

1 DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
 2 DIRECTOR'S HEARING ON PROPOSED REFUSE RATES
 3 2013 REFUSE RATE APPLICATION
 4
 5 CITY HALL
 6 1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE, ROOM 408
 7 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102
 8
 9 Monday, May 20, 2013
 10 Volume 5
 11 (pp. 592-715)
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22 REPORTED BY: FREDDIE REPPOND
 23
 24 FREDDIE REPPOND, STENOGRAPHIC REPORTER
 25 (415) 469-8867

1 APPEARANCES
 2
 3 FOR DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS:
 4 Mohammed Nuru, Director
 5 Douglas Legg
 6 Bonnie Brugmann
 7 City Hall, Room 348
 8 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
 9 San Francisco, CA 94102-4645
 10 (415) 554-6920
 11
 12 FOR DEPARTMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENT:
 13 Robert Haley
 14 Jack Macy
 15 David Assmann
 16 11 Grove Street
 17 San Francisco, CA 94102
 18
 19 FOR OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY:
 20 Thomas Owen, Deputy City Attorney
 21 Thomas M. Bruen, of Counsel
 22 City Hall, Room 234
 23 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
 24 San Francisco, CA 94102-4682
 25
 26 FOR THE RATEPAYERS:
 27 Peter Deibler, Ratepayer Advocate
 28
 29 FOR THE COMPANY:
 30 Michael J. Baker, Esq.
 31 Gabriel White, Esq.
 32 ARNOLD & PORTER
 33 Three Embarcadero Center, 7th Floor
 34 San Francisco, CA 94111-4024
 35 (415)471-3143
 36 MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC:
 37 Nancy Wuerfel

Page 593

1 INDEX
 2 Page
 3 Presentation of Staff Report 600
 4 TESTIMONY:
 5 Witness Examination by Page
 6 Douglas Legg Mr. Baker 607
 7 Mr. Deibler 629
 8 Kevin Drew Mr. Baker 618
 9 Mr. Deibler 626
 10 Jack Macy Mr. Deibler 640
 11 Alec Dmitriew Mr. Deibler 636
 12 Paul Yamamoto Mr. Baker 647, 681
 13 Mr. Bruen 664, 684
 14 Mr. Macy 671
 15 Mr. Deibler 685
 16 Jon Braslaw Mr. Deibler 688
 17 Mr. Baker 704
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25

Page 594

1 INDEX OF EXHIBITS
 2 Exhibit Description Page
 3 65 Staff Report on the 2013 Refuse 602
 4 Rate Application (City)
 5 66 Operation Radio Study (City) 602
 6 67 Email dated 2/6/13 from K. Fuchs 602
 7 to M. Allersma (City)
 8 68 Detail of Apartment Rate Cap (City) 602
 9 69 Transition Reinsurance Fee (City) 602
 10 70 Independent Auditor's Report on 602
 11 Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures (City)
 12 71 PG&E Gas Schedule G-NGV1 (City) 602
 13 72 COLA Rate Weight Calculation for 602
 14 For Combined Companies (City)
 15 73 CEQA Determination (City) 602
 16 74 Independent Auditor's Reports on 709
 17 Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures
 18 Related to the Department of the
 19 Environment Impound Account
 20 (2008, 2009) (City)
 21 75 Summary of Department of Public Works 628
 22 Staff Report on the 2013 Refuse Rate
 23 Application (Ratepayer Advocate)
 24 76 City vs. Recology Salary and 637
 25 Benefits Information (City)
 26 77 Recology Organics Group--Customers 659
 27 With the Largest Percentages of
 28 of Food Waste (Recology)
 29 78 Hay Road Landfill Rates (Schedule J.3 of 661
 30 2006 Recology Refuse Rate Application)
 31 (Recology)
 32 79 Residential Development Pipeline-- 689
 33 December 2012 (Recology)

Page 595

1	80	Apartment Revenue Analysis--	694
2		Impacts of Apartment Cap (Recology)	
3	81	Impact of Increased Apartment	696
4		Units (Recology)	
5	82	Management Fee (Recology)	703
6		--oOo--	
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Page 596

1 meeting this morning. I want to remind everyone who
 2 speaks to come forward and speak clearly into the
 3 microphone so that he can take your entire testimony.
 4 Please do not speak from your seat in the audience.
 5 One more piece of housekeeping. I'd like the
 6 DPW clerk to make an announcement concerning DPW's
 7 efforts to comply with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act
 8 and ask your cooperation with the public participation
 9 survey.
 10 Ms. Bonnie Brugmann, please proceed with your
 11 announcement.
 12 MS. BRUGMANN: DPW is conducting public
 13 hearings to gather input on residential refuse rates. A
 14 voluntary public participation survey is being
 15 distributed at this meeting for all in attendance to
 16 fill out. The information on the survey is being
 17 collected in order to comply with Title VI of the Civil
 18 Rights Act of 1964, nondiscrimination in federally
 19 assisted programs.
 20 As a recipient of federal financial
 21 assistance, DPW is required to collect analyze data on
 22 ethnicity, race, sex, age, and disability. The data you
 23 provide will enable DPW to ensure that residents and
 24 communities impacted by this project have been included
 25 in the decision-making process. The information will

Page 598

1 Monday, May 20, 2013 9:04 a.m.
 2 PROCEEDINGS
 3 DIRECTOR NURU: Let the hearing please come to
 4 order.
 5 Good morning, everyone. I am Mohammed Nuru,
 6 the Director of the Department of Public Works for the
 7 City and County of San Francisco. Let the record show
 8 that today is Monday, May 20th. The agenda for today is
 9 on the table on the side.
 10 This is a continuation of the Director's
 11 Hearings on Recology's application for an increase in
 12 residential refuse collection and disposal rates. On
 13 March 14th of this year Recology filed an application to
 14 raise residential rates with the Chair of the San
 15 Francisco Refuse Collection and Disposal Rate Board.
 16 The application was referred to me for hearings which
 17 began in April.
 18 On May 10 staff from DPW and staff from the
 19 Department of Environment issued a staff report. Copies
 20 are also available on the table. The staff report is
 21 the focus of these final two hearings. I will hear the
 22 testimony, cross-examination, and rebuttal of staff's
 23 recommendations and consider all the evidence, including
 24 public testimony, before making any recommendations.
 25 We have Mr. Freddie Reppond transcribing our

Page 597

1 not be used for any other purpose.
 2 Please check the appropriate boxes which best
 3 describe you. Submittal of this information is
 4 voluntary. When completed, please drop the survey in
 5 the box.
 6 Thank you.
 7 DIRECTOR NURU: Thank you, Bonnie.
 8 So let me briefly outline the order of
 9 business for the final two hearings. We will begin
 10 today with a presentation on the staff report. We will
 11 then have cross-examination of the City staff by the
 12 companies and by Mr. Peter Deibler, the Ratepayer
 13 Advocate. The companies will then begin their rebuttal
 14 to the staff report. We will continue the presentation
 15 of the companies' rebuttal on Wednesday, if necessary,
 16 followed by cross-examination by the Ratepayer Advocate
 17 and the City staff and any redirect on the issues raised
 18 by either party.
 19 As in prior hearings we reserve the last
 20 period of each day for public comment. Speaker cards
 21 are available at the table. I ask you to fill them out
 22 so that I have an indication of the number of people
 23 wishing to speak today. You may also convey your
 24 comments to Mr. Deibler.
 25 Are there any questions? Okay.

Page 599

1 If not, I'd like to ask Mr. Douglas Legg of
 2 DPW to present the staff report.
 3 Douglas, please proceed.
 4 PRESENTATION OF THE STAFF REPORT
 5 MR. LEGG: Thank you, Mr. Nuru.
 6 Before making the presentation, I would like
 7 to introduce a number of exhibits, including the staff
 8 report itself. And we'll be handing those out as I
 9 start talking.
 10 And, Tom, do you want me to run through all of
 11 them or do you want to accept them one at a time,
 12 because I'd like to describe what they are.
 13 MR. OWEN: Why don't you describe each one,
 14 but do them as a group.
 15 MR. LEGG: Okay. Exhibit 65 is a copy of the
 16 staff report itself. And the next exhibits that I'm
 17 going to introduce are all referred to in the staff
 18 report.
 19 Exhibit 66 is an operating ratio study that
 20 was performed by the consulting firm HDR Engineering.
 21 It's dated March 11, 2013. This was done under the
 22 auspices of the Department of Environment here in the
 23 City.
 24 Exhibit 67 is an email from a staff person in
 25 the City Controller's office. It describes the City

Page 600

1 planning department's development pipeline. And
 2 attached to it are a number of spreadsheets showing all
 3 of the larger buildings that are either under
 4 construction, in planning, or have been approved for
 5 construction.
 6 Exhibit 68 is a spreadsheet that shows the
 7 distribution of apartment customers. And it shows -- I
 8 need to go back and look and see where this is
 9 referenced, what exactly --
 10 Robert, can you help me out? It's a detail of
 11 the cap rates and number of customers that are subject
 12 to the caps and what would happen if there were no
 13 apartment caps.
 14 MR. HALEY: It's referenced in the apartment
 15 revenue projections.
 16 MR. LEGG: Exhibit 69 is a description from
 17 the consulting firm Towers Watson about the transitional
 18 reinsurance fee. This is the subject of an adjustment
 19 that staff are recommending in the health and welfare
 20 section.
 21 Exhibit 70 is the independent auditor's report
 22 on applying agreed-upon procedures by Calvin Lui, CPA.
 23 This was also under a contract with the Department of
 24 Environment. And Mr. Lui's report looked at the total
 25 management expenses and also lease expense and the

Page 601

1 appropriate allocation thereof.
 2 Exhibit 71 is a description of the cost of
 3 natural gas. It's issued by Pacific Gas & Electric; and
 4 it's effective April 1, 2013.
 5 Exhibit 72 shows the calculations of staff's
 6 proposed COLA mechanism.
 7 Exhibit 73 is a letter to the Department of
 8 City Planning and a finding by the Planning Department
 9 that this rate application is not a project for CEQA
 10 guidelines; and it's signed April 11, 2013.
 11 There's one more exhibit, 74, that we will be
 12 introducing later.
 13 MR. OWEN: We will receive those documents in
 14 evidence numbered as noted by Mr. Legg.
 15 (The documents referred to were
 16 marked and received into evidence
 17 as Exhibits 65 through 73.)
 18 MR. LEGG: As we've discussed in earlier
 19 hearings, the staff review of this application began
 20 with the submission by the companies of the draft
 21 application on December 11, 2012. We have a 90-day
 22 review period where there was an extensive request for
 23 information by City staff and consultants and a public
 24 workshop on that draft review where staff, consultants,
 25 and the public were understanding what was being

Page 602

1 requested by the companies; and the companies had an
 2 opportunity to make corrections and revisions to their
 3 draft application.
 4 That review of the draft application resulted
 5 in a number of items being removed or reduced in the
 6 draft application. And so as a result when the final
 7 application was submitted in March, the application
 8 already was requesting a tip fee that instead of \$159
 9 per ton was about \$150 per ton; and instead of rate
 10 increase on average for average revenue required by
 11 companies of 23.75 percent, the final application
 12 required a smaller increase of only 21.5 percent.
 13 Once we received the final application, we
 14 continued that process of examining the costs of looking
 15 at the historical figures and audited data. We held
 16 another public workshop. And we held four of these
 17 director's hearings.
 18 As a result of that, staff has made a number
 19 of recommended adjustments based on the information that
 20 we had at the time that the staff report was produced.
 21 The primary changes are listed in the staff report on
 22 pages three and four. I'm not going to go into great
 23 detail because the staff report is available and it is a
 24 pretty clear document.
 25 I will just mention that the primary

Page 603

1 adjustments to the disposal and processing company,
 2 Recology San Francisco, were a reduction in the
 3 compostable tipping fee, an adjustment on lease terms, a
 4 reduction of staffing levels at the recycling facility,
 5 and removing the green-bin recycling fee from being
 6 eligible for operating ratio, removing from operating
 7 ratio expenses.
 8 On the collection side, the primary
 9 differences between the staff's recommendation and the
 10 proposed application are a projection that staff has
 11 made of increased apartments on the revenue side both
 12 due to a large amount of construction of residential
 13 units that are scheduled to come on line this year; and
 14 also because staff has made an adjustment to the
 15 companies' proposed assumptions about apartment
 16 migration.
 17 Other significant changes on the collection
 18 companies' side are the reduction of two shops' clerical
 19 positions, an estimate for a lower prices of CNG, and
 20 some alterations to the pay per setout program. There
 21 are also some smaller adjustments to health and welfare
 22 and other costs that are in the staff report. But these
 23 are the main things.
 24 The other main recommendations of the staff
 25 report, besides these adjustments to costs and revenues,

Page 604

1 are a number of changes to the proposed COLA mechanism
 2 that will be used to adjust these rates until the next
 3 rate process on an annual basis; some changes in the
 4 zero waste incentive program; and also findings on two
 5 proposed contingent schedules. One was a zero-waste
 6 facilities expansion project that was Contingent
 7 Schedule 1. Staff is recommending that that contingent
 8 schedule not be approved at this time. And the second
 9 contingent schedule was for the so-called west wing
 10 project that was Contingent Schedule 2; and staff is
 11 recommending that that contingent schedule be approved
 12 as submitted.
 13 We have also made some recommendations about
 14 additional reporting and also future rate-making
 15 procedures because we are, based on discussions that we
 16 have had anticipating that the city's landfill contract
 17 is going to reach its contract capacity before the next
 18 rate application, would be coming in -- we were assuming
 19 a full rate application in three years. We anticipate
 20 the disposal agreement and transportation costs are
 21 going to have to be revised probably in two or two and a
 22 half years. So we are making recommendations for
 23 procedures there. When we have that process, because
 24 there are a number of questions about revenues both
 25 because of development and growth and also because of

Page 605

1 changes in service structures and unknowns about
 2 migration, we also are going to need to look at what the
 3 total revenues are looking like for the company to make
 4 adjustments there. So we are not suggesting a
 5 streamlined rate application, but we are suggesting that
 6 the number of issues that will be considered are much
 7 smaller than the number that have been considered in
 8 this rate process; and so a little bit less time will be
 9 required.
 10 The staff report concludes with responses to
 11 comments from the public and some that have come through
 12 the Ratepayer Advocate. And we will be including
 13 responses to additional comments and also the Ratepayer
 14 Advocate's comments and recommendations in the
 15 director's report.
 16 And that's the summary of the staff report.
 17 DIRECTOR NURU: Thank you, Mr. Legg.
 18 I would now like to invite the companies to
 19 cross-examine City staff and the consultants on the
 20 staff report.
 21 Mr. Baker, why don't you step up to the dais
 22 and let us know which staff members you would like to
 23 begin with and the issues you'd like them to address.
 24 We'll proceed through the City's witnesses in whatever
 25 order you choose.

