15% and going to 25% over four years and how it affected my building, and I think this is a better ramp up that we can live with. But it's just ridiculous to go from 10% to 25%.

The whole intent of the program is to change people's behavior, and we get the message. We're going to put in place a program to reduce our refuse and increase our recycling. We're at about 50% now. We have about a little bit over 50% recycling and composting and we'll try to do better, but it's hard with 82 units. People come and go, mostly renters --well, 33% renters and then the rest are owners and people come and go. So a lot of part-time owners.

Anyway, thank you for your time, and please accept this document. Like I said, I e-mailed this to you already, but you might as well take the --

Thank you.

2.4

2.5

MR. PRADHAN: The document is admitted as Exhibit 105.

(Exhibit 105, "Letter from M. Bizzarri

close public comment.

Okay. It appears that we have covered the items on the agenda; so I will now officially close the Director's Hearing on Recology's 2017 Application for an Increase in Residential Refuse Collection and Disposal Rates.

I want to thank Recology for a very clear presentation of the application and for providing additional information and explanations in response to our questions.

I'd like to thank City staff and their consultants for your in-depth review of the application and for your examination of the underlying assumptions and factors that go into determining fair and reasonable rates.

Mr. Robert Haley and staff from the Department of the Environment has demonstrated their considerable expertise and understanding of municipal solid waste management issues.

I'd like to acknowledge Julia Dawson of Public Works and thank her for her leadership of the review team throughout these proceedings.

I also want to thank the Ratepayer Advocate for your efforts to engage and inform the public on this somewhat complicated topic. You have done an exemplary

Page 803 Page 805

to DPW Director [Public]," was admitted into evidence.) DIRECTOR NURU: Next speaker, please. PUBLIC COMMENT BY TRACY THOMPSON MS. THOMPSON: Hi, good morning. My name is Tracy Thompson and I want to just say one important thing, is that it's important for all of us to be able to control our bills, and we can do that with our PG&E and our water. We decide how much to use in our monthly payment, basically, and we can be conservative or not. But with this application, I feel like there's no way to control our bill here whether generate a lot of trash or

And I know this has been discussed before, but the PG&E base service charge is about \$10 dollars and the base service charge for water is about \$10 dollars for delivery. And I know that garbage is a different template, but still, the base service charge at \$20 dollars is double that. And again, people want to be able to control their bill; so a lot of people are not on board with this rate application proposal.

Thank you.

DIRECTOR NURU: Thank you.

not, and I think that's important.

24 Any other speakers?

Okay. If that's our last speaker, I will now

job of conveying the public's concerns in seeking greater clarity from Recology and City staff. I believe that the role of the Ratepayer Advocate has added significant value to this process.

Finally, I want to thank the members of the public who sat through these hours of testimony and cross-examination and are still here at the end of each day to offer public comment. Your issues and concerns are important and we will take them into consideration as I prepare my report on recommendation order.

The next step in the process is for me to consider all of the evidence that is before me including the original application and supporting documents and exhibits, the Staff Report, all of the testimony and cross-examination offered in these hearings, as well as public comment. Before making my recommendations, I will issue my report and amended order by May 15th. It will be posted on the Public Works website, so please check the website or the Ratepayer Advocate's website for notice of when that report is available.

As a final item, I will hold a Proposition 218 hearing tomorrow, May 4, at 9:00 a.m. in room 416 to consider written protest. Under this provision, any residential customer or property owner may submit a written protest against the application to me. If more

Page 804

1		
1 2	than half of the ratepayers file a written protest	
3	against the application by the date of the hearing, the City will not approve the application. Instructions and	
4	guidelines for the submission of written protest are	
5	available on the Public Works website.	
6	Again, I'd like to thank you for participating	
7	in these proceedings. Let the record now show that we	
8	are closed and adjourned.	
9	Thank you.	
10	(Ending time: 10:05 a.m.)	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16 17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	D 005	
	Page 807	
1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	
1 2	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	
2		
2 3 4	I, MAXIMILLIAN A. CONTRERAS, CSR No. 13876,	
2 3 4 5	I, MAXIMILLIAN A. CONTRERAS, CSR No. 13876, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify:	
2 3 4 5 6	I, MAXIMILLIAN A. CONTRERAS, CSR No. 13876, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the foregoing proceedings were	
2 3 4 5	I, MAXIMILLIAN A. CONTRERAS, CSR No. 13876, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the foregoing proceedings were stenographically reported by me at the time and place	
2 3 4 5 6 7	I, MAXIMILLIAN A. CONTRERAS, CSR No. 13876, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the foregoing proceedings were stenographically reported by me at the time and place therein set forth and were thereafter transcribed;	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I, MAXIMILLIAN A. CONTRERAS, CSR No. 13876, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the foregoing proceedings were stenographically reported by me at the time and place	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I, MAXIMILLIAN A. CONTRERAS, CSR No. 13876, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the foregoing proceedings were stenographically reported by me at the time and place therein set forth and were thereafter transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken. I further certify that I am not a relative or	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I, MAXIMILLIAN A. CONTRERAS, CSR No. 13876, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the foregoing proceedings were stenographically reported by me at the time and place therein set forth and were thereafter transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken. I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or any of the parties nor	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I, MAXIMILLIAN A. CONTRERAS, CSR No. 13876, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the foregoing proceedings were stenographically reported by me at the time and place therein set forth and were thereafter transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken. I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or any of the parties nor financially interested in the action.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I, MAXIMILLIAN A. CONTRERAS, CSR No. 13876, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the foregoing proceedings were stenographically reported by me at the time and place therein set forth and were thereafter transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken. I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or any of the parties nor financially interested in the action. I declare under penalty of perjury under the	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I, MAXIMILLIAN A. CONTRERAS, CSR No. 13876, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the foregoing proceedings were stenographically reported by me at the time and place therein set forth and were thereafter transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken. I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or any of the parties nor financially interested in the action. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the foregoing is true and	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I, MAXIMILLIAN A. CONTRERAS, CSR No. 13876, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the foregoing proceedings were stenographically reported by me at the time and place therein set forth and were thereafter transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken. I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or any of the parties nor financially interested in the action. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the foregoing is true and correct.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I, MAXIMILLIAN A. CONTRERAS, CSR No. 13876, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the foregoing proceedings were stenographically reported by me at the time and place therein set forth and were thereafter transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken. I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or any of the parties nor financially interested in the action. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the foregoing is true and	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I, MAXIMILLIAN A. CONTRERAS, CSR No. 13876, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the foregoing proceedings were stenographically reported by me at the time and place therein set forth and were thereafter transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken. I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or any of the parties nor financially interested in the action. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the foregoing is true and correct.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I, MAXIMILLIAN A. CONTRERAS, CSR No. 13876, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the foregoing proceedings were stenographically reported by me at the time and place therein set forth and were thereafter transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken. I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or any of the parties nor financially interested in the action. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the foregoing is true and correct.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I, MAXIMILLIAN A. CONTRERAS, CSR No. 13876, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the foregoing proceedings were stenographically reported by me at the time and place therein set forth and were thereafter transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken. I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or any of the parties nor financially interested in the action. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Dated this 8th day of May, 2017.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I, MAXIMILLIAN A. CONTRERAS, CSR No. 13876, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the foregoing proceedings were stenographically reported by me at the time and place therein set forth and were thereafter transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken. I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or any of the parties nor financially interested in the action. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Dated this 8th day of May, 2017.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I, MAXIMILLIAN A. CONTRERAS, CSR No. 13876, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the foregoing proceedings were stenographically reported by me at the time and place therein set forth and were thereafter transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken. I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or any of the parties nor financially interested in the action. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Dated this 8th day of May, 2017.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I, MAXIMILLIAN A. CONTRERAS, CSR No. 13876, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the foregoing proceedings were stenographically reported by me at the time and place therein set forth and were thereafter transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken. I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or any of the parties nor financially interested in the action. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Dated this 8th day of May, 2017.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I, MAXIMILLIAN A. CONTRERAS, CSR No. 13876, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the foregoing proceedings were stenographically reported by me at the time and place therein set forth and were thereafter transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken. I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or any of the parties nor financially interested in the action. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Dated this 8th day of May, 2017.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I, MAXIMILLIAN A. CONTRERAS, CSR No. 13876, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the foregoing proceedings were stenographically reported by me at the time and place therein set forth and were thereafter transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken. I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or any of the parties nor financially interested in the action. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Dated this 8th day of May, 2017.	

a.m 733:1 758:15,16 806:22 807:10 AB0285E 730:25 abandoned 732:19 738:6 746:7,16,17,23,25 747:5,10,13,18 748:5 748:19 749:3.7.21 750:6,10,24 751:7 752:2,15 753:8,17 756:6 762:5,10 ability 753:18 754:9 761:1.5 able 751:4,6 754:16 761:13 804:7,20 above-average 741:17 **Absolutely** 763:11,14 accept 742:24 803:20 accepting 747:25 access 734:2 788:12 accommodate 799:14 accomplish 799:2 accomplished 796:24 account 741:6 779:3 780:5,6 783:1,3,4,8 797:13 accounts 736:6 779:23 779:25 780:2 783:24 783:25 799:15 accruing 773:4 accumulating 781:11 accurate 759:7 760:1,2,7 760:8 777:19 778:6 achieve 744:7 764:14 777:20 acknowledge 805:20 Acknowledged 782:2 Act 733:22 734:1 action 797:7 808:13 activities 734:3 actual 739:3 743:7 add 733:16 775:19 777:3 784:19 794:20 added 745:22 806:3 adding 741:1 745:10 753:10.20 775:22 addition 736:18 additional 734:25 735:2 739:19 743:23 745:18 751:17 753:10,12,20 757:5 773:12,17 779:1 784:16,18 785:15 796:21 799:10,15 800:12 805:9 Additionally 785:18 address 773:15 783:1,2 783:9,9 784:17 787:4 790:12,24 addressed 766:17 796:16 addresses 791:3 addressing 767:12 790:5 adjourned 807:8 adjust 753:25 758:1 779:5 781:14 adjustment 790:14,19,22 adjustments 745:16,20 765:20

```
administer 766:22
  779:22 780:22 781:22
administering 783:5
administrating 779:3
Administration 731:3
administrative 778:20
  778:22 780:18 781:15
  782-23
administratively 783:15
admit 745:4 746:24
  796:1 797:23
admitted 740:5,9 745:6
  747:1 768:4,12,16
  789:15 796:4 798:2
  803:23 804:1
advantage 733:17
advantages 798:21
advertised 785:19
advertisements 786:1
advised 738:11
advocate 731:12 732:22
  733:18 734:23 735:3
  763:17 782:5 784:16
  785:1 789:14 801:1,5
  801:24 805:23 806:3
Advocate's 806:19
affect 792:13
afford 762:20
afternoon 750:14 753:23
agenda 733:12 805:3
aggressive 761:16
ago 776:4 784:25 794:24
  794:25
agree 741:21 742:6
  783:12
agreed 784:12
agreement 750:7 791:3
ahead 733:4 738:8
  746:15 748:22 755:23
allow 774:3 789:19
  791:17,19
allowed 773:25
allows 754:2
alright 755:21
alternative 781:18
alternatives 766:24
AMC 753:4 754:6 759:4
  759:10,25 762:21,24
  799:10
AMC/BIR 753:19 754:10
amended 790:7 806:17
amount 745:24 749:22
  759:18 761:14 771:15
  780:24 789:2,5
analyzed 734:9 802:22
  802:25
and/or 736:24
anecdotal 765:2
announcement 733:20
  733:25
annual 771:16 790:13,21
answer 749:9 766:25
  779:20 780:23 785:4
anticipate 796:10
anybody 791:1
anyone's 795:17 796:13
Anyway 803:19
apartment 736:2,5,10
```