Page 606

1 MR. BAKER: Thank you, Mr. Nuru, and good
 2 morning.
 3 We have two staff members we'd like to ask
 4 questions of: One Kevin Drew and one Douglas Legg.
 5 With regard to Kevin Drew, we have a few questions about
 6 the pay per setout program. And with Mr. Legg, I want
 7 to ask a few questions about the abandoned material
 8 program and the performance standards and penalties that
 9 are proposed in the staff report. So those are the two
 10 areas we would like to explore a bit.
 11 DIRECTOR NURU: So I believe we will start
 12 with Mr. Legg.
 13 DOUGLAS LEGG,
 14 having previously been sworn, appeared and testified as
 15 follows:
 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION
 17 BY MR. BAKER:
 18 Q. Good morning, Mr. Legg.
 19 A. Good morning, Mr. Baker.
 20 Q. So I'd like to ask you just a few
 21 questions about the abandoned material program and the
 22 staff's views on how that would work and see how we can
 23 best reconcile with Recology's proposal.
 24 Just to review a little bit, currently
 25 abandoned material is picked up by City employees; is

Page 607

1 that correct?
2 **A. In most cases it is. Recology does it on**
3 **the first weekend of the month, assisting in what we**
4 **call Zone B with responding to 311 calls and picking up**
5 **abandoned materials. It's the first weekend of the**
6 **month, which is scheduled basically around apartment**
7 **move-outs. And I believe that we also have Recology**
8 **pick up so-called white goods -- appliances and that**
9 **sort of thing -- in some cases. But most of it is**
10 **picked up by City employees.**

11 Q. And in terms of City employee pickups,
12 that is through the Department of Public Works?

13 **A. Correct.**

14 Q. And these are triggered by calls to the
15 City telephone line 311?

16 **A. They are triggered by calls to the City's**
17 **311 line; but, also, our staff are roaming around the**
18 **City and also they're calling in requests. And,**
19 **finally, the packer-truck drivers, if they see abandoned**
20 **materials as they're driving through areas where we know**
21 **what we have a problem, they stop and pick those**
22 **materials up.**

23 Q. Now, currently the City employees who pick
24 up the abandoned materials, do they also do other jobs
25 in the City?

1 number of calls about abandoned material that the City
2 has received over the last few years. Am I right about
3 that? I think it was Exhibit 17. Does that sound right
4 to you?

5 **A. Yes. We had an exhibit. I don't remember**
6 **the time period it covered, but it did show numbers and**
7 **distribution -- geographic distribution of those calls.**

8 Q. And as I recall, the number of calls over
9 the past few years has been in the range of 17 to 19,000
10 per year; is that correct? You can look at Exhibit 17
11 if you want to make sure.

12 **A. I believe that's correct.**

13 Q. All right. While Ms. Carey is checking on
14 that, I'll ask you another question.

15 And have you or your staff done an analysis of
16 response times?

17 **A. We have.**

18 Q. What did you find?

19 **A. We found that most of the calls are picked**
20 **up within the first day of receiving the call. We found**
21 **that most of the time we respond to all calls. We**
22 **respond to 85 to 90 percent of those calls within 48**
23 **hours and we have data on how many calls are responded**
24 **to within different time bands.**

25 Q. You found some calls had not been

1 **A. They are -- I guess I'm not sure what you**
2 **mean. They're truck drivers, general laborers. There**
3 **are times when those truck drivers who are normally**
4 **assigned to what we call a packer route, which is what**
5 **my kids call a garbage truck, they are reassigned to do**
6 **street sweeping in a street-sweeping truck, so that**
7 **happens on occasion.**

8 **And I should also mention that the packer**
9 **drivers are responsible for picking up abandoned waste**
10 **after major events -- the St. Patrick's Day parade, the**
11 **Pride event -- that kind of thing. That's really in**
12 **answer to your previous question.**

13 Q. What sort of records does the City
14 maintain regarding calls to 311 regarding abandoned
15 material and the time it takes to pick up that material?

16 **A. The City has extensive information, not**
17 **only about the 311 calls, but also calls that are**
18 **internal to the DPW as time stamps as to when illegal**
19 **material dumping has been reported and then when a**
20 **driver picks up that material, the service order as we**
21 **call it, is closed out. And we have those records for**
22 **each individual report going back at least five years.**
23 **So we have all that information in the database.**

24 Q. I think one of the exhibits that the City
25 offered in the earlier hearings was a record of the

1 responded to within the 48-hour period. Some had
2 extended beyond that?

3 **A. That's correct. In the last year we have**
4 **had a more challenging time in responding to all those**
5 **calls.**

6 Q. Why has it been more challenging in the
7 last year?

8 **A. We have had a greater need for street**
9 **sweeping and we have had not the staffing levels to**
10 **support all of the jobs that our truck drivers have to**
11 **do. It's mostly been a staff issue.**

12 Q. Now, am I right that the City suggested to
13 Recology the possibility of Recology taking over this
14 function from the City?

15 **A. Yes.**

16 Q. And Recology agreed to make a proposal to
17 do that, correct?

18 **A. Yes, they did.**

19 Q. And that's what we are talking here today,
20 because it was part of the rate application?

21 **A. I believe that's what we are speaking**
22 **about, yes.**

23 Q. Now, the Recology proposal is that on
24 weekdays Recology will set as a goal a four-hour pickup
25 from being notified of a 311 call. And on weekends an

1 eight-hour turnaround; is that right?
 2 **A. That is my understanding.**
 3 Q. To your understanding, does Recology's
 4 proposal assume that the volume of calls and the volume
 5 of material that needs to be picked up by Recology
 6 trucks will not change significantly during the future
 7 period?
 8 **A. I believe that their proposal was based on**
 9 **existing levels of illegal dumping, yes.**
 10 Q. And Recology made the proposal -- and was
 11 happy to do it -- but Recology's concern that I want to
 12 talk about a little bit now is the notion of a
 13 performance penalty if the four-hour and eight-hour
 14 targets are not met, which is the proposal contained in
 15 the staff report. And the penalty is that if Recology
 16 does not meet these standards as laid out in the staff
 17 report, that Recology would in effect give back its
 18 profit margin on this program in the future COLA
 19 adjustments. Is that a fair summary?
 20 **A. I would say that, depending on how many of**
 21 **the calls they're not able to meet, they would give back**
 22 **some of their allowed profit. And if they fell below**
 23 **75 percent of meeting all calls, they would essentially**
 24 **be returning all of the profit, but it is a graduated**
 25 **proposal.**

1 **this is a fine option to just run out and dump things on**
 2 **the street because DPW will pick them up. But I don't**
 3 **know that Recology taking over that service is going to**
 4 **cause that.**
 5 **We also in this, as part of this rate, are**
 6 **proposing in the education, compliance, and outreach**
 7 **program, which we have not had and we hope will actually**
 8 **reduce the number of calls that are coming in, so**
 9 **perhaps Recology would have a smaller volume of calls in**
 10 **tons that would be picked up in the next one to three**
 11 **years, if those efforts are successful.**
 12 Q. And I grant you the possibility that would
 13 occur. But I guess it drives home the point that I have
 14 that we do not really know what's going to happen.
 15 Therefore the next three years, with regards to these
 16 abandoned material program, whether calls will go up,
 17 volume will go up, calls will go down, volume will go
 18 down. We're in a bit of uncharted waters here, aren't
 19 we?
 20 **A. We are with the whole rate process, I**
 21 **believe.**
 22 Q. Yes. But this is the only one where the
 23 staff is proposing a penalty for not achieving the goals
 24 that I think the staff and Recology share.
 25 **A. Well, there are other incentives that --**

1 Q. Right. So I guess the question is, in
 2 developing these proposed performance standards, did the
 3 staff consider the possibility that the volume of calls
 4 and the materials will increase in the next one to three
 5 years?
 6 **A. We did not.**
 7 Q. And do you think that is something that
 8 should be considered?
 9 **A. I think that the proposal could be**
 10 **modified to allow for an increased number of calls or a**
 11 **substantial increase in tonnage such that the resources**
 12 **that Recology has proposed would actually need to be**
 13 **increased in order to meet that demand.**
 14 Q. I guess along those lines, one thing that
 15 concerns Recology -- and my question is whether the
 16 staff considered the possibility that, as Recology
 17 trucks become more responsive and residents see that,
 18 whether there will be more activity because residents
 19 feel it's not a waste of time to call the City because
 20 something will happen right away? Is that something you
 21 think worth considering as a possibility here in terms
 22 of deciding how this program might work in the future?
 23 **A. I think that's debatable. Residents see**
 24 **City trucks with the DPW logo picking up materials. It**
 25 **certainly -- there may be some people that think that**

1 **we call them incentives, but they look like penalties,**
 2 **also, in terms of operating ratio not achieved. But**
 3 **this is a new program and with it comes this proposal.**
 4 Q. I guess one other question about
 5 uncertainty going forward, as I understand it, under the
 6 311 agreement can residents use their mobile devices to
 7 photograph graffiti and send that into City to have it
 8 fixed?
 9 **A. Yes.**
 10 Q. And has the City given consideration as to
 11 whether that opportunity would be provided to residents
 12 for abandoned material as well?
 13 **A. I believe that that is possible now. I**
 14 **think that any kind of report to 311 can have**
 15 **photographs and I've seen 311 reports with mobile**
 16 **devices reporting illegal dumping, for stoplights that**
 17 **are out, or any manner of city problems, so I know that**
 18 **it exists today.**
 19 Q. Am I right that that makes it a lot easier
 20 for city residents to notify the City of problems to be
 21 addressed than to call on the and telephone wait for an
 22 operator to come on the 311 number?
 23 **A. Well, not between now and -- I mean,**
 24 **compared to years ago, yes. But compared to recent**
 25 **years, I believe the 311 call time for years have been**

1 mostly under 60 seconds' wait time in the staff report.
2 So I don't think that is a significant change between
3 prior to this rate going into effect and after the rate
4 going into effect.

5 Q. In any event, is it fair to say that the
6 ability of people to report abandoned waste through this
7 mobile 311 app creates the potential for more volume of
8 calls and more volume of material?

9 A. I don't believe so. I believe that that
10 technology is in place I don't have any reason to
11 believe that there's going to be greater saturation of
12 mobile devices that people would use in that way. I
13 might be wrong, but I have not seen any trends about
14 that.

15 Q. In the proposal in the staff report was
16 consideration by the staff given to the possibility of
17 waiting on a possible penalty program until the next
18 rate hearing to give Recology and the City a chance to
19 sort out exactly how this new program is going to work
20 and whether or not there's actually going to be problems
21 with response times or not?

22 A. We gave consideration to that. In fact,
23 staff's recommendation is that these penalties would not
24 be applied until the Rate Year 16 rates. So essentially
25 we would have a cutoff in April, but it's nearly two

1 concern for its reputation in the community for
2 providing good service is not a sufficient motivator for
3 Recology and the City to work together to make this new
4 program work; and you don't need penalties, or at least
5 we wait till next rate hearing to determine whether
6 they're necessary, rather than putting Recology, which
7 didn't ask for this program in the first place, at risk
8 of losing all of its profit margin on a new, untested
9 program. And if it turns out to be more expensive and
10 popular than people may expect and therefore more
11 costly, Recology would not only risk losing its profit
12 margin, but its expenses would exceed what it's being
13 paid here.

14 So the question is whether or not we take a
15 wait-and-see attitude to see how this program works
16 before coming up with performance standards and
17 penalties in a situation like this.

18 Thank you.

19 DIRECTOR NURU: Thank you.

20 MR. BAKER: So then I have couple of brief
21 questions for Kevin Drew, if he's here.

22 KEVIN DREW,

23 having previously been sworn, appeared and testified as
24 follows:

25 //

1 years of experience before any penalties would apply.
2 So we think that a 20-month period is adequate to get
3 the program in order.

4 I'd like to also mention that Recology's using
5 twice the number of trucks that the DPW is using for
6 providing this service. And when I was questioning
7 Mr. Quillen on this subject, he said it could be that
8 those trucks are going in separate ways, but that it
9 would take some time to figure out whether trucks need
10 to be always in tandem or whether they could be
11 separated for part of the day. And we believe this
12 extra time before we're applying penalties gives the
13 companies enough time to work out those bugs.

14 Q. I don't have the staff report in front of
15 me, but isn't it so that, while COLA adjustment would
16 not occur until Rate Year 2016, it's based upon the
17 company's performance vis à vis the response times
18 during Rate Year 14?

19 A. It says in the preceding years, so it
20 would be for a one-year period beginning April 1, 2014.
21 So there's about six months -- six to eight months -- to
22 get up to speed and then we start counting, yes.

23 MR. BAKER: So to close this line of
24 questioning out, what we would ask staff and the
25 Director to consider is whether or not Recology's

1 CROSS-EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. BAKER:

3 Q. Good morning, Mr. Drew. I just want to
4 ask you a couple of questions about the pay per setout
5 program and in particular the recommendations in the
6 staff report that the amortization period for some of
7 the expenses in that program be lengthened from three
8 years to seven years.

9 And do you have the exhibits there, by any
10 chance? Exhibit 39 is the one you focused your
11 attention on at this point.

12 A. I got it. Go ahead.

13 Q. So Exhibit 39 is one that describes this
14 program. And as we have had testimony before, it's
15 already underway in some neighborhoods. This is
16 expanding it to some additional neighborhoods as a way
17 of testing the program, am I right?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. And one of the proposals by Recology is to
20 expand it into three additional routes. I think in the
21 staff report staff thought two would be adequate. Am I
22 right?

23 A. Right.

24 Q. And Recology is willing to go along
25 however the staff wants to do this and the Director

1 wants to do so this. But I thought it would be helpful
 2 if you described a little bit more why staff concluded
 3 that two would be adequate.

4 **A. Sure. Our biggest concern is simply the**
 5 **cost associated with the whole program. And thinking**
 6 **about rolling it out across the whole city is what we're**
 7 **ultimately looking at. So we need to be mindful of that**
 8 **cost and be as conservative and as efficient as possible**
 9 **in this early stage. We felt that we could get enough**
 10 **information from the existing pod and the two additional**
 11 **ones to be able to begin the analysis that it will take**
 12 **to look at the future of the program.**

13 Q. And as to the pod that would be
 14 eliminated, if it was three instead of two, do you know
 15 which neighborhood that would be?

16 **A. No. Frankly, we have not had enough time**
 17 **to sit down with the company. We've been involved in**
 18 **the rate hearings to analyze the report they have and**
 19 **begin to formulate the next steps.**

20 Q. If the cost was not an issue here, what
 21 additional benefits do you think there would be from
 22 three versus two?

23 **A. Well, just if there may be permutations of**
 24 **the program in the depth of the discount, the ways that**
 25 **we implement in each neighborhood could be a little**

1 **different.**

2 Q. Now, Exhibit 39 is the one that lays out
 3 the cost of expanding the pay per setout program. And
 4 it has four different components, I believe, to it. One
 5 is the cost of hardware to be installed in the trucks.
 6 Second are the new tags that are to be fastened to the
 7 bins. And the third is installation costs to install
 8 the tags. And the fourth is an outreach program.

9 **A. Yes.**

10 Q. So the first cost, hardware cost, Recology
 11 proposed that that have a seven-year amortization
 12 period. And I assume staff agreed with that.

13 **A. Yes.**

14 Q. But the other costs, that is the cost of
 15 the tags, which are around 40 cents a piece, I think --

16 **A. Fifty cents a piece.**

17 Q. -- and the costs of installing them,
 18 Recology proposed a three-year period and staff is
 19 suggesting seven. It's kind of digging in the weeds,
 20 but it means money. So it's an expense. You understand
 21 that from an accounting standpoint Recology has to
 22 expense all of those costs in the year they're incurred.
 23 That is the cost of buying tags, the cost of installing
 24 them, the cost of outreach. You understand that.

25 **A. Sure.**

1 Q. So Recology proposed three years because
 2 that is the anticipated period of time before we come
 3 back in for a new rate hearing; did you understand that?

4 **A. Yes.**

5 Q. So why does staff propose extending that
 6 to seven years rather than three?

7 **A. Thinking about what we are actually doing,**
 8 **the carts themselves have a seven-year lifetime. The**
 9 **RFID should have a lifetime beyond three years, though**
 10 **we have to learn from experience exactly what that is.**
 11 **And the implementation of both installation and the**
 12 **outreach is something that, if we were rolling this out**
 13 **city-wide, we would do it once and it would stay in**
 14 **place till we change the program, which can be any**
 15 **number of years. So our view was to think about this as**
 16 **it would be applied across the whole city not just**
 17 **whatever the next rate period happens to be. So we just**
 18 **tied it basically to that equipment and that initial**
 19 **setup.**

20 Q. So when the tags are installed in the
 21 carts, some of the carts might be new carts that could
 22 be expected to last seven years; but some of them might
 23 be old carts that are going to be thrown away in a year
 24 or two.

25 **A. That's possible, though I believe the**

1 **staff that was implementing in the field was making**
 2 **those calls as they were out there and for instance**
 3 **replacing a cart if they found an old one. And one of**
 4 **the thoughts we had was whether in some instances a new**
 5 **cart would even be worthwhile because of the cost of**
 6 **drilling out and putting in the old ones was so**
 7 **expensive that simply replacing carts with a tag already**
 8 **in them could be an option.**

9 Q. So the staff as part of this program is
 10 assuming that Recology might be replacing carts sooner
 11 than it would ordinarily because of the existence of
 12 this tag program?

13 **A. No. I think what you just said was if**
 14 **there was a cart that only had a year's life left in it**
 15 **you wouldn't bother installing into a beat-up cart a tag**
 16 **that you hope would last five to seven years, eight to**
 17 **ten years.**

18 Q. Well. I'll stay away from one year. I
 19 was trying to make a different point. The point is that
 20 the idea is that the tags are to be installed in every
 21 cart in the neighborhood that's being tested; isn't that
 22 right?

23 **A. Right.**

24 Q. So every resident will have option of not
 25 putting out their garbage every week, right?

1 **A. Right.**
 2 Q. So you put a tag in a cart, whether it's
 3 an old cart or new cart. Your point is that if it's a
 4 really old cart, maybe just throw the cart away and
 5 bring a new one in. But what if the cart's three years
 6 old? It's going to be replaced in two years or three
 7 years? My point is that you would agree, wouldn't you,
 8 that some of these tags are not actually going to remain
 9 in use for seven years.
 10 **A. I think certainly some of the tags won't**
 11 **last seven years, but some of them would last fifteen**
 12 **years, if the program runs that long.**
 13 Q. Because of the fact that the tag only
 14 costs 50 cents and there's labor involved in removing it
 15 and putting a new one in, it would not be unreasonable
 16 for Recology in replacing a cart just to have a new tag
 17 already in there rather than take an old tag out of an
 18 old cart; do you agree with that?
 19 **A. Yes.**
 20 Q. So we really don't know whether these tags
 21 are going to remain in use for seven years or not.
 22 **A. No. That's part of what we are learning.**
 23 Q. And it's possible, isn't it, also that if
 24 Recology comes back for a new rate application in three
 25 years that maybe there will be a new and better idea for

Page 624

1 pay per setout or for modifying frequency of service
 2 that perhaps would make this tagging system not useable
 3 anymore or subject to significant change? Would you
 4 agree that's --
 5 **A. That's a possibility.**
 6 Q. So I guess in view of that question is
 7 there a principled reason for lengthening the
 8 amortization period seven years when you're talking
 9 about an expense that is to be accounted for the year
 10 it's incurred under accounting conventions and where we
 11 don't really know how long they are going to last and
 12 we're going to be back here in three years anyway?
 13 **A. Well, I think simply, as I stated earlier,**
 14 **that we're looking at the cost as the program rolls out**
 15 **over the whole city. And we're not really seeing this**
 16 **as a stab in the dark. It's a well-thought-out proposal**
 17 **and program. So we thought we could use a similar**
 18 **methodology as we would in subsequent rate years when we**
 19 **come in for the whole city. It's as simple as that.**
 20 Q. So in other words the assumption
 21 underlying this is that when Recology comes back with
 22 another application in another three or so years that
 23 this program will be sufficiently successful that it
 24 will be used throughout the city?
 25 **A. Or at least that we'd use that**

Page 625

1 **methodology, the city-wide methodology, with that longer**
 2 **amortization view.**
 3 Q. With the same tags and the same equipment?
 4 **A. Right.**
 5 MR. BAKER: Thank you, Mr. Nuru. Nothing
 6 further on this point.
 7 DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Baker.
 8 Cross-examination of City staff completed,
 9 Mr. Deibler, would you like to ask any questions of City
 10 staff? So please come to the podium.
 11 MR. DEIBLER: Good morning, Mr. Nuru. Good to
 12 see you again. I have a few questions primarily for
 13 probably Mr. Legg. I do have -- it may be useful for me
 14 to follow up with one or two quick questions to Kevin
 15 while he's up here following pay per setout, start with
 16 that.
 17 DIRECTOR NURU: You may begin with Kevin.
 18 MR. DEIBLER: Okay.
 19 CROSS-EXAMINATION OF CITY STAFF BY RATEPAYER ADVOCATE
 20 MR. DEIBLER: This has to do with
 21 implementation of the program, so a series of questions.
 22 Do you plan to require submittal of quarterly data?
 23 MR. DREW: I'm sorry?
 24 MR. DEIBLER: Will you require submittal of
 25 quarterly data or ongoing data reporting regarding --

Page 626

1 MR. DREW: It's more on an ongoing basis. As
 2 a research project, we'll be looking at it as we get
 3 information, basically.
 4 MR. DEIBLER: Will the data allow you to
 5 isolate the costs of the program to discreetly say what
 6 this is, what this costs?
 7 MR. DREW: It's hard for me to predict, but
 8 that's what the intention is. But whether we'll isolate
 9 something or not it's hard to say.
 10 MR. DEIBLER: Would it be feasible to post
 11 updates about the progress of the pilot on your Website?
 12 MR. DREW: We don't do that with any other
 13 program at this point, so I'd be reluctant to promise
 14 that we could be updating data that we don't even
 15 construct yet. But certainly we have regular quarterly
 16 reports, for instance, that the company provides. That
 17 information could be included in that.
 18 MR. DEIBLER: Just in a summary form. It
 19 doesn't have to be a separate detailed discussion, if
 20 that's feasible.
 21 At this point do you have plans for the
 22 follow-up? I know it's the hope to be able to go
 23 city-wide. Do you have any sort of gut sense at this
 24 point?
 25 MR. DREW: It's too early to tell. Again, we

Page 627

1 want to get the information and spend some time
 2 analyzing it before we start making predictions.
 3 MR. DEIBLER: Thank you. That is all I had
 4 for Mr. Drew.
 5 DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. Thank you. You may
 6 proceed with Mr. Legg.
 7 MR. DEIBLER: Maybe I could step back for just
 8 a moment. Let's introduce a summary of the staff report
 9 that I prepared and it's on the Ratepayer Advocate
 10 Website. There's a few copies up here. Could we enter
 11 it as an exhibit?
 12 DIRECTOR NURU: Yes.
 13 MR. LEGG: Tom, could we reserve No. 74 and
 14 have this be Exhibit 75?
 15 DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. So we're reserving
 16 Exhibit No. 74. And this exhibit will be labeled as 75;
 17 is that right, Tom?
 18 MR. OWEN: Yes.
 19 (The document referred to was
 20 marked and admitted into evidence
 21 as Exhibit 75.)
 22 MR. DEIBLER: Maybe just for continuity, I'd
 23 like to talk for just a moment about the abandoned
 24 materials program and then go back and walk through the
 25 staff report, if I may.

Page 628

1 And on abandoned material I really just wanted
 2 to say that I think I'd support some form of incentive
 3 or disincentive. Certainly it makes sense to allow
 4 flexibility. I can understand some of the questions and
 5 concerns that were just raised. I think it does need to
 6 be -- whatever form it does take, it's significant
 7 enough that it really is a disincentive. At the same
 8 time I understand that it's a high-profile program and I
 9 think it's one that certainly Recology would like to
 10 excel at. So thank you.
 11 Just a quick overview comment. I have some
 12 comments that really have to do with the interim period
 13 between now and the next rate review and things that
 14 either could be asked or specified as part of the rate
 15 order or possibly can be done in an interim manner
 16 before the next rate order. So I will try to isolate
 17 those and talk about those at one point for efficiency.
 18 MR. DEIBLER: Revenues in general, Mr. Legg, I
 19 just want to make sure I understood. I think I heard
 20 you say in your overview that you'll be tracking
 21 revenues closely and that if in any way they exceed the
 22 projections for whatever purpose or whatever reason that
 23 that would be a trigger for a streamlined review. Is
 24 that an accurate statement?
 25 MR. LEGG: Not precisely. We are expecting a

Page 629

1 rate application that would probably be arriving in
 2 about two years, to be effective in January 2016. And
 3 so in order to meet that deadline, we'd be seeing that
 4 application close to two years from the time these rates
 5 will take effect. And what I've suggested is that we'll
 6 do a rigorous review of revenues and expenses at that
 7 time to make sure that there isn't what I would call
 8 excess profit by the companies above the allowed
 9 operating ratio; and we would make an adjustment again
 10 at that time.
 11 I would add that the 1932 ordinance allows any
 12 party, including the City, to make a rate application.
 13 And if there were -- if there were really extraordinary
 14 revenues and costs that were not connected with
 15 increased costs due to additional collection and
 16 processing and disposal, that the City would consider
 17 requesting a rate adjustment. But I think that would
 18 only be in a case where suddenly we're seeing very large
 19 revenue increases without commensurate expenses coming
 20 up. So we do get quarterly reporting on both expenses
 21 and revenues. We do monitor those things. And the '32
 22 ordinance provides a safeguard.
 23 MR. DEIBLER: Okay. On apartment migration
 24 specifically, there's a statement, quote, That staff
 25 does not believe the new apartment rate structure and

Page 630

1 overall rate increase will have as much impact on the
 2 revenue as rolling out composting to apartments already
 3 has had. And that was an average of 1.4 percent
 4 migration. And staff is recommending no more than
 5 1.4 percent be approved or assumed in developing this
 6 rate order. I'm just wondering why it shouldn't be less
 7 than 1.4 percent.
 8 MR. LEGG: I'd like Mr. Drew to respond to
 9 that.
 10 MR. DREW: Sure. There's not a hard number.
 11 Actually, the 1.4 is a hard number that we've seen over
 12 the last few years. Given the balance of impact from
 13 the migration that the company contends will happen
 14 because of apartment owners doing what they would do,
 15 balanced with the work that we've already done, we felt
 16 that that was the only number that made sense. We
 17 were -- I guess we would consider going lower, but we
 18 didn't have anything to pin that to either. That's
 19 where we're at.
 20 MR. DEIBLER: Okay. Thank you.
 21 On the apartment rate caps, perhaps I'm
 22 misunderstanding, but quickly to quote, To mitigate such
 23 a revenue shortfall there's a reference earlier to the
 24 potential for revenue shortfall when caps come off.
 25 Staff recommends that one half of the total potential

Page 631

1 excess revenue be returned to the rate base in the
 2 annual COLA adjustment process.
 3 Mr. Legg, what is the basis for the fifty
 4 percent in terms of return, not a hundred percent?
 5 MR. LEGG: In the first year it's actually
 6 more than 50 percent because we took the total amount of
 7 potential revenue in that exhibit that I was struggling
 8 over earlier. And so when the 25-percent cap is lifted
 9 a year from now, the companies, if nobody migrated,
 10 would achieve about a little over \$3 million as we
 11 understand it. Then in the second year that will be an
 12 additional 1.2 or 1.3 million. So we're actually
 13 recommending more than 50 percent of those revenues in
 14 the first year and exactly 50 percent of revenues in the
 15 second year.
 16 As Kevin was saying, we don't know in this
 17 process what the actual revenues are going to be, what
 18 the actual expenditures are going to be. All we can do
 19 is look at the trends, look at the companies' data that
 20 they have provided us, and make the best estimate that
 21 we can. And we felt that that was the most reasonable
 22 thing to do considering the magnitude of the rate
 23 increase to some customers when the caps are removed.
 24 But there is no -- we have no science.
 25 MR. DEIBLER: And so 50 percent is just and