737:6 787:22

```
apartments 761:17
                          avid 794:1
  788:3
                          avoid 783:12
apologize 742:14 747:4
  782:20
appeal 796:13 800:21
appears 805:2
APPLICANT 731:13
application 730:4 733:10
  743:18.25 751:17
  761:17 768:21 769:10
  775:12,15 776:22
  777:1,2,6 790:3,11,17
  804:11,21 805:4,8,12
  806:13.25 807:2.3
applied 743:3,22
applies 752:2 758:5
apply 779:8 791:1 799:19
  799:20
appointment 749:14
  750:10 760:14
appointments 754:17
approach 740:22 744:2
  764:11
appropriate 742:6
appropriately 795:12
approval 802:13
approve 807:3
approved 797:12
approximately 769:19
area 748:10 753:2 766:9
areas 752:17,18 785:2
  796:16
argument 798:23
Arnold 731:15
arrived 766:24
art 801:16
asked 744:4 792:18
  800:18
asking 746:20 748:2,23
  758:10 759:11 760:3
  763:1 772:18 782:13
  782:16
assertion 765:1
assessed 743:4
assessment 741:21
assets 752:18
assign 751:4
associated 766:19,20
  769:6 772:20 779:3,21
  784:5
assumes 770:18
assuming 771:1 797:22
assumption 766:2
assumptions 744:25
  745:18 790:2,10
  805.13
assurances 761:24
ATKINSON-BAKER
  730:21
attained 759:22
attending 734:5
attorney 731:9,10 808:12
authorize 797:7
available 764:24 806:20
  807:5
```

aware 746:20 awkward 757:12 **B** 730:8 731:5 732:15 back 758:9 761:12 763:18 778:8 789:3 bad 762:16,22 Baker 731:14 732:9 737:13,15 755:9,11,13 758:9,11,17,18 763:18 763:22 764:3 767:21 768:2,7,17 772:23 774:5,6 775:14,23 789:21,24 797:4 801:22 Bank 775:2 bar 769:4,10,16 770:6,7 770:11,11 771:12 bare 792:22 bars 769:4.8 base 754:21 779:16 804:15,16,18 based 741:4 751:13 762:1 **basically** 740:16 750:1 782:14 804:10 basis 770:19 781:12 798:22 **baskets** 793:23 bath 794:7 **Bayview** 748:13 bearing 800:1 beginning 737:4 786:7 behalf 784:20 behavior 739:13 757:24 758:2 762:16,22 799:14 803:11 believe 739:10 766:25 772:4 775:10 776:22 778:15 785:10 794:10 799:4 806:2 believes 741:11 749:4 benefitted 741:22 best 760:12 762:20 775:7 791:7 better 760:17 766:23 786:16 796:23 803:8 803:15 beyond 757:19 758:3 big 743:24 769:24 777:22 778:3 794:16 bigger 779:16 biggest 756:22 761:9 bill 767:5 778:9,21,23 780:16 781:5 789:3 790:1,4,6,13 792:21 794:4 800:2 804:12,20 C 731:1 733:2 **billing** 778:12 bills 776:7,11 778:11,21 779:5 784:5 799:22 800:10,12 804:8 bin 757:11 782:14 793:1

793:2,4,6,10,24,25

bins 742:9 757:6.12.13

766:6 792:22

BIR 738:6 754:13,18,20 755:3,5 756:6 760:9,12 760:16 761:15 762:11 762:16,20 799:10 BIRs 754:23 bit 736:15.16 746:18 747:9 748:13 751:22 751:24 752:21 754:14 761:4 777:10 782:9 787:17 788:25 793:14 803:14 Bizzarri 731:20 732:12 732:25 802:8,9,11 803:25 black 766:6 793:1,5,10 793:25 799:17 block 798:16,18,19,22 798:24 blue 793:2 800:19 blurred 777.9 board 785:15 796:14 800:22 802:13 804:21 booked 773:3 borrowed 771:1 borrowing 774:25 775:7 bottle 790:1,4,6,13 793:22 bottom 745:15 box 734:14 break 755:20 **briefly** 745:9 **bring** 735:12 749:16 751:2 777:21 **brings** 751:1 broadcast 797:21 broken 802:19 brought 742:7 780:1 797:10,15 **Bruen** 731:10 **build** 771:18 building 736:10,13,19 771:18 780:3,9,14 787:7,25 788:7 796:15 802:22 803:7 **buildings** 736:2,5 737:2 757:6,10 766:10 783:2 783:22 788:1 802:20 **built** 766:2 799:16 **built-in** 774:10 Bulky 738:6 746:6 747:11,14 749:2,7,10 749:14 750:8,25 752:12 762:4 **bullet** 768:9 **buried** 799:11 business 749:25 751:6 796:11

C

average 740:19 749:18

751:9 778:5 788:18

averaging 750:17

747:18 748:8 750:1.7 750:13 753:7 763:20 780:10 782:11 called 745:3 calling 742:16 calls 746:17 747:21.22 747:24,25,25 748:5,6 751:1,3,9,13 752:4,5 752:15,17 753:3,4 754:3,5,7 755:3 759:4 759:19,25 760:16 780:7 799:10 CalRecycle 789:25 camera 795:19 capacity 742:11 754:6 761:13 792:22 capital 768:21 769:5 770:12 772:14 775:17 caps 793:22 capture 734:19 773:24 captured 799:12 card 802:7 care 750:9 761:24 789:22 careful 801:19 carefully 796:20 801:7 Carlton 730:8 731:5 Carolyn 731:14 758:18 cart 780:12,13 carts 781:25 case 752:8 791:23 catch 795:19 category 743:12 744:9 census 764:25 Center 731:15 Center/ 748:14 certain 776:25 777:6 779:6 783:23 791:3 certainly 800:5 801:21 **CERTIFICATE** 808:1 Certified 808:5 certify 773:2 808:5,11 challenge 756:22 761:10 761:22 780:21 **change** 739:6,7,13 757:24 773:2 782:15 783:10 787:16,20 788:6 790:7,13 799:14 803:10 changed 763:7 786:20 789:9 790:4 changeovers 781:13 changes 732:17 740:7 745:14,20 782:10 785:4 787:2 790:25 796:22 changing 736:18 charge 738:22,23 739:13 741:11,24 742:11 744:14,19 745:11,22 746:3 776:9,10 778:23 780:18 781:6 783:20 784:3,6 798:17 799:3,9 799:12 800:3,3 804:15 804:16,18 charged 793:12 795:11 **charges** 732:17 740:8 741:23 776:5 781:3

chase 750:4 chasing 753:8 **check** 806:19 **Chief** 773:9 chips 793:22 **chutes** 736:18 circles 750:5,15 circulated 789:13 circumstances 780:20 citizens 765:7 city 730:1,7 731:4,9,10 731:11 732:17,18,19 733:7 734:24 739:8 740:8 741:1,11 744:4 745:6 747:1 752:3 755:14,21 759:11,20 760:11 761:25 762:23 765:14 782:21 786:4 788:13 791:1 796:23 801:4,17 805:11 806:2 807:3 City's 756:23 767:14 790:15 citywide 785:11 786:5 Civic 748:14 Civil 733:22 734:1 clarification 774:23 clarify 776:6 clarity 806:2 **classes** 738:19 **cleaner** 762:23 cleaning 755:1 clear 751:5 759:14,24 773:19 774:4 776:19 788:17 805:7 **clearly** 734:19 clerk 733:20 791:23 client 755:18 close 733:15 750:18 783:4 796:11 805:1,3 closed 780:5 807:8 closer 739:9 787:21 closes 783:3 COLA 743:4,22 790:13 790:22 collecting 766:3 collection 733:10 734:14 738:7 747:6,11,14,18 748:6,19 749:3,8,15 752:3 753:17 762:5 805:5 collective 785:20 collectors 790:8 combination 753:14 754:10 combine 749:2 750:21 751:15 752:1,10 754:22 762:9 combined 753:11 756:6 combining 751:19 **Comcast** 783:13 come 734:18 735:14 738:1,5 745:19 746:9 748:9 752:5 761:12 780:19 784:13 789:3 791:24 798:14 803:16

charging 741:2 781:5

773:21

chart 768:7,8,25 769:1,2

803:18 comes 750:2 803:1 comfortable 761:21 coming 747:23 752:19 754:3 756:17 759:19 788:3 comment 732:11,12,12 732:13 733:14 744:3 766:9 773:15 774:8 775:20 788:9 791:11 791:14,15,20,20 792:4 796:8 802:8 804:4 805:1 806:8.16 **comments** 733:18 784:19 796:17 commercial 736:6 775:3 787:22 Commission 732:24 797:12 798:1 commitment 801:16 common 739:20 786:18 786:21 788:9 communicate 786:9,13 communication 787:18 community 734:10 785:1 785:2,11,12,16 788:12 Companies 784:18 789:20 801:16 company 773:4,10 799:18,24 801:24 company's 741:25 compensate 772:13 competing 799:1 complete 734:6,14 completing 734:8 compliance 734:4 779:25 781:23 complicated 786:11 790:21 805:25 comply 733:21 component 751:15 compost 742:21 793:3 composting 739:18 741:23 744:13,23 803:15 comprehensive 796:14 computer 750:2 concentrated 748:10 concept 798:16 concern 761:7 779:11 792:15 794:13 concerned 760:25 761:4 concerning 733:20 concerns 735:4 766:21 766:22 767:13 785:5 786:7,23 806:1,8 concluded 790:20 condition 759:13,22 confused 786:11 connected 750:3 consequence 739:11 conservation 794:5 798.22 conservative 804:10 consider 756:5 757:5,18 759:12 782:8 783:17 790:12 806:12,23