1 topic about migration, that the question of how many
 2 calls there might be that the apartment issue was going
 3 to raise would be less. So it was a combination of
 4 things, not just that one efficiency around the call
 5 center.
 6 MR. DEIBLER: Okay. And, again, you felt like
 7 one FTE is a fair amount?
 8 MR. DREW: Yes. I mean there's -- this is a
 9 complicated rate change that we're going through. We
 10 know there will be calls. At the same time we looked at
 11 the call center data from the companies and didn't see
 12 crazy increases to date, so they were holding their own.
 13 They have added staff already. So, again, we felt this
 14 was the right course.
 15 MR. DEIBLER: Okay. The third paragraph of
 16 that same item, collection company's labor, refers to
 17 recommending -- or in effect recommending -- an
 18 additional 4.6 FTE for maintenance, which is a
 19 10-percent increase in staffing. I think a 12-percent
 20 increase was requested and it is reduced by one FTE. I
 21 was just wondering about the rationale for that.
 22 MR. DREW: That's me again.
 23 That's the truck drivers primarily around the
 24 mechanics and replacing retiring mechanics, finding
 25 qualified replacements for those, and the change in

1 reasonable to Recology based upon the fact that there's
 2 other risks, there's other revenue that they may not
 3 achieve? Is that some of the thought in rebating 50
 4 percent and not more?
 5 MR. LEGG: We don't know that revenue will all
 6 be realized, so it's not that we are saying you could
 7 keep 50 percent of this excess revenue. We're saying
 8 that we think about 50 percent of that revenue will be
 9 realized and that should be returned to the rate base.
 10 We're not asserting that Recology get a bonus of any
 11 kind. We are asserting that we think, based on the
 12 information that we have, that this is what the revenue
 13 will be. That's why that's the amount of revenue that
 14 we're suggesting you return to the rate base.
 15 MR. DEIBLER: I have several specific
 16 questions on 8.1, collection company's labor. There's a
 17 recommended reduction of one FTE for customer service
 18 representative to reflect the efficiency of having one
 19 call center instead of two. Let me read just the basis
 20 for the number of one, the reduction of one FTE.
 21 MR. LEGG: Mr. Drew.
 22 MR. DREW: That reduction wasn't just because
 23 of the call center change. That was partly due to
 24 rolling back the pay per setout, which was also going to
 25 generate additional calls. We also felt, as in the last

1 trucks to a new CNG technology was going to require
 2 additional both maintenance and new maintenance. So the
 3 company had already achieved a considerable chunk of
 4 those changes in the existing Rate Year 13. They were
 5 asking for more. We felt that they had already
 6 gotten -- should have gotten a chunk of it -- and that
 7 we should cut back at least one of those.
 8 MR. DEIBLER: Okay. So in effect is it
 9 accurate to say that shifting to CNG vehicles is sort of
 10 a 10-percent premium in terms of what's involved for
 11 maintenance?
 12 MR. LEGG: May I?
 13 The companies are requesting or adding a
 14 number of trucks to their service which are going to be
 15 required to maintain. So it's not just issue of pay per
 16 setout and the issues that Kevin is talking about. But
 17 they're adding a substantial number of vehicles for
 18 abandoned material collection program; they're adding
 19 new routes for public litter receptacles collection.
 20 And so in addition to the CNG and the age of their
 21 fleet, they have shown the need for additional staffing
 22 in this area. Our analysis just found that it was
 23 slightly more than required.
 24 MR. DEIBLER: Okay. Thank you.
 25 On recyclables processing labor, there's a

1 statement that recyclables tons are not increasing.
 2 There was a request for increasing in staff in the draft
 3 application that was reduced in the final but not
 4 eliminated. And I am just curious why additional
 5 positions are needed if there aren't additional tons
 6 being processed.
 7 MR. LEGG: We're going to have Alec Dmitriew
 8 come up and respond to that.
 9 MR. DMITRIEW: The issue of tonnage is
 10 certainly a driver in this consideration and analysis
 11 but it wasn't the only issue. The other issue is the
 12 increased contamination. So as we roll out recycling
 13 programs throughout the city, there is evidence that
 14 there is increased contamination in that stream and the
 15 companies made a compelling argument, so we did some due
 16 diligence. We went to Pier 96, observed the sort line,
 17 thought that was a worthwhile investment for the City to
 18 invest two additional sorters to do quality control and
 19 to help protect the mixed-paper stream for the markets.
 20 MR. DEIBLER: Okay. Thank you.
 21 On health and welfare benefits, there are some
 22 significant concerns identified, I think it would be
 23 fair, to say in the staff report. My question is really
 24 why not set some specific goals in the rate order for
 25 making progress on those items.

Page 636

1 And this is sort of a general statement. It
 2 applies to a few other items where there's an indication
 3 that certain things should happen in the next rate
 4 review. And I understand there may or may not be some
 5 restrictions from the 1932 ordinance about what can
 6 happen in the interim. But the general question is
 7 that -- and as it applies here -- again, it would be why
 8 not make some progress between now and the next review?
 9 MR. LEGG: This is a rate review process and
 10 the City is not a party to the collective bargaining
 11 process between management and the drivers. We don't --
 12 so we as staff don't have the benefit of learning
 13 everything that's learned in those negotiation sessions
 14 with labor and management relations. So we don't
 15 presume that we can say exactly what the right numbers
 16 are on all of these different benefit programs. We do
 17 have knowledge about what benefits are provided to City
 18 and County employees and places where there are
 19 significant differences in those benefits.
 20 And I actually think I would like to introduce
 21 an exhibit. This would be Exhibit 76.
 22 Mr. Deibler, I'm going to speak just very
 23 briefly. The exhibit is called "City versus Recology
 24 Salary Benefits Information."
 25 MR. OWEN: We will mark the document as

Page 637

1 Exhibit 76 and receive it into evidence.
 2 (The document referred to was
 3 marked and received into evidence
 4 as Exhibit 76.)
 5 MR. LEGG: Mr. Deibler, I'm just going to
 6 briefly describe that this exhibit shows the salaries --
 7 current salaries -- with anticipated COLAs for
 8 Recology's Sunset and Golden Gate staff people who are
 9 involved in the collection category. The second page
 10 shows salaries and City contributions for City's
 11 classification 7355 truck driver. And then I've shown
 12 medical benefits at a glance, which show deductibles and
 13 copays for City employees. The contribution rates for
 14 all City employees, including Teamsters 853, who
 15 represent the DPW truck drivers. Then some handouts
 16 about changes that have been made in the City's
 17 healthcare and pension program primarily directed at new
 18 hires but also changing requirements for contributions
 19 on the pension and retiree health side.
 20 So I am introducing this in part to show what
 21 efforts the City has made to control its pension costs
 22 and hope that the City can inform the collective
 23 bargaining agreement process that the City is not a part
 24 of. But I'd also like to recognize that on the pension
 25 side Recology has made some very significant -- at the

Page 638

1 negotiating table -- has made some changes on both the
 2 health and pension side to achieve cost savings which
 3 are bringing them closer to what I think is -- what we
 4 think -- is reasonable when looked at in the context of
 5 the City.
 6 You can also see on the third page that has
 7 the 7355 salary and benefit information that the City is
 8 actually contributing a significantly higher level of
 9 contribution to the pension system than Recology is for
 10 its truck drivers. So it's I believe in the entire kind
 11 of compensation program that Recology can and should be
 12 doing more to control its costs. But there are so many
 13 different moving parts to the cost of employees'
 14 different kind of benefits, perhaps that kind of thing,
 15 that I don't think it would be reasonable for us to have
 16 set targets in any one of those areas. I think that it
 17 is appropriate for the City, as we have done, to review
 18 these salary benefits and see if we believe that they're
 19 just and reasonable and under the processes that we have
 20 in the collective bargaining protections that Recology
 21 employees have.
 22 We do believe at this time that the rates
 23 requested are just and reasonable. And we believe,
 24 going forward, it's important that the companies
 25 continue as they did with some of their health and

Page 639

1 pension benefits in the past few years continue to make
 2 sure that they keep those costs so they continue to be
 3 just and reasonable.
 4 MR. DEIBLER: Okay. Thank you. Thank you for
 5 the exhibit.
 6 I'll return to these issues just a little bit
 7 under reporting just quickly. But for now I have a
 8 question about the composting tip fees. I guess that
 9 would be Mr. Macy.
 10 DIRECTOR NURU: Would you like to come forward
 11 now?
 12 JACK MACY,
 13 having previously been sworn, appeared and testified as
 14 follows:
 15 CROSS-EXAMINATION
 16 BY MR. DEIBLER:
 17 Q. Good morning.
 18 A. Good morning.
 19 Q. One question about the \$45-per-ton fee.
 20 Perhaps, I guess, a statement first. My
 21 understanding is there's six users at the facility that
 22 receive similar service bringing in similar material.
 23 83 percent of that material is San Francisco.
 24 17 percent is split between five other entities bringing
 25 material averaging 3.5 percent a piece or so is that

Page 640

1 accurate.
 2 A. Well, I don't know about the
 3 three-and-a-half breakdown. I know that the large
 4 majority is from San Francisco, the 83 percent.
 5 Q. So I guess my question is fundamentally,
 6 given that huge preponderance of material coming from
 7 San Francisco to the facility, is \$45 really a market
 8 rate? Is there any reason why the rate to the City
 9 should have been lower or potentially lower? Did you
 10 consider that?
 11 A. Yes, we did. I think we think the
 12 companies made a strong, compelling case that there is
 13 increased costs with a higher concentration of food
 14 scraps, greater investment, a lot of best management
 15 practices. So based on my knowledge of industry and
 16 what I've seen in many facilities and the companies'
 17 testimony, we do think it's reasonable that the
 18 companies be charged in a higher tier. So our argument
 19 is, if we are in this higher tier of \$45 to \$50 and
 20 we're the large majority, then there should be a
 21 preferential rate. As you read our staff report, we
 22 didn't think that there was compelling evidence of a
 23 diseconomy of scale. But relative to -- we feel like
 24 \$45 is a prudent rate, a reasonable rate.
 25 Q. Thank you.

Page 641

1 A. You're welcome.
 2 MR. DEIBLER: On CNG vehicles I have one
 3 question. There's the question of the state rebate. I
 4 believe it's a rebate for purchase of CNG vehicles
 5 that's in effect through the Energy Commission at this
 6 time. I'm wondering if the staff report could go one
 7 step further and really require a good faith effort on
 8 the part of Recology to apply for and obtain those
 9 rebates; and that could be part of the reporting?
 10 MR. DREW: I think we recommend that they
 11 document any CEC funds and their efforts to document the
 12 funds, so it's documenting both. I don't think we're in
 13 disagreement. So we will be looking at them with them,
 14 going forward. That program is changing. The CEC has
 15 end dates and starts again. We have to keep an eye on
 16 it; and that's what we're asking them to do.
 17 MR. DEIBLER: Just a matter of emphasis, I
 18 think. Thank you.
 19 On the Brisbane tax, I just want to really
 20 endorse the concept that that is a government fee and
 21 hence a pass-through expense, as the staff report
 22 states. I think it's hard to construe it in any other
 23 way.
 24 On the COLA, I just wondered if there's any
 25 way -- and the answer may be no, I understand that -- to

Page 642

1 anticipate the effect of the changes that you're
 2 recommending and the mechanism? I guess this would be
 3 for Mr. Legg.
 4 MR. LEGG: So sorry. Could you repeat your
 5 question?
 6 MR. DEIBLER: Regarding the COLA adjustment
 7 mechanism, you're recommending some changes. And I'm
 8 wondering if it's possible to estimate what kind of
 9 impact those might have. Do you generally expect them
 10 to reduce the amounts of the adjustment or is it just a
 11 fairer way to look at an adjustment?
 12 MR. LEGG: We believe it's just a fair way to
 13 represent the adjustment and that it more accurately
 14 reflects the actual cost components of the company's
 15 budget, essentially. And if I could tell the future
 16 about which model was going to produce more or less of
 17 an increase, I think I could make a lot of money. But I
 18 don't know where the indices are going to go in the next
 19 year.
 20 MR. DEIBLER: Okay. Thank you.
 21 On the combined City departments section,
 22 there's noting that the use of the impound funds is
 23 ultimately approved through the budget process and it is
 24 not entirely controlled by any individual department.
 25 But I'm wondering whether the rate order could

Page 643

1 include a recommendation with respect to that process on
 2 the part of departmental -- or departments -- that if
 3 such monies are not approved that they in fact be
 4 rebated to ratepayers?
 5 MR. LEGG: We have in the past rate processes
 6 and may in this process look at available balances that
 7 are exceeding what's needed in the impound account. And
 8 we have rebated those to ratepayers as part of this
 9 process. We'll do that again. It will be always be
 10 part of this rate process.
 11 In terms of making an annual evaluation of
 12 that or looking at how the Board of Supervisors is
 13 appropriating those revenues, we don't think that it's
 14 appropriate to do that on an annual basis, because we
 15 really cannot foretell the future and what needs are
 16 going to be or if there are going to be more rate
 17 processes that we have not budgeted for in this process
 18 that we would need to draw upon those funds.
 19 And finally I would note that on the DPW side
 20 we've shown that the expenditures in our budget that we
 21 think are eligible for funding through impound account
 22 revenue far exceeds the impound account revenues that
 23 are part of these rates. So we don't foresee there
 24 being so much excess impound account revenue above
 25 eligible expenses that it would make sense to do that on

1 I'm approaching the end here. Thank you for
 2 your forbearance.
 3 A few comments and questions, maybe, regarding
 4 oversight between reviews. I understand that the
 5 opportunity may be limited, but in most jurisdictions
 6 there's some level of ongoing administration and
 7 oversight. I think that's happening in San Francisco.
 8 I'm not sure that I know the extent or the content of
 9 it. But I'd like to suggest to the degree that it is
 10 feasible, legally and administratively, that in some of
 11 these key areas that have identified -- I think health
 12 and welfare benefits, liability insurance, workers comp,
 13 pension contributions in particular -- that the City
 14 collect data that would support understanding the
 15 situation as well as possible and use the reporting
 16 process to do that and to track progress in specific
 17 areas between the reviews, to be developing metrics for
 18 looking at the performance, for comparing performance,
 19 and I think, Douglas, as you addressed, of setting
 20 company expectations for the next or for subsequent
 21 reviews in certain areas.
 22 And finally to provide a means for interested
 23 public to understand and track what's happening on those
 24 issues. I'm not sure what form that should take but
 25 just so the next time it surfaces isn't three years from

1 an annual basis. As I said, we do look at the balances
 2 in the impound account when we do these rate processes
 3 from time to time.
 4 MR. DEIBLER: Okay. Thank you.
 5 One quick comment on the zero waste facility
 6 expansion, the contingent schedules. Just the question
 7 was raised -- or a comment by a member of the public --
 8 about also looking essentially at the inventory of
 9 City-owned land. I don't know if that makes sense or
 10 not. But as part of the overall concept of potential
 11 public ownership of the land, if that could be
 12 considered.
 13 And, also, I want to strongly endorse the
 14 staff report's recommendation in this area in general.
 15 MR. HALEY: I will just go ahead and speak to
 16 that. We've looked extensively at City-owned land and
 17 other land in the city. And really there is not space
 18 available for a facility of that type. The only two
 19 locations we were able to identify were at the existing
 20 Tunnel/Beatty complex and on Port property. And we
 21 studied that pretty extensively and determined that it
 22 would be better to expand Tunnel/Beatty. But there's no
 23 other significant pieces of City property large enough
 24 for this.
 25 MR. DEIBLER: Thank you.

1 now for people that are curious.
 2 I think that's all I have. So thank you very
 3 much for your time.
 4 DIRECTOR NURU: Thank you, Mr. Deibler.
 5 I think now I will allow for the companies'
 6 rebuttal to the staff report.
 7 So, Mr. Baker, if you would like to begin your
 8 rebuttal or to begin your rebuttal for staff report, we
 9 can go forward.
 10 MR. BAKER: Thank you.
 11 We have three or so witnesses that we would
 12 like to recall to testify on certain subjects.
 13 I will start with Paul Yamamoto, please.
 14 PAUL YAMAMOTO,
 15 having previously been sworn, appeared and testified as
 16 follows:
 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION
 18 BY MR. BAKER:
 19 Q. You have a copy of what we marked as
 20 Exhibit 57, which was your summary of the pricing at
 21 composting facilities based upon -- yes, that one.
 22 A. Yes.
 23 Q. That's where I would like to begin,
 24 Mr. Yamamoto. You testified about Exhibit 57; and
 25 that's the exhibit that divided the Recology composting

1 organics customers into three large categories; is that
 2 right?
 3 **A. That's correct.**
 4 Q. And the first category is customers with a
 5 large percentage of food waste. And as Exhibit 57
 6 indicates, that was San Francisco plus six other
 7 customers correct?
 8 **A. Yes.**
 9 Q. And during your testimony we offered staff
 10 the opportunity, if they wanted to, to go into some of
 11 those companies in a little more detail. And then in
 12 the staff report on page 13 there's a short paragraph
 13 which staff recommends that San Francisco, being the
 14 largest Recology organic facility customer and
 15 representing 83 percent of the customers with a large
 16 percentage of food waste, received the most competitive
 17 rate in that customer category of \$45 a ton. And
 18 Recology in fact is charged a higher rate than that,
 19 correct?
 20 **A. Correct.**
 21 Q. It's \$49.18?
 22 **A. San Francisco, right.**
 23 Q. So what I'd like to do is mark as Exhibit
 24 77 a document that provides more detail about the
 25 customers in that first category. So am I correct,

Page 648

1 Mr. Yamamoto, that Exhibit 77 is a breakdown of the San
 2 Francisco plus six other customers that was referred to
 3 in the prior exhibit?
 4 **A. That is the breakdown, yes.**
 5 Q. So let's focus our attention on the ones
 6 that are less than the San Francisco rate of \$49.18 a
 7 ton. The first one there is South Bayside Waste
 8 Management Authority, SBWMA. We talked about them the
 9 last time around. Can you tell us again how that price
 10 came about.
 11 **A. South Bayside Waste Management Authority**
 12 **was -- that specific number was bid by our predecessor**
 13 **before we acquired the company.**
 14 Q. Did Recology bid for that same contract?
 15 **A. We certainly did.**
 16 Q. Was Recology's bid higher or lower than
 17 the competitor that won the contract?
 18 **A. It was significantly higher.**
 19 Q. And under "annual tons" it says zero. Can
 20 you explain again why that's so?
 21 **A. We don't receive that material. What we**
 22 **do receive is residential material that is primarily**
 23 **green waste that I believe we provided photos of that**
 24 **feedstock earlier. We don't receive that commercial**
 25 **material. We do receive the residential green waste**

Page 649

1 **that has food scraps in it, so a much smaller percentage**
 2 **of food waste.**
 3 Q. During your earlier testimony we
 4 introduced some photos that you identified. I think
 5 Exhibit 5 and 6 were ones that talked about materials
 6 from the SBWMA. What was that material that was
 7 depicted in those paragraphs?
 8 **A. That's the residential material that**
 9 **contains food scraps and predominantly green waste.**
 10 Q. Does SBWMA pay a lower tip fee for that
 11 mixed material?
 12 **A. They do pay a lower tip fee than San**
 13 **Francisco, than this specific grade of 46.55.**
 14 Q. And the 46.55 is a rate that is for
 15 commercial food waste which you don't receive from this
 16 customer?
 17 **A. That's correct.**
 18 Q. That was bid by a prior bidder?
 19 **A. Yes.**
 20 Q. Now Redwood Empire Disposal, \$45.81 a ton,
 21 860 tons. Can you describe what that material is.
 22 **A. That's also commercial food waste derived**
 23 **from restaurants. It's the cleanest commercial material**
 24 **that we receive. The County of Sonoma and Redwood**
 25 **Empire Disposal have had a program in place for**

Page 650

1 **sometime. There's an expectation between the primary**
 2 **compost facility -- just for clarity, Recology is not**
 3 **the primary composting facility for Redwood Empire**
 4 **Disposal. So the primary facility and the collector**
 5 **have an expectation of cleanliness.**
 6 Q. An expectation of what?
 7 **A. Cleanliness, minimal contamination in**
 8 **terms of plastics, bottles, containers, noncompostables.**
 9 Q. Are the customers of Redwood Empire
 10 Disposal and Sonoma County provided instructions on what
 11 type of material that will be accepted for this program?
 12 **A. They are provided materials and they do**
 13 **received training, yes.**
 14 Q. What do those materials say?
 15 **A. The focus is on, if you will, a positive**
 16 **sort. So a focus on inclusion of those desirable**
 17 **materials rather than an acceptance of organics in**
 18 **general and a listing of excluded materials. For**
 19 **various reasons that community has elected to go with a**
 20 **higher quality, if you will, rather than volume in their**
 21 **organics program.**
 22 Q. So can you give us an example of if a
 23 worker at a restaurant is trying to decide which bag to
 24 put material in, what sort of direction is that worker
 25 given as to what material would be accepted?

Page 651

1 **A. Placing vegetables and whatever food**
2 **scraps are generated within the kitchen directly into a**
3 **container, typically not bagging them. The direction in**
4 **general is to make sure that plastics and other**
5 **contaminants are not incorporated into the feedstock**
6 **from the outside.**

7 Q. So when materials from Sonoma County and
8 Redwood Empire Disposal arrive at Recology's facilities
9 is it different than the material you get from San
10 Francisco?

11 **A. It is. It is different in terms of the**
12 **percentage of contamination.**

13 Q. Okay. Greater contamination from San
14 Francisco?

15 **A. In general, yes.**

16 Q. In terms of Costco, what's the material
17 you get from Costco?

18 **A. It's similar to Safeway, although they**
19 **have a different process by which they collect that**
20 **material. Costco employs a compactor at each of their**
21 **warehouses or their stores, so food waste is placed**
22 **directly into that compactor. It's not containerized.**
23 **It's not shrink wrapped. It's not palletized. It goes**
24 **straight into that compactor. Therefore, it has less**
25 **contaminants than specifically Safeway. Safeway is a**

1 than San Francisco material?

2 **A. Yes, it is. It tends to be cleaner**
3 **material.**

4 Q. And you talked about this before, but San
5 Francisco material typically arrives in plastic bags
6 because that is the way it's collected from restaurants?

7 **A. That's correct.**

8 Q. So does San Francisco, at \$49.18 a ton,
9 receive the most competitive rate for the type of
10 material that is sent from San Francisco to the Recology
11 organics facilities?

12 **A. I would say that it does. For the**
13 **material type, the level of effort that the company is**
14 **employed to convert that material to organically**
15 **certified compost, yes. The bulk of the costs and**
16 **expense in terms of capital, operating costs, the**
17 **best-management practices that we detailed in past**
18 **testimony, all of that is directly related to the San**
19 **Francisco material.**

20 I know that there's been some discussion about
21 economies of scale or diseconomies of scale. I would
22 say that San Francisco has benefited from the volume of
23 material that we receive that is not commercial food
24 waste. So in other words, the green waste, other
25 customers that have material that is not as

1 transfer-type operation where they intentionally place
2 food waste into boxes, shrink-wrap and palletize that
3 material so that it does not leak. They transport that
4 material in the same trailers that they transport fresh
5 food, so they are very cautious about that. They also
6 want to be certain that the pallets are stable and don't
7 tip or fall. So there's quite of bit of extra
8 non-compostable material that's added to the mix in
9 Safeway that ends up at our compost facility versus
10 Costco.

11 Q. And Safeway is another of your customers
12 that pay actually a higher tip fee than San Francisco,
13 correct?

14 **A. That's correct.**

15 Q. So you're saying at Costco, as contrasted
16 with Safeway, Costco takes food waste and puts it into a
17 compactor?

18 **A. That's correct.**

19 Q. So it doesn't need the packaging material
20 that Safeway utilizes?

21 **A. Right. The compactor itself is the**
22 **container, whereas each individual Safeway store**
23 **attempts to create its own container on a single pallet.**

24 Q. So is the Costco material therefore
25 different in quality when received at your facilities

1 contaminated, for lack of a better term, has actually
2 created an economy of scale that San Francisco has
3 benefited from. And those less contaminated feedstocks
4 are actually bearing some of the costs and the
5 infrastructure and best practices that we employ.

6 Q. So I'll get to the economies-of-scale
7 issue in a minute. But in terms of Costco and Redwood
8 Empire Material versus Safeway and San Francisco
9 material, does the Costco and Redwood Empire material
10 require less processing costs, less labor in order to
11 move through the system?

12 **A. It does. And, again, it's a much smaller**
13 **volume of material. There are significant periods of**
14 **time when we don't receive any Costco material. And it**
15 **does take less labor and cost to process.**

16 Q. Now, you testified earlier about economy
17 of scale. And as the staff report correctly notes, the
18 companies did not provide a detailed explanation of the
19 diseconomy-of-scale premise. So I wanted to spend a
20 little bit of time explaining it in a little more detail
21 as to what you had in mind when you talked about that.

22 When you talk about economies of scale or
23 diseconomies or inverse economies of scale in this
24 business, are you saying that your costs go up for every
25 additional ton, every additional marginal ton that you

1 receive?

2 **A. When we are talking about an economy of**

3 **scale, generally the reverse is true, that the fixed**

4 **costs are distributed over a greater number of tons. So**

5 **every incremental or additional ton that you receive,**

6 **theoretically, has a lower cost associated with it.**

7 **There are variations on that and there are exceptions to**

8 **that as well.**

9 Q. So each additional ton would have a lower

10 cost?

11 **A. In general.**

12 Q. And that's the classic economy-of-sale

13 concept, isn't it?

14 **A. Correct.**

15 Q. How does the inverse economy of scale come

16 into play in this particular type of business? At what

17 threshold does it start to kick in?

18 **A. In our operation at compost sites as well**

19 **as other post-collection facilities in the waste**

20 **industry, there is a step-function type of occurrence of**

21 **capital expenditures and operational expenses. So up to**

22 **a certain point it's possible to manage, for example,**

23 **commercial food waste. If we receive 200 tons a year or**

24 **1,000 tons a year or 10,000 tons a year of commercial**

25 **food waste, the vast majority of our feedstock being**

Page 656

1 **volumes of material. And yeah, in general.**

2 Q. So then once the amount of food waste

3 exceeds the ideal proportions for mixing with the green

4 waste you have available, other costs come into play; is

5 that right?

6 **A. That's correct. So the concrete pads and**

7 **permeable surfaces, drainage systems to collect and**

8 **convey leachate, leachate treatment systems and ponds,**

9 **recirculation systems, control systems to positively**

10 **aerate the feedstock, bio-filtration, making sure that**

11 **the operators are trained in sizing criteria and**

12 **blending the right ratios of food waste to green waste,**

13 **applying lime treatment to maintain the property acidity**

14 **or pH in the material. It goes on and on.**

15 Q. So you testified earlier about having

16 incurred some of those additional capital expenses

17 already in one of your facilities -- Jepson, I think it

18 was, wasn't it?

19 **A. Correct.**

20 Q. And that there be some capital

21 expenditures that will now be required at Grover similar

22 to what you did at Jepson; is that right?

23 **A. That's correct, on the order of 2.5 this**

24 **year and an additional nearly 2 million next year.**

25 Q. If it were not for the volume of food

Page 658

1 **green waste, we wouldn't incur all the expense that we**

2 **currently incur at our operations. We wouldn't have to**

3 **invest the millions of dollars that we have at our**

4 **facilities. But once you get to a certain point, you**

5 **cannot avoid the influx of capital required to address**

6 **stormwater controls, groundwater protection, odor**

7 **monitoring and management, just to make sure that you**

8 **create a quality of feedstock that can be sold and you**

9 **complete the sustainable cycle.**

10 Q. What is there about the composting

11 operation that results in moderate amounts of food waste

12 being manageable without the additional capital

13 requirements?

14 **A. It's the amount of green waste. Green**

15 **waste is a far more manageable material, produces less**

16 **environmental concerns in terms of the strength of**

17 **leachate, the volume of leachate, the odors produced,**

18 **the vectors that it attracts. It's just a very**

19 **different material, almost -- I wouldn't say innocuous**

20 **but almost, in comparison to commercial food waste.**

21 Q. So going back to my question, why is that

22 food waste up to a certain threshold is manageable

23 without the additional capital expenditures?

24 **A. Being able to blend that commercial food**

25 **waste into green waste allows us to manage smaller**

Page 657

1 waste that you received from San Francisco would

2 Recology be required to make the significant levels of

3 capital investment that you described?

4 **A. There would definitely be an investment,**

5 **but not to the magnitude that we currently are engaged**

6 **in.**

7 Q. Why is that?

8 **A. For all the reasons that I explained**

9 **before: Odor control requirements would be less; less**

10 **intensive aeration systems; smaller bio-filtration;**

11 **smaller need to manage liquids generated from a**

12 **predominance of green waste versus food waste.**

13 Q. So in terms of all the customers that

14 Recology serves is San Francisco getting the best price

15 among all of Recology's customers for the food waste

16 material that San Francisco sends to Recology?