considerable 805:17 consideration 765:18 766:15 806:9 considerations 737:7 considered 778:19 780:24 782:17 considering 778:17 782.19 consistent 740:22 741:12 742:4 790:22 800:6 constant 781:12 798:19 798:23 construction 769:5.18 769:25 770:8,13,17,18 771:2,17 772:12,22 775:17 consultants 796:20 801:4 805:12 contacted 786:10 container 799:17 containers 765:24 799:20 contemplated 769:9 776:24 784:6 contexts 798:15 contingent 771:21 773:7 continue 738:16 739:15 746:3 758:23 760:15 772:18 793:18 800:18 continues 775:12 continuing 743:23 745:12 **CONTRERAS** 730:24 808:4,21 control 804:8,12,20 conversation 738:17 789:4 convey 733:18 785:5 conveying 806:1 cooperation 733:22 Coordinator 731:7 735:25 core 754:4 cork 793:22 corner 748:12 752:8 754:8 795:18 **corners** 795:15 correct 759:8 762:6,7 764:5 765:11 766:7.14 767:18 770:16,24 771:3,19,25 772:2 774:15,20 775:1,8,18 776:8,12 808:9,16 correctly 763:3 corridor 750:14 753:18 753:22 756:21 cost 738:18 739:10.19 741:25 769:18,25 770:8 771:17 772:12 772:14,21 774:1 775:7 778:22,24 779:6,7,11 779:17,22 781:13 782:14,23 786:22 798:23 802:22 cost-effective 801:18 costs 740:23,25 769:5,5 770:3,17,18 772:13

773:21 774:25 779:1,2

780:15 781:11.16 784:4 799:15 800:12 **Counsel** 731:10 counter 799:7 County 730:1 733:8 couple 755:15,16 756:4 757:2 784:25 course 759:20 766:3 788.9 court 730:21 791:25 cover 753:3 772:21 773:24 778:20 covered 766:12 805:2 create 775:10 created 769:2 774:12 credit 741:19 742:3 743:15,19,23,25 745:12,20,25 746:4 758:5,7 776:19 777:2,4 777:5 credits 746:2 757:23 crew 749:18 753:3,10,12 753:13,20,21 761:20 crews 747:21 749:12,13 749:17,21,22,23 751:4 751:10 752:6,7,10,11 754:2,4,22 755:4 756:11,14 759:18 763:1 critical 751:15 cross-examination 758:21,24 782:3 806:7 806:15 cross-examine 734:24 737:14,20 755:10,25 760:22 782:4 CSR 730:24 808:4,22 cumulative 770:23 **curbside** 749:14 current 740:17 742:24 796:22 802:24 currently 737:2 739:4 759:3 760:10 778:11 790:5 customer 738:18,21 740:16,19,20,21 741:4 742:16 743:5 750:9 754:15,21 760:13 764:8 780:7 781:21 783:7 792:19 806:24 customer's 748:2 customers 738:25 739:4 739:16 740:12 741:15 741:22 742:5,7,8,9,18 743:8,20 744:8,10,14 744:18,20 745:17 749:15 754:14.17.24 757:15,16 758:5,6 764:21,25 765:21 766:6 767:3 777:14,18 777:21,23 778:1,5 783:23,25 784:1 794:15 customers' 766:21 767:13 cut 763:5 cuts 798:24

data 734:9 741:4 750:16 753:16 756:14 759:20 759:21 761:22 database 782:25 date 807:2 **Dated** 808:17 David 731:20 732:12 796:8,9 Dawson 731:3 732:6,7 732:10 737:23,25 738:13,16 740:2,10 745:8 746:8,14 747:2 748:25 755:7,17 757:2 757:8,22 760:21,24 763:15 764:9 773:17 774:22 775:2,6 776:14 776:17 782:2 805:20 Dawson's 772:4 775:19 day 733:9 747:20 749:18 749:20,25 750:5 751:3 751:12,21,22 752:6 754:7 762:15,17 806:8 808:17 day's 751:6 days 797:2 deadline 784:9 deal 754:5 756:21 790:21 dealing 762:24 decades 792:20 December 759:13 decent 801:25 decide 804:9 decided 755:19 765:15 declare 808:14 declines 745:23 declining 798:18 decrease 738:22 739:3 758:1 777:14,22 794:22 decreasing 757:18 dedicated 792:20 default 740:17 742:5,20 742:25 definitely 748:4 752:13 781:18 783:16 801:1 delivery 804:17 demand 748:19,20,21 752:7 754:21 763:7 demonstrated 805:17 demonstrating 773:1 department 730:2 731:2 731:6 733:7 734:4,22 735:6.23 765:4 788:21 789:19 791:13 797:14 805:16 Department's 733:21 departments 760:12 788:13 dependent 780:19 depending 752:7 754:20

cycle 778:12 801:1

damages 759:6 760:4,5

Dan 731:18 732:5 746:9

D 732:1 733:2

746:10

755:3 763:12 depends 798:25 depicted 771:21 depreciated 770:19 depreciation 732:20,21 768:11.15.23 770:9.15 770:22 771:6.11 772:6 772:11,13 **Deputy** 731:3,10 describe 749:4 751:24 772:5 described 742:19 766:4 797:16 describing 744:10 748:11 desegregating 781:8 designed 772:13 desired 773:16 detailed 740:11 determining 805:14 development 788:2,6 developments 774:13 difference 751:23 771:5 differences 749:6 different 738:18 740:18 747:3,5 763:9 766:16 767:22 769:6 781:3,16 783:9 798:24 799:5 800:7 804:17 differential 752:22 difficult 764:25 780:18 digits 786:24 Dilger 731:12 732:6,10 737:19,21 755:24 756:3,25 757:2,18 758:8 782:7 784:14,21 789:17 diligent 793:19 diligently 767:2 directly 750:4 779:21 director 731:2,3 732:22 732:23,25 733:3,7 734:16 735:9,14 737:13,17,19,22 738:11 746:6 755:9.12 755:24 758:9,12,17,20 758:23 759:11 760:21 763:16 765:15 775:25 782:3 784:15 789:15 789:18.23 790:12 791:12 792:9 795:24 796:4,6 797:7 798:5,8 802:4 804:1,3,23 **Director's** 730:3 733:9 738:19 749:1 759:4 773:5 775:11,21 784:12 796:10,15 805:4

disadvantages 798:21

disappoint 737:15

discount 795:17

804.14

discretion 798:25

discussed 736:5,19

discussion 764:15

754:11 765:8 772:8

776:23 798:13 800:12

765:12 766:9,15 767:1

discontinued 743:19

778:18 780:1 799:22 800:24 discussions 765:11 766:18 767:11 790:4 disposal 733:11 762:14 805:5 disproportional 787:4 disproportionate 799:5 distinct 784:4 distracted 740:3 distributed 739:25 distribution 738:17 740:11 753:9 785:3,15 district 792:12 districts 786:4 dived 753:16 diversion 736:9,12 801:7 dividing 757:13 document 734:4 739:25 740:11 745:2 746:22 803:20,23 documents 767:23 803:5 806:13 doing 748:3 753:13 756:5 761:11 779:5 781:4 785:1 788:12 801:18.22 dollar 741:2,19 742:3 743:14,25 744:14 745:12 757:4,7,20 758:4.7 764:8.11 776:19 777:2,4,11 789:2,5 799:3,13 dollars 741:5 743:7,8,9 745:21 769:22 783:20 793:14 794:4 801:10 802:23,23 804:15,16 804:19 double 786:24 804:19 double-profit 774:14 downsize 739:16 downsizing 744:22 **DPW** 732:22,23,25 789:15 796:4 797:7 804:1 Dr 730:8 731:5 draft 777:1,3 Drew 731:7 732:3 735:10 735:13,15 737:15 drive 753:5 drivers 749:13,22,23 751:18 752:14 753:21 756:14 drought 794:2,7 dry 794:8 due 739:3 796:11 duly 735:16 737:18 738:14 746:11 763:25 dump 795:14 dwelling 739:15 741:3 757:19 783:20 dynamic 750:1 751:12 752:6,15 759:17 E **E** 731:1,1 732:1,15 733:2

e-mailed 802:15 803:20 eager 788:23 earlier 751:7 798:10 800:10 early 749:19 earn 770:22 774:2 easier 742:15 easy 781:22 echo 782:9 **educate** 736:23 education 788:12,19 effect 745:10 773:20 777:13 effective 737:1 738:18 741:20 effectively 771:7 efficiencies 750:25 754:9,11 761:19 763:5 800:13 efficiency 753:19 760:18 800:17 efficient 749:5 751:16 efficiently 751:2 752:12 762:23 effort 736:10,11 779:24 efforts 733:21 735:4 784:24 805:24 eight-to-nine-hour 749:20 either 744:23 755:20 764:17 786:15 789:19 799:10 electricity 739:20 element 742:17 Embarcadero 731:15 **employee** 808:12 empowering 788:24 enclosed 792:21 encourage 733:16 758:2 762:9,13 ended 786:14 ends 757:15 energy 781:24 enforcement 788:11,14 engage 805:24 engaging 736:20 ensure 734:10 759:21 781:23 enter 763:21 791:25 enters 735:13 entire 753:1 761:2 774:1 entity 774:11 envelope 757:25 Environment 731:6 732:24 734:22 735:6 735:23 788:21 797:13 797:14 798:2 805:17 equal 734:2 equipment 738:2 752:14 775:16 equitable 734:2 errors 783:11 **especially** 787:6 788:2 794:18 Esq 731:14,14 **essentially** 744:1 747:5 747:22 757:23 771:12 773:22 778:23

establish 779:10 **estate** 753:2 estimate 741:5 evening 751:3,21 752:5 754:4 evenly-distributed 748:20 event 780:11 801:22 events 763:13 eventually 743:9 everybody 790:24 795:7 everyone's 767:13 everything's 761:10 evidence 740:9 745:7 747:1 755:22 763:20 768:13,16 789:16 796:5 798:3 804:2 806:12 Ewalt 731:19 732:11,23 792:4,5,10,10 795:25 796:3 exact 778:14 exactly 742:13 783:6 examination 732:3,6,6,7 732:7,9,9,10,10 734:25 735:20 748:24 756:2 759:1 760:23 764:2 776:2,16 782:6 805:13 examinations 789:19 examined 735:17 738:15 746:12 764:1 example 769:14 780:3 799:2 exchange 780:12 excuse 749:13,22 exemplary 805:25 **exhausted** 743:3,17 exhibit 738:10,20 740:1 740:1,2,4,6,7,15 742:12 744:12,24 745:4,5,10,13 746:16 746:24,25 765:19 768:11,14 769:1 772:3 773:10 784:21 789:14 796:2.3 797:9.10.11.23 798:1 803:24,25 exhibits 764:9 765:17 767:23 768:3,5 784:19 806:14 exist 755:2 existing 739:25 742:8 expanded 742:25 784:24 785:8 expanding 740:25 expect 766:1 784:11 expectation 759:15,25 expected 770:12 expense 770:4.22 771:6 771:13,16 773:24 774:16 775:10 778:21 expensing 768:23 expensive 765:22 **experience** 736:8 737:8 . 738:22 741:17 744:15 expertise 805:18 explain 748:23 749:6 explanations 805:9 explore 799:24 800:5,8

733.2

e-mail 786:6

exploring 779:19 extensive 785:1 extent 744:3 800:19,21 extra 742:10,11

face 742:2 Facebook 785:23,24,25 facilitator 736:21 facilitators 737:3 facilities 740:25 facility 771:24 774:13 fact 777:17 790:16 factored 741:7 factors 799:1 805:14 facts 780:20 failure 800:2 fair 763:9 778:5,19 793:11 794:23 797:21 805:14 fairly 752:12,17 753:4,4 784:1 Family 732:17 740:7 far 762:24 765:22 777:17 787:11 788:15 fast 794:18 faster 801:13 favorite 778:8 fee 758:4 778:20 feedback 786:19,21 787:23 788:9 feel 761:20 767:3 787:14 793:11 794:22 804:11 feeling 786:16 feelings 785:5 feels 793:17 fees 757:19 782:10 fewer 800:17 figure 778:18 file 730:25 807:1 fill 802:6,10 filled 779:9 802:10 filling 793:25 796:16 final 733:8 735:3 746:16 748:16 777:3 784:21 789:19 806:21 finalized 767:14 finally 801:6 806:5 Finance 731:3 financial 744:25 773:2,9 797:5 financially 796:24 808:13 financing 732:21 767:22 768:14,20 770:3 771:8 771:10,17 772:12,14 772:17,21 773:24 774:1,13,19,24 find 734:13 753:3 767:2 780:6 781:20 792:14 802:18

fine 797:18,22

first 738:3,14 741:3,9,18

746:1 748:17 749:9

741:20 743:16 744:16

750:24 759:12 782:13

fined 795:20

792:2

five 749:13,22 752:4 758:6,13 766:10 791:19 792:8 five-page 797:11,15 five-unit 787:25 fixed 741:24 778:23.24 779:4,6,11,14 786:25 787.9 fixed-dollar 780:17,24 flavor 746:18 flexibility 752:20 763:12 **flipping** 781:25 Floor 731:16 flower 793:23 fluctuations 775:4 focused 747:12 748:14 748:21 folks 759:14 762:21,21 762:22 766:17 follow-up 776:14 **followed** 734:22 following 770:10,11 follows 735:17 738:15 746:12 764:1 footprint 752:11 foregoing 808:6,9,15 form 766:1 767:4 formal 779:21 formalized 767:14 format 769:3 forms 734:14 forth 808:8 forward 734:18 759:10 760:16 775:13 791:24 796:14 found 792:12 802:19 four 742:10 743:2,6,20 744:18 750:11 751:17 756:14 759:5,16 760:1 761:21 766:3 796:25 801:11,23 803:6 four-hour 750:7 759:13 761:1,3 four-year 743:11 744:18 745:17.24 frame 742:4 Francisco 730:1,9 731:5 731:8,16 733:8 735:22 737:2 739:21 748:7 765:7 780:14 784:1 790:2 792:11,19 Francisco's 734:2 frankly 777:5 **Friday** 796:11 front 778:14 full 739:10 749:20 793:2 793:2,6,9 fund 791:2 funded 788:20 funds 743:17 797:3,5,14 further 744:21 764:14

765:18 773:1,16 775:9

775:19,22 786:21

791:10,11 800:23

802:25 808:11

800:5

future 783:18 787:19

G G 733:2 gain 750:20 754:9 760:18 gains 753:19 gallons 738:24 739:2,15 741:2,3 742:10,17 744:11.13 757:5 garage 755:1 garbage 793:8 794:17 800:2 804:17 garden 793:3 794:8 gardening 793:4 Garth 738:20 gather 786:3 gathering 785:13 general 786:22 787:23 791:3 generally 747:18 748:7 748:11 generate 804:12 generated 745:24 generating 799:9 generator 740:20 744:7 generators 739:12 741:16 743:13 757:19 764:16 765:25 776:20 787:7,13 798:12 gentleman 795:9 geography 753:5,6 getting 777:8,14 794:22 795:2 801:17 give 753:5,6 756:1 760:13 761:23 763:11 768:1 777:24 788:24 791:16 792:7 795:25 given 737:7 742:1,22 765:22 777:25 gives 748:18 752:19 754:4 giving 751:20 788:11 795:17 **go** 733:4 736:8 738:8 743.9 746.15 747.16 748:22 754:6 755:22 759:16 761:21 763:18 777:7 780:6 796:12 803:9,16,18 805:14 goal 750:8 754:19 755:5 760:15,17 goals 741:12 754:12 goes 779:15 787:12 going 738:1,3,5,8 739:1 740:15 745:9 746:15 747:2,7,15,21 748:22 750:20 751:5 755:17 756:15 758:18 759:10 759:16 760:16 761:14 768:7,8 774:24 792:7 792:13 793:5 796:13 801:9,10,12 802:23 803:2,6,11 good 733:6 735:18,19

gotten 747:24 grandparents 764:18 765:6 graph 770:10 graphical 769:3 gray 770:11,25 771:12 771:13,21 794:3 **Great** 758:8 greater 806:2 greatly 794:19 green 769:4,16 770:17 771:20 793:4,6 800:19 grouping 762:10 growing 779:16,16 growth 756:16,17,22 761:7 762:1,3,4 guess 775:8 794:21 guidelines 797:15 807:4

н H 732:15 Haley 731:7 732:3,9 735:8,9,10,19,21 737:12 775:25 776:3 776:13 805:16 half 753:1 763:6 807:1 Hall 730:7 731:4,11 hand-in-hand 787:12 handle 752:12 759:9 799:25 handouts 742:15 hands 786:17 791:17 happen 763:13 798:9 800:20 happened 777:13 happening 781:10 happens 780:14 795:16 happy 781:19 hard 803:15 head 737:21 750:15 hear 734:25 heard 744:3 755:19 788:19.21 795:8 hearing 730:3 733:4,9,13 733:15,19 734:6,11 735:13 736:6 738:19 747:10 749:1 781:1 791:2 800:11 805:4 806:22 807:2 hearings 742:10 761:9 765:10 772:9 784:25 785:6 790:25 791:6 798:13 806:15 Hello 792:5 help 750:22 769:2 772:7 helped 786:19 helps 736:23 **HHW** 799:10 hi 756:4 782:8 792:5 804:5 high 752:19 765:24 high-volume 739:11 744.14 higher 740:23,25 787:1

794:21 798:17

historical 772:15

highly 749:12

history 761:2 **HOA** 802:12,13 hold 737:5 806:21 home 744:11 hope 762:8 794:14 hopefully 787:19 802:16 hoping 762:12 hour 751:10 hours 750:9,12,18 751:11 754:20,23 755:5 759:5,17 760:1 760:14,17 761:21 806:6 house 795:10.11 household 799:3,8 households 764:17,22 765:5 777:12 799:4,12 housekeeping 789:22 huge 795:2

idea 740:23 757:22 777:15 782:14 identify 768:6 illegally 795:15 illustrate 769:3 **imagine** 778:17 impact 732:18 741:1,10 741:19 743:1 744:21 745:3,5 754:8 778:4 784:3 787:17 788:2.7.8 789:5 798:11 impacted 787:14 impacting 753:18 impacts 787:4 799:6 implement 744:4 799:19 important 753:14 761:11 772:23 783:6 804:6,7 804:13 806:9 **imposing** 739:14 **impound** 797:13 **improve** 754:12 801:2 improved 801:1 improvement 770:13 improves 800:17 improving 754:15 **IMRF** 768:22 769:7 771:22 772:17 in-depth 805:12 incentive 739:16 743:17 777:24 incentives 789:1 inclining 798:16,22 **include** 765:15 770:1,3 771:14 777:2 780:15 **included** 790:17 includes 771:16 789:24 including 745:14 761:20 796:17 806:12 income 786:25 787:9 incomes 787:10 increase 733:10 736:12 741:9,17,20 742:2,23 743:1,6,10,15,16,18,20 744:5,15 745:23 777:17,23 778:1,5 783:20 786:23 788:5 792:17,24 794:17,23

746:13 750:23 751:14

756:20.20 764:11

Goodlett 730:8 731:5

804:5

769:25 774:5 802:9

794:25 803:1,1,6,13 805:5 increased 744:19 800:13 801:10 increases 738:18 743:4 744:17 745:16 795:3 802:18 increasing 744:22 757:20 incremental 740:23,24 744:17 incur 771:8 individual 787:23 individuals 762:13 765:5 794:6 799:8 industry 737:5 inform 785:3 786:19 805:24 information 734:12 764:24 765:2 773:13 773:18 786:17 805:9 Ingleside 792:12 inhabitant 780:8 inhabitants 780:4 initial 776:25 **initially** 752:3,4 input 786:3 insignificant 780:16 installed 794:3 instance 781:4 institution 775:3 Instructions 807:3 intend 733:14 788:4 791.21 intended 739:9 797:9 **intense** 748:18 intent 803:10 intention 759:15,25 interest 770:12.12.25 771:5,13 775:10 interested 779:19 803:3 808:13 interesting 782:24 internal 766:22 introduce 738:10 744:24 746:15 784:9,22 797:9 introduced 738:19 742:12 757:3 777:6 785:8 introducing 773:22 784:23 invest 801:13,13 investigation 764:15 investment 801:10 involved 734:11 759:18 801.4 isolating 754:8 781:4 issue 766:23 769:3 772:24 785:14 806:17 issues 766:16 779:25 781:7,15,23 800:1 805:19 806:8 it'd 764:25 802:19 It'll 737:15 item 738:6 746:6 747:11 747:14 749:2,7,10,14 750:8,25 752:12 762:5 778:18 806:21

items 738:2 757:3 772:8 793:21 796:21 805:3

J J 731:14 job 795:1 806:1 jobs 795:3 **John** 731:17 732:8 763:23,24 799:4 Jose 731:4 Julia 731:3 738:13 749:24 764:13 772:4 782:9 797:20 805:20 Julia's 782:14 July 759:12 797:12 jump 743:24 iustification 773:1.16 justifications 787:3