17 **A. In my opinion, yes, based on the volume of**

18 **material coming in and proportioning the costs with the**

19 **materials.**

20 Q. What would be your reaction to only

21 getting \$45 as opposed to 49.18 for San Francisco's

22 materials?

23 **A. Qualitatively speaking, it's awfully close**

24 **to a green waste rate.**

25 MR. BAKER: All right. I have nothing

Page 659

1 further. Thank you.
 2 So, Mr. Owen, we marked Exhibit 77.
 3 MR. YAMAMOTO: Counsel, I do have one
 4 correction. The volume for San Francisco is
 5 significantly higher than the 37,930. I believe it's
 6 closer to 148,000 tons per year.
 7 MR. BAKER: Oh, did I make a mistake?
 8 Q. All right. So the exact number is what
 9 now?
 10 **A. The exact number would be 148,630.**
 11 MR. BAKER: Thank you.
 12 We can provide a correct exhibit.
 13 MR. OWEN: 77.
 14 (The document referred was marked
 15 and received into evidence as
 16 Exhibit No. 77.)
 17 MR. BAKER: Thank you. Nothing further of
 18 this witness.
 19 DIRECTOR NURU: Thank you.
 20 MR. BAKER: I don't know whether -- he cannot
 21 be here on Wednesday. I don't know what the plan was in
 22 terms of cross-examination, but if anybody has any other
 23 questions of him, this would be a good time to ask them.
 24 DIRECTOR NURU: Staff?
 25 MR. LEGG: I would like to take a brief break

Page 660

1 before we do that. And maybe this is a good time to
 2 take a 15-minute break.
 3 But before we do that, I'd like to know what
 4 else is on Recology's agenda and if there's anybody else
 5 who isn't going to be able to come back on Wednesday,
 6 who you're going to put on today.
 7 MR. BAKER: Everybody else can be here
 8 Wednesday. And the current plan is Mr. Braslaw and Mr.
 9 Glaub.
 10 MR. LEGG: Thank you.
 11 DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. So we'll take a
 12 15-minute break and resume back here at 11:10, if that
 13 works for everyone.
 14 (A break was taken from 10:54 a.m.
 15 to 11:12 a.m.)
 16 DIRECTOR NURU: If everyone can take their
 17 seat, we can continue with the rebuttal to the staff
 18 report.
 19 MR. BAKER: I actually have a couple of other
 20 questions of Mr. Yamamoto that came up over the break,
 21 if I could do that before the other questions are asked
 22 of him.
 23 DIRECTOR NURU: Okay, Mr. Baker. You may
 24 proceed.
 25

Page 661

1 MR. BAKER: There was one document I neglected
 2 to mark as an exhibit, which I will do now.
 3 MR. OWEN: We will mark this document as
 4 Exhibit 78 and receive it into evidence. It's a single
 5 sheet, "Disposal to Hay Road Landfill, Rate Years ending
 6 June 30."
 7 (The document referred was marked
 8 and received into evidence as
 9 Exhibit No. 78.)
 10 BY MR. BAKER:
 11 Q. Mr. Yamamoto and Mr. Nuru, this is a page
 12 from Recology rate application from 2006. And we are
 13 offering this only to show one point, which is set forth
 14 in the footnote which says Intercompany disposal rate
 15 for organics is \$37 a ton in Rate Year 7; \$39 a ton in
 16 Rate Year 8, \$41 a ton in Rate Year 9, \$43 a ton in Rate
 17 Year 10, and \$45 in Rate Year 11.
 18 So, Mr. Yamamoto, is it your understanding in
 19 terms of the rate application in 2006 that Recology set
 20 forth that the rate for the composting tip fee in Rate
 21 Year 11 would be \$45 a ton?
 22 **A. Yes.**
 23 Q. Okay. Focusing on the \$49.18 rate that is
 24 in the proposal in the application, if you take into
 25 account processing fees -- pardon me -- if you take into

Page 662

1 account the processing costs for San Francisco
 2 commercial food waste material and also the capital
 3 improvements that are required, as you testified
 4 earlier, solely because of the San Francisco waste
 5 stream would Recology even make money at the
 6 \$49.18-per-ton tip rate?
 7 **A. That rate does not cover our capital and
 8 our costs.**
 9 Q. And \$45 would not either, obviously.
 10 **A. Clearly, 45 would not.**
 11 Q. Why doesn't Recology organics charge \$60
 12 or \$70 a ton? Why is it \$49.18, given the nature of
 13 material that you have to handle?
 14 **A. We have a large volume of material aside
 15 from San Francisco's feedstock; and the costs associated
 16 with our site are distributed amongst those tons. So
 17 there are other customers that are sharing the expenses
 18 related to all of our capital, our best-management
 19 practices, not fully but more so than if none of those
 20 facilities existed for the benefit of commercial food
 21 waste.**
 22 MR. BAKER: Thank you.
 23 I now have nothing further, Mr. Nuru.
 24 DIRECTOR NURU: So I believe we would like to
 25 cross-examine from the City.

Page 663

1 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY THE CITY
 2 BY MR. BRUEN:
 3 Q. Mr. Yamamoto, good morning. My name is
 4 Tom Bruen. And Jack Macy and I have a few questions for
 5 you. So I am going to begin with some general questions
 6 just so I understand your testimony. You described a
 7 proposed rate of \$49 and some cents. Is that a rate
 8 charged for both of IRA compost operations, the one at
 9 Grover and the one at Jepson Prairie?
 10 **A. Yes.**
 11 Q. Do you know who set those rates or that
 12 rate for both facilities?
 13 **A. Our controller developed through the rate**
 14 **model that we have. Our controller developed those**
 15 **numbers.**
 16 Q. Has that rate model been shared with City
 17 staff?
 18 **A. I don't believe so.**
 19 Q. Do you know how the rate model was
 20 developed? Do you know any of the details of that rate
 21 model?
 22 **A. I know the fundamental concepts. All the**
 23 **expenses and capital related to the operations are**
 24 **essentially taken into account to come up with the rate.**
 25 **As I mentioned before, those costs of capital, the**

1 **amortization of capital, the distribution of expenses**
 2 **are distributed across all tons.**
 3 Q. Do you know if that rate model would
 4 result in different rate for the different waste streams
 5 that go into those two facilities?
 6 **A. Can you clarify?**
 7 Q. Yes. In other words, did that rate model
 8 use all of the costs of operating those facilities and
 9 calculate a tip fee per ton regardless of which waste
 10 stream was coming in? Or did it generate a different
 11 rate for Safeway waste and for Costco waste and for pure
 12 green waste?
 13 **A. It did on a broader basis categorize food**
 14 **waste versus green waste or green waste with minimal**
 15 **amounts of food waste.**
 16 Q. What was the rate that was developed for
 17 food waste?
 18 **A. Food waste is in the 45- to 50-plus range.**
 19 Q. That's what the rate model indicated?
 20 **A. Yes.**
 21 Q. All right. Do you know why the rate model
 22 had a range of \$45 to \$50?
 23 **A. Yes. We take into consideration the type**
 24 **of material that we receive, the volume of material, and**
 25 **the market conditions as well.**

1 Q. Did the rate go up with the volume of food
 2 waste?
 3 **A. With what specific -- are you talking**
 4 **about a specific operation, a specific customer?**
 5 Q. Well, you indicated that the rate model
 6 came up with a range of food waste of \$45 to \$50 a ton
 7 and one of factors was volume. So my question is in
 8 what way did volume affect that range? Did the price
 9 per ton go up with volume or go down with volume?
 10 **A. As mentioned before, volume allows us to**
 11 **distribute the cost amongst the various tons that come**
 12 **into the site, so it would have an effect of being able**
 13 **to reduce the rate.**
 14 Q. So greater volume means a reduced rate?
 15 **A. Again, there can be exceptions if -- well,**
 16 **it can.**
 17 Q. So your understanding of the Recology rate
 18 model was it indicated that, as to food waste tonnage
 19 with higher volumes in that \$45 to \$50 a ton range, the
 20 rate per ton would go down?
 21 **A. With higher volumes? Actually, if I can**
 22 **explain, our costs can actually go up if we receive**
 23 **higher volumes of material. So what I've tried to**
 24 **explain earlier is that a small volume of food waste can**
 25 **almost be immaterial in terms of expenses, capital**

1 **expenditures. But when we receive over a hundred**
 2 **thousand tons of commercial food waste that causes --**
 3 **that invokes all sorts of capital expenditures and best**
 4 **practices that wouldn't be required otherwise. So it's**
 5 **not quite as simple as a linear volume-to-price ratio**
 6 **analysis.**
 7 Q. What I'm trying to figure out, I think you
 8 testified previously that in looking at the
 9 \$45-to-\$50-a-ton range for food waste, I thought you
 10 said the volume had the effect within that range of
 11 driving down the cost per ton; is that true?
 12 **A. As an overarching concept, yes, with the**
 13 **underlying concept of additional capital and expenses**
 14 **related to commercial food waste.**
 15 Q. Now, have you provided the City with a
 16 balance sheet or P&L statement for the Grover and Jepson
 17 Prairie operations that would show how you're allocating
 18 fixed costs versus variable costs to waste from San
 19 Francisco and your other composting customers?
 20 **A. I have not.**
 21 Q. When waste from San Francisco is received
 22 at your two operations at Jepson Prairie and Grover, is
 23 it commingled with the green waste and food waste coming
 24 from other customers? Or is the San Francisco waste
 25 stream of green waste and food waste processed

1 separately?

2 **A. Commercial food waste is processed**

3 **separately; and then it's commingled after it's been**

4 **screened and sorted and the contaminants picked out.**

5 Q. So you process commercial food waste

6 separate from green waste?

7 **A. It's not quite that simple. When it**

8 **arrives at the site it's segregated; its run across a**

9 **sort line and trommeled. The material that results**

10 **after the contaminates have been removed are blended**

11 **with green waste, so ultimately in the end it is blended**

12 **with green waste and other feedstocks.**

13 Q. So the process that you just described is

14 a process that you apply to food waste that comes in

15 from San Francisco or goes over the trommel and is

16 sorted; is that right?

17 **A. That is correct.**

18 Q. And is this the same process applied to

19 the commercial food waste you receive from Costco?

20 **A. Yes.**

21 Q. And Safeway?

22 **A. Correct.**

23 Q. And from any other customer?

24 **A. From any other customer that has a high**

25 **concentration of commercial food waste.**

Page 668

1 Q. So all of your commercial food waste that

2 you receive from Costco, Safeway, San Francisco, and any

3 other customer that has a high concentration of food

4 waste is all going to be commingled together and

5 processed the same way, correct.

6 **A. Ultimately it is eventually combined,**

7 **commingled, and becomes one primary feed stock that goes**

8 **into the system.**

9 Q. Well, when you say "ultimately," it's

10 commingled at the beginning, isn't it? Isn't it run

11 through the same trommel and sorting process whether

12 it's from Costco or Safeway or San Francisco?

13 **A. It's possible that it is. They don't**

14 **always arrive at the same time. So, logistically, it's**

15 **not necessarily commingled.**

16 Q. But they're subject to the same basic

17 process at your plants, right?

18 **A. Correct.**

19 Q. Whenever they come in?

20 **A. Uh-huh.**

21 Q. Now, you indicated that there were

22 increased costs associated with taking food wastes

23 regarding stormwater controls. If San Francisco food

24 waste were going away tomorrow and you didn't receive it

25 anymore, how would it change your stormwater controls?

Page 669

1 **A. Well, there's a continuum of regulations**

2 **that have evolved over time. Back when we installed**

3 **these stormwater basins and drainage channels to collect**

4 **the leachate, there was no obligation. There's no**

5 **specific obligation to do so. We did that in order to**

6 **make sure that we had an environmentally sound process.**

7 Q. Would you have done that if you didn't

8 receive feed waste from San Francisco, but you did

9 receive commercial food waste from Costco, Safeway, and

10 these other customers?

11 **A. It's not quite black and white. It**

12 **depends on the volume of material that we receive,**

13 **commercial food waste. As I mentioned before, there**

14 **would be some expenditures in terms of stormwater**

15 **management, stormwater treatment, but not to the extent**

16 **or degree that we currently engage in.**

17 Q. What I'm trying to get at is can you

18 identify something specifically that you have done that

19 you would not have done had you not been receiving

20 commercial food waste from San Francisco so that we can

21 figure out what it is and how much it would cost?

22 **A. Certainly. The local air board for Jepson**

23 **Prairie organics facility has a limitation on volatile**

24 **organic compounds. And one of the projects that we**

25 **embarked upon to accommodate those limitations and those**

Page 670

1 **restrictions was the installation of the engineered**

2 **compost system, the bio-filtration, and all the drainage**

3 **collection associated with that operation. The primary**

4 **generator of volatile organic compounds is commercial**

5 **food waste. And this project was embarked upon**

6 **specifically to allow us to continue expanding San**

7 **Francisco program.**

8 Q. Do those environmental controls you

9 described for commercial food wastes also apply to the

10 food waste you receive from Costco and Safeway?

11 **A. Again, not black and white. To a lesser**

12 **extent, because it's much smaller volumes, we wouldn't**

13 **be subjected to the same limitations.**

14 Q. But today you run all that commercial food

15 waste through the same process, correct?

16 **A. That is correct.**

17 BY MR. MACY:

18 Q. Good morning, Mr. Yamamoto. Thank you for

19 Exhibit 72.

20 I just wanted to check with you. Right now

21 what would you say is the mix of green waste and food

22 waste at the Grover facility?

23 **A. Let's see. We strive for a one-to-one**

24 **ratio and sometimes that's not achievable, but somewhere**

25 **in that range.**

Page 671

1 Q. So you are already close to one-to-one at
 2 Grover?
 3 **A. I'd have to check and get back with you**
 4 **for exact numbers.**
 5 Q. I guess it's changed a bit since I have
 6 been there. Seemed like two-or-three-to-one. And
 7 Jepson Prairie organics has had a lot of investment
 8 made. You mentioned four million before. ECS system,
 9 reinforced pads, forced aeration, bio-filter, cover,
 10 pond all that. Right?
 11 **A. Correct.**
 12 Q. Correct. And that the -- so the tip fee
 13 at Jepson is also at 49.18?
 14 **A. Correct.**
 15 Q. For San Francisco. Does that -- do you
 16 think that covers the cost? Or it's close?
 17 **A. As I mentioned before, if we look at the**
 18 **costs associated with San Francisco's material, it does**
 19 **not cover the cost. I can't emphasize enough, there's a**
 20 **tremendous amount of effort that goes into managing**
 21 **commercial food waste that is completely unrequired --**
 22 **not required for green waste or less intensive**
 23 **materials.**
 24 Q. Right. So Jepson has had all this
 25 investment. Grover has not had as much investment.