Kate 731:15 keep 742:9,23 777:15 793:3 Kevin 731:7 732:3 735:10,15 kind 736:25 740:11 742:12 744:2,25 745:9 747:17 748:11,12,14 750:22 754:7,14 757:12 764:15,25 766:23 769:3 772:5,7 775:19,22 778:20 779:18 780:19,24 781:22 782:10 787:12 788:6 know 736:22 745:23

751:16 752:22 759:14 760:25 762:3,8,19 763:2 774:11 778:16 778:21 779:4,8,23 780:5 783:6,24 784:2 784:25 787:25 795:4,6 795:9,10 801:12 802:7 804:14,17

known 798:16 knows 790:24

labor 780:17

Lamele 773:9 Lancing 802:12 landfill 736:9 791:2 language 773:6 large 736:9,13 778:1 786:10 799:2,4,7,12,15 large- 798:11 large-volume 740:20 744:10 764:8 766:5 larger 759:18 764:19 larger-quantity 764:16 largest 736:4 lastly 772:19 late 750:13 754:1 launch 749:19,25 laws 808:15 lead 740:25 leadership 805:21

learned 750:23 788:2 794:20 lease 732:20,21 767:22 768:11,14,20 771:8,10 771:16 772:6,17 774:18.19.23 775:21 leases 773:3 leasing 773:4,21 774:10 774:10.11 left 769:4 less-than-1 744:15 let's 769:12,14 792:2 letter 732:23,25 773:8 792:5.6 795:25 796:1.3 803:25 level 742:25 750:7 757:13 786:11 787:9 787:15 798:18 levels 739:3,8 741:7,16 lien 800:2 lift 800:14 lifts 800:17 light 752:17 753:5 likes 785:23 **limited** 754:6 line 742:7 lines 782:15 783:14 links 786:2 802:19 liquidated 759:6 760:5 list 785:9,25 little 740:3 741:9 746:18 747:9 748:13 751:22 751:24 752:21 754:14 759:15 761:4 776:4 777:8,10 785:8 803:14 live 792:11 795:18 803:8 lived 795:9 living 764:18 765:6 780:9 786:22 795:11 **LLP** 731:15 local 785:19 logistical 781:7 long 770:19 777:7 792:24 794:25 look 745:16 747:13.19 748:8 757:8 758:3 781:2,3,13,15 801:19 **looked** 741:1 747:9 750:16 looking 752:25 757:24 757:25 758:1 766:23 796:14,20 looks 740:16 793:13 lose 753:18 loss 771:7,11 773:23 lot 739:21 744:2 752:19 753:2 754:3 762:23 763:11 781:24 786:23 787:2,3 788:19,22 795:14 796:16 801:15 803:18 804:12,20 low 741:23,24 low-quantity 741:15 low-waste 776:20 787:6 787:13 lower 741:7 778:4

M 732:23,25 796:3 803:25 magnitude 742:1 mailed 802:15 major 797:5 majority 803:1 making 779:8 800:13 806:16 manage 749:23 761:14 manageable 762:14 managed 761:2 management 736:19 805:19 Manager 731:7 managing 779:24 mandate 803:1 manner 744:5 Manu 731:10 767:24 map 732:19 746:23,25 748:16 752:25 maps 747:3 mark 740:1 767:21,23 marked 789:13 market 731:8 774:24 775:4,21 market-comparable 773:3 matched 783:11 material 736:24 746:7,17 747:13 748:5 750:6,10 750:24 751:7 752:3 753:17 759:16 791:22 800:15,16,16 materials 738:6 747:6,11 747:18 748:19 749:3,8 749:17,21 752:15 753:8 762:5,10,17 matter 791:3 Maurice 731:20 732:12 802:8.11 Maximilienne 731:19 732:11 792:4,10 MAXIMILLIAN 730:24 808:4,21 mean 761:10 795:20 800:15 801:14 meaningful 789:5 799:14 means 773:20 mechanism 771:9 774:11 790:12 mechanisms 790:24 791:5 media 785:18,22 786:1,2 meet 755:5 756:22 761:1 761:3 meeting 734:17 788:10 meetings 785:13 788:16 members 731:19 806:5 memo 732:22,24 789:12 789:14 797:11,15 798:1 mention 790:16

mentioned 757:4 762:3

767:6 777:11 782:9

784:8

merely 739:6

message 803:11

met 759:7 method 771:6 772:6,11 methodology 775:9,12 775:20 Michael 731:14 microphone 734:19 migrate 739:17 752:8 762:16 765:21 migration 737:6,8 741:6 766:1 Mike 801:22 million 746:1,2 769:19 769:21,21 801:9 mind 800:1 minimum 741:16 755:4 757:9 787:11 792:22 minority 783:25 minutes 747:16 758:13 791:19 792:8 misread 769:23 **Mission** 748:13 mitigate 739:11 741:18 744:21 751:19 754:3 756:17 mitigates 743:24 mixes 738:21 Mm-hmm 756:12 779:13 model 770:15 789:24 802:17 modeled 803:5 Mohammed 731:2 733:6 moment 755:11 Mondays 749:24 money 751:22 771:2,18 779:22 788:4 794:9 monitor 759:21 month 743:7,7,21,21 794:4 802:23,24 monthly 732:17 738:22 739:13 740:8 744:19 804:9 months 759:12,20 761:23 morning 733:6 735:18 735:19 746:13 748:1 749:19 750:1 751:9 802:9 804:5 move 739:9 749:17 752:18 762:10,13 767:22 801:10 moved 740:4 752:6 780.11 moves 780:4,8 moving 766:8 775:13 780:13 781:16 782:21 multi-unit 757:5,10 758:4 802:20 multigeneration 778:2 multigenerational 764:17,21 765:4 777:12 multilingual 785:19 multiple 785:2 799:8 municipal 805:18

N 731:1 732:1 733:2

name 791:25 792:10

782:22

lower-cost 739:17

802:11 804:5 narrative 772:5,7 nature 772:16 nearly 769:21 necessarily 785:14 800:15 necessary 735:1 761:10 781:25 need 735:12 739:10 753:16 761:13,17,22 762:18 773:1 781:13 781:15 783:9 784:11 791:21 792:6 794:10 needs 761:25 763:12 773:18 781:6 788:1 799:10,11,18 803:4 Negron 731:18 732:5 746:9,10,15,20 747:16 748:23 755:16 758:19 759:3 760:25 neighborhood 785:9 795:14 nervous 759:15 neutral 757:23 never 793:24 Nevertheless 742:1 new 740:1,2,4 742:5,20 742:24 745:15 767:23 768:3 773:25 780:8,13 788:3,20 791:1,2 newsletters 785:16 newspapers 785:19 nice 802:20 night 754:5 756:6 nine 756:11 nine-day 756:5,8 normally 754:25 northeast 748:12 750:14 752:8 753:17.22 754:8 756:21 761:20 noted 737:18 790:3,4 notes 808:10 notice 748:10 806:20 nuanced 774:8 number 737:11 738:21 741:7 768:6 770:10 778:14 783:1,1 784:2 786:6 787:13 801:25 803:4 numbers 769:24 Nuru 731:2 733:3,6 734:16 735:9,14 737:13,17,19,22,25 738:11 746:6 755:9,12 755:24 758:9,12,17,20 758:23 760:21 763:16

0

775:25 782:3 784:15

789:18.23 791:12

792:9 795:24 796:6

798:5,8 802:4 804:3,23

O 733:2 o'clock 747:23 748:9 O.R 769:10 770:1,8,21 771:6 773:20,23 774:2 774:9,16 oath 764:5 objectives 764:13 799:1 occur 790:25 791:6 occurred 765:9 off-shift 754:2 offer 742:2 754:13,22 806:8 offered 735:1 746:1,4 765:17 806:15 **OFFICE** 731:9 **Officer** 773:9 official 802:16 officially 805:3 offline 765:12,13 800:24 offsets 745:25 Oh 756:11 795:8 Okay 734:17 737:13,22 738:11,16 740:5,10 744:9 746:6 747:2 749:9 755:9,12 758:9 758:12,17,20 763:15 763:16 767:19 768:24 769:15,23,25 770:6,14 772:23 775:25 777:10 778:8,10 784:14,15 789:18,23 791:14,19 796:6 798:4 804:25 805:2 on-site 736:24 on-the-fly 751:13 753:8 once 752:1 one-unit 757:16 ongoing 766:18 767:1 online 785:2 788:3 802:18 19 open 781:18 782:18 791:15 operate 750:22 operated 749:8 operating 747:7 operational 752:22 opportunity 733:17 734:24 735:2 751:2,14 751:15,20 754:5,22° 755:2 756:1 759:19 760:13 762:21 765:25 786:9,12 788:24 789:10 794:12 opposed 768:22 771:10 786:15 800:3 option 782:17 optional 734:7 options 778:17 order 733:5 747:3 760:9 765:16 806:10,17 orders 732:19 746:23 747:1,6 Ordinance 790:23 organization 736:23 organizations 785:2,9 785:12 786:5 original 756:13 772:17 806:13 originally 751:16 763:1 776:19 outgrowing 779:17 outreach 735:4 761:16 784:23 785:1,18

787:18 788:12,19

outside 735:12 overall 741:10,12 743:3 743:10,19 784:2 overcharging 794:14 overlap 783:19,23 overtime 750:18 owner 806:24 owners 803:17,18

P 731:1,1 733:2 page 732:2,16 745:4 748:17 Pages 730:14 paid 785:22 790:8,9 paper 767:4 795:5,5 paraphrased 773:6 pardon 765:15 parent 773:10 parents 764:18 765:6 part 748:15 749:9 753:14 786:15 788:11,16 790:2,11,13,21 795:7 797:1

part-time 803:18 participants 761:15 participating 734:6 807:6

participation 733:23 734:7,8

particular 746:19 747:12 748:4 766:23 770:20 771:9 784:4 799:1 particularly 748:10

765:19
parties 808:12
partnership 754:7
parts 748:4
pass 773:25
path 751:5
pattern 771:20

pay 771:1,2,16 792:18 798:18 800:2 paying 787:2 799:8 payment 804:10

payment 804:10 payments 774:18 789:25 pays 774:18 Pearce 731:14 732:7

758:18,22,25 759:2 760:19 penalized 767:3 793:18

794:23 penalty 808:14

people 742:15 746:20 762:9 764:17 765:3 777:16 780:9 782:21 785:14,20 786:9,14,16 786:24 787:1 793:21 794:21 795:2,9,11,14 795:18,19 801:5 803:16,18 804:19,20 people's 803:11

people's 803:11 percent 737:8,10 745:23 783:21 795:1

percentage 779:12,14,15 perfect 766:25 performed 779:21 period 733:14 742:19 743:11 744:18 745:17 745:25 746:3 759:23 760:6 761:6 770:23 791:16 **periods** 794:9 perjury 808:14 person 780:4 788:18 791:19 801:25 personal 792:6 **PG&E** 799:25 804:8,15 pick 751:10 752:14 800:16 picked 793:7,8 pickup 749:17 787:11 **picture** 794:16 piece 767:4 771:14 pilfering 788:10 Pilpel 731:20 732:12 796:8,9,9 797:20 798:4 798:7,9 place 730:8 731:5 785:13 803:12 808:7 placed 791:22 places 793:20 plan 761:16 plans 742:4 754:15 plant 769:7 **plastic** 793:23 play 742:13 players 801:4 please 734:13 735:11 749:4 755:11 758:11 763:23 772:10 791:16 791:24 796:7 802:6 803:19 804:3 806:18 pleased 789:9 point 746:19 751:8 764:10 774:22 775:9 775:24 800:9,10 points 768:9 police 785:12,14 policy 741:12 787:19 popular 749:12 Porter 731:15,17 732:8 755:17 763:23,24 764:4 768:18 773:11 774:7 776:15 799:4 portion 739:2 745:13 762:13 **position** 735:22 possibility 790:3,6 possible 736:4,8,12,14 744:6 785:4 788:18 790.12 801.22 post-filing 745:14 posted 796:12 806:18 potential 741:6 745:11 potentially 740:24 754:16 763:8 781:16 pots 793:23 **power** 794:10

powers 797:8

practice 772:15,18

Pradhan 731:10 739:24

740:5 745:2 746:22

768:1,4,10 789:12

796:1 797:18,22

803:23 predetermined 755:2 predominant 750:15 752:18 predominately 748:14 preferable 783:14 premium 732:18 739:14 741:2,11 744:14 745:3 745:6,11,21,24 746:3 765:20 766:19 767:8,8 776:5,9,10 783:21 prepare 806:10 prepared 761:18 768:25 802:14 prescribed 775:10,20 present 735:3 738:2 791:22 presentation 732:4 737:23,24 805:8 presentations 738:9 785:10,11 president 802:12,13 presumably 799:9 presumptuous 768:2 pretty 739:19 750:4 751:10 776:25 788:17 previous 749:1 751:3,21 772:16 800:11 previously 735:16 746:11 763:25 primarily 738:23 principal 765:12 principals 765:12 print 785:25 printed 747:4 prior 738:19 742:9 776:21 777:1 797:6 798:13 priority 762:20 private 786:25 probably 738:12 800:20 problem 753:6 756:13 780:25 proceed 733:24 735:7 737:22 755:15 791:14 792:2 proceeding 786:20 proceedings 733:16 805:22 807:7 808:6 process 734:11 740:14 777:8 778:12 780:16 786:11,13 788:15 789:10 800:24 801:21 806:4,11 processes 802:1 processing 768:22 769:7 771:24 processors 790:8 profit 773:4 774:3,9,10 774:12 program 731:7 734:3 746:17,17 747:6,11,12 749:3,3,7,8,10,12 751:1,8 753:11 754:19

760:9 761:2,8 762:22

763:1 803:10.12

programatically 796:24

programatic 799:11

programs 738:7 749:5 750:21 751:18 752:1 753:14 756:18 762:4,9 763:8 788:20 801:7 project 737:11 770:8,13 770:20 772:20 projected 743:10 756:23 projecting 756:14 projections 789:25 projects 768:21 769:6,9 772:16,22 773:7 775:17 promoting 741:12 promotion 785:22 properties 783:2,5,6 property 806:24 property-based 800:3 proposal 745:1,14,19 757:9 762:25 765:8 767:11,16 784:8 804:21 proposals 797:3,5 **propose** 759:9 proposed 730:3 737:6 738:4 739:9 741:18 742:22 745:15 749:2 776:20 784:7 785:3 802:24 proposing 740:18 752:9 768:20 Proposition 806:21 protect 771:11 protest 802:16 806:23,25 807:14 proud 785:24 **provide** 734:9 735:2 739:16 749:5,14 754:16 773:1 779:18 792.23 provided 773:8,12,18 providing 740:22 805:8 provision 760:4 806:23 public 730:2 731:2,19 732:4,11,12,12,13,23 732:24 733:7,14,20,22 734:3,6,23 735:4 737:23,24 744:3,4 753:25 763:12 764:14 766:9 773:19 784:20 785:6 787:6 788:10.15 789:11 791:14,15,20 791:20 792:4 796:4,7,8 796:17,23 797:2,6 798:2 802:8 804:1,4 805:1,20,24 806:6,8,16 806.18 807.5 public's 806:1 publications 786:1 Pujol 731:4 733:24 734:1 734:16 purchases 775:16 purposes 734:12 766:5 pursue 783:16 **pursued** 791:8 put 747:8 755:22 793:23 795:18 803:4,12 **putting** 762:12

Q quantity 740:20 quarterly 796:19,21 question 750:23 768:19 776:1 779:20 780:23 782:13,19,24 788:10 798:10 799:21 questions 737:12,16 746:14,21 755:8,16,17 755:20 756:4 757:3 758:19 760:20 763:17 773:14 775:24 776:15 784:17 785:4 786:8 805:10 quickly 769:24

R

quite 736:16 780:14

785:24

quote 771:7

R 731:1 733:2 raise 787:8 794:25 raised 766:17 ramp 803:8 ramped 803:4 ramping 803:3,5 rate 730:4 732:18 734:11 738:18 739:2,9,14,18 739:20 740:14,19 741:8,17 742:1,22 743:6 744:5,17,21 745:3,5,20,22 751:17 758:1 761:16 765:16 766:13 769:9 771:1 776:22 777:1.13 778:1 779:15 781:11 784:7 786:13 787:16 789:24 790:25 791:1.6 792:17 792:24 794:17 795:1 796:13 798:11,16,18 798:20,23,24 800:22 800:24 802:1,18 804:21 rate-setting 798:15 ratepayer 731:12 732:22 733:18 734:23 735:3 763:16 782:5 784:16 784:25 786:3,8 787:24 789:14 792:11 800:25 801:5 805:23 806:3,19 ratepayers 731:12 732:22 751:23 785:3 786:23 787:14 788:22 789:2,15 801:17 807:1 rates 730:3 733:11 738:4 738:9 739:6,20 740:12 740:13 741:10 744:15 744:19 746:5 773:3 775:21 776:18 777:15 777:16 787:22 788:1,8 798:14 805:6,15 rationale 772:5 reached 785:24 read 792:7 readership 785:20 ready 735:6 754:24 real 753:2 761:7 781:12

realistic 801:8,12

realistically 754:24 801:19 reality 787:21 realize 764:15 really 751:13,14 753:15 754:3 757:14.15.24.25 758:3 761:9 762:21,23 767:7 776:6 777:20 779:19 781:12 786:8 786:12 795:10 801:8 801:16 reason 749:15 752:23 772:24 775:18 reasonable 737:9.11 772:19 779:17 805:14 reasons 747:15 rebate 793:14 rebates 789:1 rebuttal 763:20 recall 763:22 776:23 777:5 receive 742:10 743:15 743:20 758:7 769:11 797:23 received 747:7 748:5 receiving 739:12 recess 758:10,13 recognize 781:7 recollection 777:7 Recology 732:20,21 734:23 735:1 736:5 737:6 738:5 741:4,18 742:4 745:14 747:24 748:3 749:2,4 750:17 759:5,9 760:12 766:16 768:12,15,20 770:22 771:1 772:24,25 773:9 774:12,18,23 775:2,3 788:3 790:2,3,8,11,17 790:18,20,23,25 791:6 801:4 805:7 806:2 Recology's 733:9 739:8 741:21 742:19 747:20 759:24 760:15 764:10 767:13 773:4 789:24 790:15 805:4 recommend 753:20 recommendation 806:10 recommendations 787:5 787:8 789:8 806:16 recommended 773:5 788:17 recommending 761:20 763:5 reconsider 792:17 794.14 record 733:15 735:5 758:15,16 763:21 784:19 791:23 792:1 802:2 807:7 recover 772:12 recovery 771:13,14 recycler 792:20 794:2 recycling 738:6 739:17 741:23 742:20 744:13 744:23 747:12 749:2,7 749:10 750:8,25 752:13 762:5 803:13 803:14

red 771:4,5,11,21 redirect 734:25 reduce 777:16,24,25 778:3 794:11 803:12 reduced 738:23 743:13 794:19 reduces 741:8 774:2 reducing 741:20 793:10 reduction 739:3.5.12 referring 739:24 740:10 reflect 741:24 747:23 reflection 790:18 reflective 787:16 reflects 744:2 **refunds** 789:1 refuse 730:3,4 734:11 738:4 788:8 803:12 805:5 regard 775:15,16 regardless 778:24 regards 757:3 regularly 785:21 reinforce 772:7 related 738:9 766:8 778:22 relates 738:4 relating 797:13 relative 808:11 relatively 741:22 relief 760:3 remarks 802:14 remembering 763:3 remove 780:6 renters 803:16,17 repeat 782:20 report 759:4 772:25 773:5,6,15 775:11,21 784:12 786:20 787:8 789:8 790:16 796:10 796:15,15 797:3 799:24 800:20 806:10 806:14,17,20 reported 730:24 808:7 reporter 791:25 808:5 REPORTER'S 808:1 **REPORTERS** 730:21 reporting 796:22 reports 785:6 796:19,22 represent 789:11 representing 802:14 represents 769:4,10 770:7 request 749:25 755:5 772:4 790:19 requested 751:17 773:8 792.23 requests 750:11 752:13 752:19 763:6 require 736:20 765:18 796:21 required 732:21 734:8 745:22 757:13 768:15 771:18 772:21 requirement 741:8 750:10,19 759:4,10 760:4,10 762:19