Page 672

1 There's open windrows. So do you see the quantities of
 2 material changing -- let me ask you -- what is the --
 3 how much material from San Francisco goes to Grover at
 4 this point, roughly? Is it two-thirds of what San
 5 Francisco companies are bringing?
 6 **A. It's probably over two-thirds of the**
 7 **material. And though the Grover operation does not**
 8 **currently have the same level of capital investment, we**
 9 **are catching up very quickly this year and next year.**
 10 Q. And you see the quantity of material from
 11 San Francisco increasing significantly? Because the
 12 overall tonnage is only going up a couple percent. Do
 13 you see that proportion going to Grover increasing or
 14 staying the same?
 15 **A. I don't have the trends, but whatever**
 16 **increases is concerned within the City of San Francisco**
 17 **and especially when mandatory recycling went into place,**
 18 **all that increased tonnage did end up going to both**
 19 **initially Jepson Prairie and then to our Grover**
 20 **operation.**
 21 Q. Okay. The investment that you have
 22 projected for Grover, are you saying that you are going
 23 to be employing the same technology that you have at
 24 Jepson Prairie with an ECS-type system? Or is it just
 25 putting in -- perhaps, can you say more about what is

Page 673

1 it -- how similar is it to what you're doing at Jepson
 2 Prairie that you're proposing to do at Grover?
 3 **A. The receiving area is going to be**
 4 **improved. We are going to install a concrete-padded**
 5 **receiving area, so improved services to minimize or to**
 6 **manage leachate that's produced by the material. We**
 7 **will have conveyance ditches to carry leachate and any**
 8 **contaminated stormwater to a treatment pond. Grover has**
 9 **for years employed a very different process to manage**
 10 **their material. It was not as capital-intensive, but it**
 11 **was far more labor-intensive in terms of equipment hours**
 12 **and manpower required to turn the material.**
 13 **As you know, the windrows employ the Lubke**
 14 **method, which is a much smaller wind row which requires**
 15 **much more intensive turning. So capital and the**
 16 **man-hours associated with that effectively offset the**
 17 **capital investment that we made at Jepson Prairie**
 18 **Organics. So two very different systems, but costs are**
 19 **distributed in a different way.**
 20 Q. So are you going to continue that Lubke
 21 approach with small windrows but you're just putting in
 22 more of a reinforced pad to collect the leachate into
 23 ponds?
 24 **A. We're also putting in two different sort**
 25 **lines. Because of the nature of the commercial food**

Page 674

1 **wastes, we have a separate dedicated sort line to remove**
 2 **contaminants from that material. We cannot commingle it**
 3 **with green waste up front. The green waste would**
 4 **occlude and prevent sorters from accessing the**
 5 **contamination, so it's processed and sorted initially up**
 6 **front on a separate sort line. And the green waste is**
 7 **managed across a different sort line. That's a new**
 8 **added series of equipment train that we have had to add**
 9 **to accommodate contamination.**
 10 Q. Okay. You were talking about some of your
 11 different customers and how Redwood City (sic) has a
 12 system where they have a positive sort of what goes into
 13 the collection a focus on quality. The implication
 14 seems like San Francisco did not and that our material
 15 is pretty much collected in plastic bags. But have you
 16 seen what is accepted in San Francisco's material and
 17 plastic is not unless it is a certified and properly
 18 labeled compostable?
 19 **A. I think similar materials are employed in**
 20 **both communities. But the resulting material that we**
 21 **receive at the site is very different. I will commend**
 22 **San Francisco in terms of creating a program that makes**
 23 **it more readily accessible for customers to participate**
 24 **in. I think it is difficult to say what the cause of**
 25 **the difference in contamination is, but there's a very**

Page 675

1 **distinct and real difference.**
 2 Q. Then I guess in the Safeway you were
 3 talking about how Safeway shrink-wraps their material on
 4 pallets in boxes on pallets and that involves several
 5 layers of plastic on every --
 6 **A. Layers within layers, boxes within boxes.**
 7 Q. Plastic within the boxes and all that.
 8 Certainly that photo that you had showed before
 9 indicated would you say that there's -- wouldn't you say
 10 then there's certainly more plastic coming in from
 11 Safeway's material than San Francisco?
 12 **A. It's difficult to say percentage-wise**
 13 **which feedstock has more plastic. Plastic, as you know,**
 14 **is almost two-dimensional. It's very visual, but it**
 15 **doesn't have a lot of weight. It's difficult to say.**
 16 Q. So just to go back, the investment you
 17 make is -- it sounds like that's mostly a capital
 18 investment of pads, dedicated sorting line, pond. As
 19 you were saying, there's a whole different sorting line
 20 for San Francisco-type material. But that sorting line
 21 for high-concentration food waste would certainly apply
 22 to every customer in this large percent of food waste
 23 group, right? Or not necessarily?
 24 **A. In general, yes, I would say that the**
 25 **Redwood Empire material is fairly clean and does not**

Page 676

1 **require the same intensive sorting that other customers**
 2 **do.**
 3 MR. MACY: Thank you.
 4 BY MR. BRUEN:
 5 Q. I just have a few follow-up questions. I
 6 believe you testified a few moments ago that at \$49.18 a
 7 ton the Grover and Jepson Prairie sites would still be
 8 losing money on the food waste from San Francisco?
 9 **A. Correct.**
 10 Q. I believe you also testified that the
 11 price was determined using a rate model which predicted
 12 a range from \$45 to \$50 a ton. So are you telling me
 13 that the rate model you used was a rate model that
 14 resulted in a rate per ton on which the company lost
 15 money?
 16 **A. No. It also incorporates the revenue that**
 17 **we receive from other customers with lesser**
 18 **contamination. I also testified earlier that the costs**
 19 **of these facilities, the capital, the manpower is also**
 20 **distributed amongst those tons as well. So in some ways**
 21 **San Francisco is benefiting from those other customers**
 22 **paying for capital and labor that's directly related to**
 23 **managing commercial food waste.**
 24 Q. Is this rate model something that's in
 25 writing, like a spreadsheet that you've seen?

Page 677

1 **A. Yes.**
 2 Q. Does it show what the subsidy is from
 3 green waste that benefits the food waste pricing?
 4 **A. It doesn't call that out. It does not**
 5 **specify that.**
 6 Q. How do you know the subsidy exists then?
 7 **A. Because I've spoken with the controller.**
 8 Q. So the rate model itself, if we were to
 9 see the rate model produced to us in writing, it would
 10 indicate the amount of any subsidy for the food waste
 11 program?
 12 **A. It wouldn't specify a subsidy, but the way**
 13 **that the rate model is produced and the way that we**
 14 **distributed costs just naturally associates costs with**
 15 **all tons.**
 16 Q. Now, for the Costco waste at \$45 a ton,
 17 are you losing money on that?
 18 **A. Not if you aggregate all tons. If we --**
 19 **we have an obligation to our company to compete in the**
 20 **open market as well, so there's an open-market element**
 21 **to the equation also.**
 22 Q. So, would you --
 23 **A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question.**
 24 Q. Yeah. At \$45 a ton to Costco for its food
 25 waste, are you making a profit on that contract?

Page 678

1 **A. On that specific material, no. As an**
 2 **aggregate, overall, because of all the tons that come**
 3 **into the site because of the way that our facilities**
 4 **have evolved to the current operation, yes.**
 5 Q. Why would you take commercial food waste
 6 from Costco if you're losing money on it?
 7 **A. Again, because of the distribution of**
 8 **costs and expense -- capital and operating expense --**
 9 **over all tons, we're able to offer a lower rate to**
 10 **commercial customers than we would if we were handling**
 11 **just pure commercial food waste.**
 12 Q. Are you saying that you're taking other
 13 waste from Costco on which you're making a profit, which
 14 subsidizes the food waste price for Costco?
 15 **A. No. What I'm saying and what I've said**
 16 **before is that we are accepting materials that are not**
 17 **commercial food-waste-type feedstock that we're able to**
 18 **defray some of the expenses over.**
 19 Q. So you go out in the open market and you
 20 give a price to Costco for food waste of \$45 a ton
 21 because that's the competitive price and the decision is
 22 made by the company to enter into that contract and take
 23 that food waste rather than turn the contract down,
 24 right?
 25 **A. That's correct. That's how the open**

Page 679

1 market works, yes.
 2 Q. But you've also testified that you're
 3 losing money on that contract.
 4 **A. When you analyze the material on a**
 5 **customer-by-customer basis, if you associate the costs**
 6 **related to commercial food waste, if you isolate San**
 7 **Francisco and look at San Francisco on a stand-alone**
 8 **basis, we are not covering the costs. And those costs**
 9 **specifically related to the commercial food waste to**
 10 **manage San Francisco tonnage exceed the revenue that it**
 11 **generates.**
 12 Q. My question was about Costco. The
 13 \$45-a-ton price to Costco is either covering your cost
 14 of taking that waste or it isn't. And I think your
 15 testimony has been that the \$45-a-ton rate for Costco
 16 doesn't cover the cost of taking the food waste from
 17 Costco; is that your testimony?
 18 **A. On an isolated basis, correct.**
 19 Q. My question then is why would the company
 20 enter into a contract with Costco to take their food
 21 waste if, in fact, they are losing money at \$45 a ton?
 22 **A. The answer to the question is that the**
 23 **overall operations are profitable. But, again, on an**
 24 **isolated basis, if we were to isolate each individual**
 25 **customer and associate their cost with their tons, we**

1 **A. No, I don't.**
 2 MR. BRUEN: That's all I have. Thank you.
 3 DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. I think this concludes
 4 this line of questioning.
 5 Mr. Baker?
 6 MR. BAKER: May I ask Mr. Yamamoto a couple
 7 of follow-up questions, please?
 8 DIRECTOR NURU: Yes, you may.
 9 BY MR. BAKER:
 10 Q. To follow up, Mr. Yamamoto, on a couple of
 11 the questions Mr. Bruen asked you. And let's focus on
 12 Costco as an example, as he was asking you -- and the
 13 pricing. Once Recology has made the capital investment
 14 required in order to handle the volume of San Francisco
 15 commercial food waste, when an additional customer comes
 16 along, like Costco, at smaller volumes, does Recology
 17 make money at \$45 a ton?
 18 **A. Yeah, again, taking into consideration the**
 19 **overall site, yeah, we make money on that incremental**
 20 **revenue.**
 21 Q. If you didn't have the volume of San
 22 Francisco waste and Costco came down the street and
 23 wanted you to take the their commercial food waste,
 24 could you make money at \$45 a ton if you had to make the
 25 capital investment required to gear up for high volumes

1 **wouldn't be able to attract any commercial tons.**
 2 Q. Well, if you went out into the open market
 3 and you're bidding --
 4 **A. I suspect that all of our competitors do**
 5 **the same.**
 6 Q. Okay. So you're submitting a bid to
 7 Costco to take their commercial food waste for \$45 a
 8 ton. If San Francisco's food waste went out to bid,
 9 would you also offer them \$45 a ton?
 10 **A. I would have to say, as I mentioned in**
 11 **earlier testimony, that we have to look at some**
 12 **customers and adjust their rates upward. But to adjust**
 13 **San Francisco's rate downward because of the significant**
 14 **volume that we have, that would be a detriment to the**
 15 **economic viability of the site.**
 16 Q. So you would offer a better rate to Costco
 17 than to San Francisco for their food waste?
 18 **A. No. What I said was we'd have to**
 19 **reevaluate those smaller customers that happen to have**
 20 **commercial food waste that have benefited from the**
 21 **operations that are currently in place.**
 22 Q. Okay. You indicated that San Francisco
 23 food waste comes in bags. Are those bags compostable?
 24 **A. Many of the bags are. Some are not.**
 25 Q. Do you know what percentage?

1 of commercial food waste that you have already done but
 2 you wouldn't have done under this hypothetical?
 3 **A. No. And that's one of the reasons that we**
 4 **bid a much higher rate for the San Mateo commercial food**
 5 **waste. What you described is exactly what we would have**
 6 **encountered, additional expense to manage that material.**
 7 Q. Additional expense, what?
 8 **A. Additional expense to manage that**
 9 **material.**
 10 Q. Now, you testified earlier that it costs
 11 you more to process -- let's put the capital
 12 expenditures aside for a minute. But you testified
 13 earlier, I think, that it cost you more to process San
 14 Francisco commercial food waste than it does food waste
 15 from Costco or from Sonoma County through Redwood
 16 Empire; is that true?
 17 **A. That's correct.**
 18 Q. But you also told Mr. Bruen that the
 19 commercial food waste that comes in from different
 20 customers is commingled and run through the same
 21 processing equipment; is that right?
 22 **A. Quite often it is, yes.**
 23 Q. So how is it, if that's so, that the waste
 24 from all customers is generally commingled and run
 25 through the same equipment? How is it that San

1 Francisco commercial food waste costs more to process
 2 than food waste from Costco or from Redwood Empire?
 3 **A. It's the level of contamination. I know**
 4 **that it was just recently mentioned what is the**
 5 **percentage of compostable bags versus non-compostable**
 6 **bags. Well, we have to sort out compostable bags as**
 7 **well and incorporate those into nonorganic certified**
 8 **windrows, so we sort that as well.**
 9 Q. So can you give us -- be more specific?
 10 What does that mean? More people standing some place
 11 picking bags out or using different equipment?
 12 **A. Yeah. It's very labor-intensive. Yes,**
 13 **some more people on the sort lines, anywhere from four**
 14 **up to eight, beginning on the level of contamination.**
 15 **And San Francisco has the highest number of sorters that**
 16 **we apply to clean the material.**
 17 MR. BAKER: Thank you I. don't have anything
 18 further.
 19 DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. Any cross-examination?
 20 MR. BRUEN: Just one question.
 21 Q. Did I understand you to testify just now,
 22 Mr. Yamamoto, that charging San Francisco a higher rate
 23 per ton enabled you to charge Costco the rate of \$45 a
 24 ton?
 25 **A. I didn't recall specifying a price. The**

Page 684

1 **concept is the capital investment that exists at the**
 2 **facility to manage the San Francisco contract.**
 3 Q. So the rates that you're proposing that
 4 would be charged to San Francisco would enable you to
 5 give more favorable pricing to follow-on commercial food
 6 waste customers?
 7 **A. That's true. And as I mentioned before,**
 8 **as I testified earlier, we'll have to take a look at**
 9 **some of these very small customers that don't generate**
 10 **significant revenue.**
 11 MR. BRUEN: Okay. Thank you.
 12 DIRECTOR NURU: Any questions from the
 13 Ratepayer Advocate for cross-examination?
 14 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY THE RATEPAYER ADVOCATE
 15 MR. DEIBLER: I'm not sure if this question is
 16 for Mr. Yamamoto, but it has to do with contamination,
 17 the question of contamination that's been raised. It
 18 might be for Mr. Braslaw. I'm not sure. Would you like
 19 me to ask that now?
 20 DIRECTOR NURU: Why don't you ask the question
 21 and we'll decide who will answer.
 22 MR. DEIBLER: My question is you've raised the
 23 concern about contamination for San Francisco's
 24 material. How does that contamination get into the
 25 material in the first place?