requirements 757:9

787:12

requires 734:2 773:14 775:21 reserve 733:14 791:2 797:5,11 residence 787:24 residences 736:2 residential 731:7 732:17 733:10 735:24 738:4 740:8.13.16 742:8.16 743:5 766:13 805:5 806:24 residents 734:10 765:7 787:7 resources 752:16 756:19 759:22 761:13 761:24 785:17 794:11 responding 747:21 response 748:1 754:16 805:9 responsibilities 801:3 responsible 801:24 rest 751:12 803:17 result 738:23 739:6,7 745:19 780:1 783:11 resulted 785:23 resulting 742:23 results 800:2 resume 758:13 return 768:18 772:19 reuse 793:21 revenue 741:8 746:2 789.25 revenues 743:2 744:1 745:11,18,22 766:2 review 797:2,6 805:12,21 Richmond 753:2 rid 762:16 ridiculous 803:9 right 733:3,22 752:25 754:18 756:9 759:3,21 762:25 763:18 764:7 766:8 767:2,10,21,24 769:4 770:23 779:11 783:15 802:5 **Rights** 734:1 risk 772:14 774:2 risks 772:20 Robert 731:7 805:16 role 800:25 806:3 roles 801:3 roll 742:5 rolled 750:24 rollout 742:19 room 730:8 731:4,11 735:13 806:22 Rosie 731:12 roughly 741:15 743:6,8 744:12 route 747:25 751:14,20 783:10 routes 741:1 756:5,8,10 788:5 routing 750:2 751:1,13 759:17

run 740:15

running 774:23

s

S 731:1 732:15 733:2 Sacramento 790:5 Sadly 737:4 same-day 755:3 Sample 732:17 740:7 San 730:1.9 731:5.8.16 733:8 734:2 735:22 737:2 739:21 748:7 765:7 780:14 784:1 790:2 792:11,19 sat 753:15 806:6 save 751:22 794:9 saved 794:7 savings 800:14 saw 746:18 saying 756:15 799:7 800:22 says 780:7 scale 769:23 Scavenger 792:18 scenario 773:25 schedule 754:25 760:13 scheduled 743:1 762:11 scheduling 754:13 scheme 802:24 **Scholer** 731:15 **Schultz** 738:20 science 801:15 scientific 764:20 **SCRAP** 793:20 search 775:7 seats 733:4 second 740:21 743:12 743:16 744:24 745:12 745:15 749:9 768:1 769:12 782:19 sector 737:8 787:1 see 737:4 739:5,21 742:16 743:6 748:4,17 750:14 751:15 752:16 757:7 764:20 770:10 785:7,25 787:5 789:2,9 seeing 777:17 778:1,3 789:5 seeking 806:1 seen 787:16 send 755:4 Senior 735:24 **seniors** 787:9 sense 748:18 754:19 774:9 778:12 796:23 799:16 800:7,8 sensitive 754:18 781:14 separate 767:4 784:5 service 732:17.19 738:21,22,25 739:2,3,5 739:7,10,13,14,17,19 740:8,23,24 741:3,7,16 742:5,17,20,24,25 743:14 744:12 746:23 746:25 747:6,22 749:5 749:14 750:7,11 756:7 757:6,9,13 759:6,10,25 760:10 764:19 765:22 765:23 766:20 776:5 776:10 777:22 778:24 779:18 781:5,8 782:11 782:12,22 783:9,10,13

787:11,15 798:23 799:21,25 800:3 804:15,16,18 serviced 759:5 services 734:3 739:18 739:22 741:23 744:23 767:9 servicing 783:5 799:15 set 769:8 798:25 808:8 setting 766:13 800:24 sewer 798:14 SF 753:25 SFE 732:24 798:1 **SFPUC** 799:25 **shakes** 737:21 **share** 757:6 shared 766:20 767:9 776:5,9 783:21,24 she'll 797:20 shift 747:7 756:6 773:21 781:11 **shifts** 763:7 short 758:10,13 **shorthand** 808:5,10 show 743:1 747:3 771:4 791:17 792:21 807:7 **shower** 794:7 showing 744:12 773:22 **shown** 749:24 764:9 shows 742:13 744:25 745:10.13.15.17.19 746:16 748:17 756:16 side 749:21 755:6 762:18 774:2 778:4 sides 795:5,6 sign-in 734:13 significant 753:16 756:18 761:14 784:3 806.4 similar 765:23 772:16 785.7 simple 778:20 781:20,21 Single 732:17 740:7 single-family 744:11 787:24 siphoned 794:8 sir 735:14 sits 750:18 situations 791:4 six 759:12,20 761:23 six-month 759:23 six-months 760:6 761:6 sixth 733:8 size 752:22,23 757:11 793:11 sizes 757:12 slightly 747:3 **slower** 744:5 small 742:14 783:25 784:2 small- 740:19 small-quantity 743:13 744.7

small-volume 798:12

small-waste 757:19

smaller 752:11 766:6

770:10 777:17,23

smattering 748:6 social 785:22,22 786:2 solid 805:18 **solution** 756:20 767:2 778:19 781:20 solve 780:24.25 somebody 778:24 someone's 780:11 somewhat 805:25 sorry 740:3 758:22 768:2 sort 736:24 797:5 799:23 sorts 799:5 800:4 speak 734:18 752:21 753:12 754:14 761:4 791:16,18 802:13 speaker 792:3 796:6 804:3.25 speaker's 802:7 speakers 802:5 804:24 speaking 748:7,11 753:24 796:7 special 763:13 specific 751:4 753:17 781:6 specifically 750:6 756:21 760:5 761:15 specifics 788:22 spending 781:24 spent 772:24 spine 748:12 split 752:3 778:9,11,21 778:21 779:23 780:2,6 780:16 784:5 799:22 800.9 12 splitting 776:7,10 778:23 779:6 spoke 799:4 spreadsheet 802:17,21 staff 734:24 750:16 753:15 767:12 772:25 773:6,13,14,14,15 775:24 784:18 786:19 787:8 789:8 790:16 796:15,20 797:3 805:11,16 806:2,14 staffed 786:5 stakeholder 785:12 stakeholders 734:10 stand 735:14 755:18 767:10 standards 787:20 start 733:19 734:21 738:3,8 743:8 746:20 748:22 753:22 757:12 788:17 started 740:4 starting 781:5,8 803:6 state 791:24 **stated** 742:9 statement 777:19 778:6 stating 788:3 station 749:16 785:12 statistics 765:17 stenographically 808:7 step 806:11 stop 747:25 769:12 **stopping** 781:5,8

storage 792:22 straight-line 770:19 stream 742:18 street 731:8 748:3,13 762:12 802:12 streets 762:19 795:15 structural 739:6 structure 739:9,18,21 741:25 742:22 774:19 777:13 781:19 structures 798:11 study 779:21 stuff 746:7 795:15 Styrofoam 793:22 subject 744:16 759:6 760:9 767:22 775:3 776:18 790:6,14 submission 807:4 submit 792:6 806:24 submittal 776:21 777:3 submitted 784:24 790:10 subset 784:4 substantial 741:10 779:24 successful 749:12 754:19 successfully 761:3 suggest 742:2 suggested 790:11 797:2 797:4 799:3 suggestion 791:8,8 **Suite** 731:8 summarize 772:10 summary 735:3,4 774:5 784:23 790:1,10 Sunset 753:1 792:18 Sunset/Parkside 752:17 sunsetting 744:1 superimposed 748:17 superintendent 753:24 Supervisors 785:16 supply 752:7 754:21 support 751:18 794:16 supporting 788:1 806:13 suppose 765:9 surcharge 741:5 757:4 757:17,21 758:2 764:8 764:12 766:19 767:6,7 777:11,15,18,21 799:13 surcharges 757:7,23 sure 762:2 767:12 768:5 769:2,13 772:11 773:19 779:8 781:24 783:10 798:5 802:6 surplus 743:2,25 survey 733:23 734:7,7 734:13,14 764:20 **suspend** 759:13 swear 791:24 switching 762:18 sworn 735:16 738:12,14 746:11 763:25 764:4 791:21 system 749:14 750:3 751:25 752:2 761:13

794:3 800:14

T 732:15 table 733:13 734:13 742:14,25 745:15 756:21 792:25 take 733:4,17 737:5 750:9 755:18,20 758:12 761:24 767:16 789:22 803:21 806:9 taken 808:10 takes 736:15,16 741:6 talk 734:18 738:5 743:12 747:16 755:18 777:10 781.1 talked 741:14,14 747:9 753:10 754:9 761:8 764:9 782:25 788:25 talking 754:12 776:4,6 782.18 talks 800:20 target 794:5.17 targets 801:8 team 786:8 805:22 telephone 786:6 tell 761:23 769:1 780:10 template 804:18 ten 749:12,13,22,23,23 752:11 753:11,20 754:4 756:9 793:7 ten-minute 758:12 tenants 736:23 Tenderloin/Chinatown 748:15 tends 799:13 terms 743:8 745:16 754:15 758:4 780:17 783:5 testified 735:17 738:15 738:20 746:12 764:1 768:19 769:20 testifying 738:12 testimony 734:20,21,22 735:2 738:3,9 773:12 790:14 806:6,14 thank 734:15,16 737:25 755:7 756:25 758:11 758:25 760:19 763:15 768:10 775:23 776:13 784:14 789:12.17 791:9,12 792:2 794:12 795:22,24 798:4 801:20,23 802:2,4 803:19,22 804:22,23 805:7,11,21,23 806:5 807:6.9 thankful 789:10 **Thanks** 745:8 themes 786:18,21 theory 752:10 thing 789:22 795:13 804:7 things 748:23 755:1 763:13 782:1 791:6 794:1 796:9,18 800:4 801:2 think 737:10 740:3

750:17,20 751:19,25

753:13 754:21 755:4

stops 749:18 751:7

756:19.20 762:8 764:10,23 765:18 767:23 773:18 776:6 779:1,11,18 780:21 781:1,10,15 783:16,24 784:5 786:12 787:3.12 787:17,23 788:11,16 788:22 789:1,4 794:24 795:10 797:1,6 798:24 799:16,18,22,24 800:6 800:10,23 801:6 803:2 803:7 804:13 thinking 790:19 796:18 thinks 791:7 third 743:19 744:9 thirty 736:3 Thomas 731:10 **Thompson** 731:21 732:13 804:4,5,6 thought 795:13,17 thoughts 785:5 three 731:15 747:5 750:18 751:11 756:23 761:25 768:21 769:9 775:16 three-page 746:22 throw 793:21 tiered 739:18 time 737:17 742:4,6 744:2,5 746:8,19 747:13 750:12.13 753:7 754:25 755:2 759:6 761:5 765:22 772:24 775:6 781:24 784:18 789:18 791:17 795:16,20,21 799:23 801:20 802:17.20.21 803:19 807:10 808:7 timeline's 777.8 times 753:25 760:10 788:17 793:7 800:18 tips 770:3 Title 733:21 734:1,5 titled 746:23 today 733:12,13 734:21 749:8,10 750:18 751:25 761:11 762:10 762:24 767:16 784:9 794:20 795:6 797:9 798:6.7.8 today's 733:15 734:6 Tom 800:10 tomorrow 798:7 806:22 top 742:13 743:5 745:13 780:20 topic 778:8 782:4,8 805:25 tops 751:11 total 756:9 769:5,8 772:1 town 748:5,15 track 781:22 783:2 Tracy 731:21 732:13 804:4.6 transaction 782:10 transcribed 734:17 8:808 transcript 808:10 transfer 749:16 782:11 782:22 783:4,8

transferred 782:12 transferring 783:13 799:21,25 transit 788:8 transition 741:19 742:3 745:12 transitioned 739:1 742.18 trash 732:18 738:24.25 739:5,12,14,17 740:20 741:3 742:20 743:14 744:10,12,22 745:3,6 745:11 758:2 764:8 765:24 768:22 769:7 771:24 780:7,8,10 804:12 travel 751:5 treat 770:9 treatment 732:20 768:12 768:20 773:2 truck 749:17 753:21 trucks 750:3 751:18 753:21 true 744:20 765:10 774:7 775:5 808:9,15 truly 765:24 try 749:23 754:12 783:17 803:15 trying 744:6 756:16 758:1 764:14 777:20 778:25 779:1,9 781:14 793:16 799:2 **TV** 800:4 tweak 801:2 two 742:3 743:2,24 744:17 746:1,4 748:17 751:11 753:14,21,21 756:14,23 757:20 761:12,25 763:1 765:3 765:5,20 766:10 767:23 768:3 772:15 773:7 779:5 780:3,9 800:14 two-and-a-half 750:17 two-to- 787:24 two-to-five-unit 787:7 two-unit 780:3 two-year 742:19 type 742:14 778:24 types 765:23 780:15 781:25 typical 740:16 742:16 743:5 typically 736:20 783:3 U-2 740:13 umbrella 753:4 unable 773:23 778:3

U U-2 740:13 umbrella 753:4 unable 773:23 778:3 underlying 779:2 805:13 understand 739:1 747:17 762:2 764:5,13 766:20 778:25 779:9 779:20 781:21 787:2 794:15 796:19 798:15 802:25 803:3 understanding 760:11 805:18

understands 739:8 underway 790:5 unduly 787:14 **uneven** 753:9 unfortunately 764:23 797:10.14 unintended 739:11 unit 739:15 741:4 757:19 779:8 783:20.21 784:3 784:6 798:19 799:9,12 Unit-1 740:12 units 739:19 758:6 766:10 779:7 781:7 802:14 803:16 unquote 771:7 updated 785:21 usage 798:17 use 738:1 766:6 769:14 771:8 775:21 797:3,4 797:13 798:17,19 804:9 users 785:25 uses 782:25 usually 793:2 utilities 782:11 794:5 800.7 utilize 762:22

vacant 779:7,8 valet 736:24 value 745:25 806:4 variable 779:15 variety 747:15 763:9 various 743:2 765:9 791:5 vehicles 749:23 version 784:24 versus 746:2 751:7 752:9 772:6 779:15 **VI** 733:21 734:1,5 view 764:10 views 747:5 voice 789:11 794:13 volume 730:13 764:19 765:19 volumes 747:10,18 748.8 volumetric 765:23 voluntary 785:22 vs 732:20 768:11

walked 786:16
want 743:12 746:19
747:17 754:17 755:22
759:14 761:5,11
762:15 767:4 773:13
773:18 774:3 776:5,18
780:7,8 781:2 782:4
789:3 794:20 804:6,19
805:7,23 806:5
wanted 772:5 773:11
801:23 802:1
wants 734:18 787:25
791:18
waste 731:7,7 732:19

W

walk 745:9

735:24 736:2 741:13 742:17 743:17 746:17 746:23,25 756:6 787:21 788:25 794:11 794:16,19,21 801:9,17 805:18

waste-generating 777:23 water 739:20 794:2,3,3,7 794:8 798:14 804:9,16 way 745:20 749:5 751:24 756:17 762:14 778:25 779:10 781:16 788:8 790:7 794:17 799:14 799:17,18 801:18

804:11

ways 749:6 763:9 766:16 781:3 798:24 801:14 we'll 737:22 751:9 752:15 755:25 758:12 796:12 797:23 798:9

803:15 we're 737:4 740:17 744:6 748:11 750:17 752:9 754:18 756:14,15 757:24 759:11 761:19 762:12,24 763:3,4,5 767:11,12 772:17 773:19 777:20 778:19 779:19 781:24 782:18 784:23 799:2 801:8 803:11 13

803:11,13 we've 744:3 754:11 761:8 765:11 767:11 772:7,24 780:9 784:6 784:13 786:18,22 787:3,16 788:2,15,19 788:21,25 798:12 website 785:21 786:6

website 785:21 786:6 796:12 806:18,19,19 807:5

Wednesday 730:12 733:1,12 weeds 793:6 week 736:19 741:14 784:13 793:1,9 799:23 weigh 794:13 welcome 757:1 792:9 went 786:3 weren't 796:16 West 768:21 769:7,14,16 770:14 773:7

who've 743:13

Williams 800:10

window 761:1,3

windshield 753:7 wine 793:22 Wing 768:22 769:7,14,16 770:14 773:7 wish 737:13,19 755:9 760:21

wishing 791:16 withdraw 790:18 withdrawing 790:23 791:9 witness 732:3,5,8

735:18 737:14,18,20 746:13 755:10,25 757:1 767:25 775:1,5,8 witnesses 735:1 755:14 755:22 758:21 763:19 790:15,16 791:10 wonder 755:13 788:5 wondering 778:19 779:2 795:16 word 767:6 words 770:14 771:15 work 736:15,17,25 752:1 755:1 765:3 781:19 783:17 787:18,19 788:23 790:20 793:6 799:13 801:25

worked 800:25 working 736:1,18 767:1 767:19 769:4 788:13 workloads 751:4 works 730:2 731:2 732:4

733:7,20 734:3,23 737:23,24 751:25 753:25 763:12 764:14 785:6 787:6 805:21 806:18 807:5

workshops 765:9 776:21 776:24 777:1 world 751:23 797:21

worth 788:23 wouldn't 773:24 793:9 writing 792:16 written 772:7 806:23,25

807:1,4 wrong 797:10 wrote 792:14 www.depo.com 730:22

X 732:1,15

yard 793:6 Yeah 779:4 year 741:6,9,18,20 743:16,17,19,24,24 744:16 745:13 756:15 761:12 763:14 779:22 793:7 years 736:1 740:19 742:3 743:2,6,21 744:17 746:1,4 749:11 756:24 757:20 762:1 766:3 770:20,21 794:6 794:24 796:25 798:13 801:11,23,25 803:7

Zero 731:7,7 735:24 736:2 741:13 743:17 773:4 787:21 788:25 794:16 801:9,17 zero-waste 736:20 737:3 zone 751:25 752:2 753:1 zones 752:4,11,22 753:1 753:11,20

yellow 769:10 770:6,7,21

771:20

zones/ten 754:4 ZWI 797:3 801:7

0	22,000 785:20	744:20
	234 731:11	64-gallon 739:5 799:17
0.5 741:9 0800 751:8	24 754:23 755:5	65% 736:9,13
0000 101.0	24,000 741:15	
1	25 743:21	7
1 730:8 731:5 753:1	25% 793:2 803:2,6,9	7 730:13
1% 737:6	25.90 738:24	7 % 743:10
10 741:2 744:14 757:4,7	288-3376 730:22	70 749:18 751:7
757:20 758:3 764:8,11	3	730 730:14
777:11 799:3,13		735 732:3
804:15,16	3 730:12 733:1	737 732:4
10% 736:9 801:11 803:2	3% 771:1 795:1 3.000 802:23	740 732:17
803:9	3,933 764:21	745 732:18 746 732:19
10.44 738:24	3.4 746:1	748 732:6
10:05 807:10	3.5 746:2	75% 736:9,13
100 732:20 767:24,24,25	3/4ths 737:10	756 732:6
768:5,8,11 769:1 801:9	30 797:2	759 732:7
101 732:21 767:24,25	30-day 797:6	760 732:7
768:5,9,14 772:3,4 102 732:22 789:13,14	311 750:4,11	764 732:9
102 732:22 769:13,14 103 732:23 796:2,3	31st 759:13	768 732:20,21
104 732:24 797:23 798:1	32 738:24 739:15 741:2,3	776 732:9,10
105 732:25 803:24,25	742:17,21 744:13	782 732:10
10th 731:16	757:5	789 732:22
11 763:3,4 786:4	32-gallon 780:4,7 793:4	792 732:11
11:00 754:2	799:19	796 732:12,23
11th 763:8	32/32/32 742:24	798 732:24
12 763:2	33% 802:24 803:17 348 731:4 736:4	
12:00 754:1,1	35 743:7,8	8
1200 731:8	37 743:21	8 747:23 748:9
12th 796:11	3rd 733:12	8:00 749:25 750:5,12,19
13 785:19	314 733.12	754:1
13876 730:24 808:4,22	4	8:07 733:1
1455 731:8		8:47 758:15
15% 803:6	4 794:24 806:22	8:58 758:16
15th 806:17	4,000 802:23 4/10ths 745:23	800 730:22 802 732:12
16 742:20 769:19	4:15 750:13	803 732:25
16-gallon 793:10 800:19 1600 751:8	4:29 750:13	804 732:13
1630 751.8	4:30 747:24 748:9 750:5	808 730:14
168,000 784:1	750:12,19	82 802:14 803:16
18 769:21	40-\$42 743:9	83 773:10
18% 756:15 762:3	40% 741:17	850,000 741:5 745:21
19 769:21	400 730:8	88 738:20
19,000 785:24	416 806:22	8th 808:17
195 785:23	43 743:7	
	47% 743:20	9
2	48 750:9 754:20 760:14	9:00 754:1 758:13 806:22
2% 795:1	760:17	9:55 758:14
2:00 754:1		94102 730:9 731:5
20 749:11 770:20,21	5	94103 731:8
783:20 794:4 804:19	5 740:13 741:19 742:3	94111 731:16
20-gallon 742:7,8 758:5	743:14,25 745:12	96-gallon 738:25
780:8,10 793:1	758:7 776:19 777:2,4	97 732:17 740:1,6,7
20-year 770:23	793:14	764:9 765:17
20 % 741:21 743:15	5% 743:16 744:19	98 732:18 745:4,5 764:10
756:16 762:3	50 802:12	765:17,19
2006 773:5 775:11,20	50% 736:13 767:8 783:21	99 732:19 746:24,25
2007 797:15	792:17 793:13 794:17	767:23
2013 750:24 759:4	803:13,14	
2015 797:12		
2017 730:4,12 732:18	6	
733:1 745:3,5 805:4	6,600 778:15 783:25	
808:17	6:00 749:19	
2018 756:16 218 806:21	60 749:18 751:7 785:10	
210 000.21	61 744:20	
22% 743:6	64 739:2 742:20 744:11	