Page 685

1 MR. YAMAMOTO: Through the collection process
 2 and the process of placing the material in the carts or
 3 the bins as the material is consolidated at the
 4 restaurant or the customer's location.
 5 MR. DEIBLER: Okay. And does the company have
 6 any role in play in controlling the contamination at the
 7 point of collection?
 8 MR. YAMAMOTO: I'm sure that there are others
 9 in the room who might be able to touch on the training
 10 programs and educational programs better than I could.
 11 MR. DEIBLER: I'd like to pursue that a little
 12 bit. I could do it at a different time. Or it just
 13 seems if that's the key issue for why the material costs
 14 more to process then it's worth asking a few questions
 15 about how contamination occurs and could be controlled.
 16 DIRECTOR NURU: Are there any other questions
 17 you have for Mr. Yamamoto?
 18 MR. DEIBLER: I don't believe so.
 19 DIRECTOR NURU: Okay, then the company
 20 should -- I guess Jon?
 21 MR. BAKER: Mr. Braslaw is going to be our
 22 next witness, so he can respond to that.
 23 JON BRASLAW,
 24 having previously been sworn, appeared and testified as
 25 follows:

Page 686

1 MR. BRASLAW: To respond to your question
 2 about the company and the responsibility we have a staff
 3 in place that does outreach. They work with our
 4 commercial customers. They work with our apartment and
 5 residential customers to try to show them how to use the
 6 system in the best way to try to reduce contamination.
 7 One of the things we discussed earlier in the
 8 hearings was the fact that the companies as part of our
 9 zero waste program have a new outreach plan that we are
 10 implementing now, where we're working with customers,
 11 we're working with our drivers, we have hired somebody
 12 to examine materials as they come in to our facilities
 13 to identify contamination so that we can work back
 14 upstream and work specifically with customers to train
 15 them to try to help them configure their operations to
 16 reduce contamination.
 17 I think, at the beginning of the program and
 18 as the program kind of gains some traction, that it was
 19 most important that we can get participants, that we can
 20 get people to use the system. And now we're starting to
 21 really move into the stage where we need now to tune
 22 those programs to make sure that we can do it in such a
 23 way that facilitates the processing and ultimately
 24 controls the cost.
 25 ////

Page 687

1 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY THE RATEPAYER ADVOCATE
 2 BY MR. DEIBLER:
 3 Q. So would it be fair to say that you're
 4 optimistic that over time contamination can be decreased
 5 at the point of collection?
 6 **A. We're hopeful. It has been difficult.**
 7 **And one of the challenges we face, especially with the**
 8 **green bin, is that there's so much space constraint that**
 9 **it's difficult for the restaurants to go through and set**
 10 **up their systems in a way to try to minimize that. I**
 11 **think the fact that we're out there and we're working**
 12 **with those customers, we're trying to develop new**
 13 **techniques and processes to help them.**
 14 Q. Is that something you regularly reported
 15 on in the past or anticipate reporting on on a quarterly
 16 basis moving ahead -- progress on contamination?
 17 **A. We have not specifically reported on that**
 18 **in the past. That's something that's -- again, I think**
 19 **with respect to the materials at the processing**
 20 **facilities, that's something that I assume they keep**
 21 **track of. I have not seen the information about it, so**
 22 **I can't really speak to it at this point.**
 23 Q. But wouldn't it be important to know that
 24 in order to understand the efficacy of the efforts
 25 you're making which have some costs to control

Page 688

1 contamination at the point of collection?
 2 **A. Yeah, we've received feedback, but it's**
 3 **basically been anecdotal. But at this point**
 4 **unfortunately the level of contamination is such that**
 5 **we've been told there's work to do. So I guess as we**
 6 **move to the point where the contamination gets smaller**
 7 **and smaller, it's probably more important to do a formal**
 8 **measurement. At this point we know we still have some**
 9 **ground to cover.**
 10 Q. Do you have any objection to providing
 11 reporting information both at the facility point and
 12 also at the point of collection in terms of
 13 contamination over time?
 14 **A. That's something I'd have to work with the**
 15 **facilities to make sure that they gather data, that data**
 16 **is available. We certainly would be willing to share**
 17 **that data with the City. We do all kinds of reporting**
 18 **now on a quarterly basis, on a monthly basis, and will**
 19 **continue to do that as requested.**
 20 MR. DEIBLER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Braslaw.
 21 DIRECTOR NURU: Okay. So I believe that
 22 concludes cross-examination.
 23 Mr. Baker, you may continue the questioning of
 24 Mr. Braslaw.
 25 FURTHER DIRECT EXAMINATION OF MR. BRASLAW

Page 689

1 MR. BAKER: Let's start with a new exhibit,
 2 which will be Exhibit 79 I believe?
 3 MR. OWEN: We'll mark the document as Exhibit
 4 79 and receive it into evidence. It's a single sheet
 5 with the tag line "Residential Development Pipeline
 6 December 2012."
 7 (The document referred to was
 8 marked and received into evidence
 9 as Exhibit No. 79.)
 10 BY MR. BAKER:
 11 Q. Mr. Braslaw, am I right that this
 12 particular document was prepared by Recology to address
 13 the portion of the staff report that talks about the
 14 number of new apartment customers that are estimated to
 15 be coming online over the next year or so?
 16 **A. That's correct.**
 17 Q. And the staff report has provided a
 18 document which has been marked today as Exhibit 67 which
 19 reflects a projection of 2,847 new units coming online
 20 during the next rate year. And the staff report
 21 proposed adjustment to projected revenue based on that,
 22 correct?
 23 **A. That is correct.**
 24 Q. And did you and Recology take a look at
 25 the City's analysis on that point?

Page 690

1 **A. We did.**
 2 Q. And Exhibit 79 reflects your work?
 3 **A. That's correct.**
 4 Q. So can you walk us through Exhibit 79 and
 5 tell us what you did?
 6 **A. Yes. First, we looked at the number of**
 7 **units that were included in the schedule that's part of**
 8 **Exhibit 67. We identified four of those customers whose**
 9 **buildings are already online. So those customers**
 10 **already would be part of the revenue that we presented**
 11 **in our rate application. The first two customers on the**
 12 **list in Exhibit 79 are apartment customers. You can see**
 13 **on the far right that they came online on August 1st and**
 14 **November 25th of 2012. Again, some portion of their**
 15 **revenue is already in the company projections of revenue**
 16 **in the application.**
 17 **Likewise, the next two customers are in the**
 18 **same situation. They are, in fact, commercial**
 19 **customers. But they also did come online earlier and**
 20 **their revenues are included in the revenue projections.**
 21 **The next two customers, 1401 Market and 1169**
 22 **Market, those are actually commercial customers. So**
 23 **what the company did is identify those customers, that**
 24 **those units that were either online or were commercial**
 25 **customers, and then adjusted 2,847 to come up with a**

Page 691

1 number of apartment units that are going online based on
2 the schedule that was included in the Exhibit 67.

3 Q. That number you came up was how much?

4 A. 900. And so you can see the math. We
5 started with the 2,847, adjusted it for existing
6 apartment customers, then adjusted it for customers that
7 we had identified as commercial. And that left 900
8 additional units.

9 Q. And the existing apartment customers and
10 then the commercial are denoted by the letters "A" and
11 "C" that appear at the top toward the right?

12 A. That's correct.

13 Q. So now we have 900 additional apartments
14 units. What did you do after that?

15 A. After that we went through and calculated
16 the cost of minimum service for those additional units
17 to determine what additional revenue would be generated.
18 So the 900 units -- using 16-gallon minimum service for
19 each of those units, we calculated that we would have an
20 additional 14,400 gallons per month. Then dividing that
21 by 32 gallons, which is the size of the base unit that
22 we use, to determine the costs, we came up with 450
23 32-gallon bins. So that 450 bins would be
24 representative of the 900 units. Each unit has 16
25 gallons.

1 Q. And that's the way analysis was done in
2 F-1? It began with the base revenue based upon current
3 rates?

4 A. That's correct. Schedule F-1 shows the
5 apartment revenue for the last 12 months. So the
6 revenue that we used as that base was the revenue from
7 the last 12 months. In fact, there's one more
8 adjustment on the schedule below the 150,000. And that
9 is to account for the fact that the apartments that came
10 online during the year weren't in the revenue base for
11 the full year. So in order to make sure that we
12 accounted for that revenue that wasn't picked up, we
13 calculated the number of months -- essentially the
14 number of months -- that were missing and added that
15 back in to come up to \$190,633. That again accounted
16 for the fact that the apartments came on during the year
17 that we used as the base.

18 Q. So to summarize, is it fair to say you
19 take staff's point on this, that is you are
20 acknowledging that they have raised a good point, but
21 you think the adjustment based on that point should be
22 \$190,633 rather than the higher number they had
23 calculated based upon a higher number of units?

24 A. That's correct. The staff in Exhibit 67
25 identified certain buildings and projects that were

1 Q. Then you multiply that times the price of
2 \$27.91?

3 A. That's correct. That's the current rate
4 today. We calculated the additional revenue that would
5 be generated by these new units under the existing
6 structure and then ultimately adjusted it to the base
7 for which the rate increase would be applied. So at
8 27.91, we came up with an additional monthly revenue of
9 12,560 and then annualize that number. The resulting
10 annual revenue is \$150,714.

11 Q. So in doing this analysis, why did you use
12 the current rates as opposed to the projected new rates?

13 A. Because the projected new rates, it's
14 unclear what each customer would be subject to in terms
15 of the structure and because the adjustment we made we
16 made into the base revenue, all of the customers -- all
17 of the new customers -- would in fact be subject to the
18 21.51 percent or whatever ultimately the rate increase
19 percentage comes to. So that way we apply the 21.51 by
20 increasing the base and then assume that would be
21 increased by the rate increase percentage.

22 Q. So increasing the base, as you said, by
23 \$150,714, are you making reference to one of the
24 schedules in the rate application?

25 A. Correct. That would be Schedule F-1.

1 under construction. And so we felt it was reasonable to
2 assume that that would be coming.

3 MR. BAKER: All right. Let's move now to
4 another exhibit.

5 MR. OWEN: We'll mark this document as Exhibit
6 80 and receive it into evidence. It's a single sheet
7 with the tag line "Apartment Revenue Analysis Impacts of
8 Apartment Cap."

9 (The document referred to was
10 marked and received into evidence
11 as Exhibit No. 80.)

12 MR. BAKER: I think there's actually another
13 page or so that goes with this that I maybe have
14 neglected to copy. I may have to bring that back on
15 Wednesday.

16 Q. But can you just tell us generally what
17 this Exhibit 80 is.

18 A. Exhibit 80 is an analysis of the apartment
19 rate cap. It uses Exhibit 64 as a base and looks at how
20 the calculation that the company provided, the impact of
21 removing the caps and then what was provided as part of
22 the staff report.

23 Q. You said Exhibit 64, but I think you meant
24 54, didn't you?

25 A. Yes, that is correct. Exhibit 54.

1 MR. LEGG: Mr. Baker, do you have a single
 2 copy of the additional page with you, because we could
 3 certainly go have a copy made right now so we would have
 4 a complete exhibit.
 5 MR. BAKER: It turns out I do have copies.
 6 All right.
 7 I offer Exhibit 81, which is a three-page
 8 exhibit, Mr. Owen.
 9 MR. LEGG: Are these three separate exhibits?
 10 MR. BAKER: We're offering them as one
 11 exhibit. They don't all go to the point that we are
 12 just about to talk about, but they are related. So let
 13 me put all three pages in now. And I think for
 14 convenience, if you can each mark your pages 1, 2, or 3,
 15 because we'll refer to each of them separately, but put
 16 them in as one exhibit, Exhibit 81.
 17 MR. OWEN: The document that you just gave us,
 18 that was "Impact Revenue Analysis Impacts of Apartment
 19 Cap," that was 80. Do you wish these next two sheets to
 20 be a separate exhibit?
 21 MR. BAKER: Yes.
 22 MR. OWEN: These two sheets will be Exhibit
 23 81.
 24 MR. BAKER: That's true, but one sheet has
 25 writing on the back side, which is the reason I said

Page 696

1 three. But they are two sheets of paper and will be
 2 Exhibit 81.
 3 MR. OWEN: Very good.
 4 (The document referred to was
 5 marked and received into evidence
 6 as Exhibit 81.)
 7 MR. BAKER: Just so the record is clear,
 8 Exhibit 81 consists of three charts. One is called
 9 "Impact of Increased Apartment Units." The second one
 10 is called "Impact of Decreased Apartment Migration to
 11 Recycling and Composting." The third is called "Summary
 12 of Tonnage Changes."
 13 Q. So, Mr. Braslaw, does one or more of these
 14 pages relate to what you were just talking about?
 15 A. It does. The first page of Exhibit 81
 16 shows the impact on tonnage of the increased number of
 17 apartment units. So as part of the analysis that the
 18 company did, assuming that an increased number of
 19 apartment units would be coming online, that there will
 20 be some additional tonnage that was generated as a part
 21 of that additional activity.
 22 Q. So this picks up the numbers that we are
 23 looking at previously in Exhibit 79?
 24 A. That's correct. That's 900 units; and
 25 that's additional tonnage that they would generate based

Page 697

1 on the minimum levels of service for both trash,
 2 recycling, and composting.
 3 Q. Now, Exhibit 80 deals with the apartment
 4 caps, correct?
 5 A. Correct.
 6 Q. So how does the analysis that is reflected
 7 on the first page of Exhibit 1 [sic] tie into your
 8 analysis of caps?
 9 A. Actually, it does not tie into the caps.
 10 It really only ties into -- page 1 ties into the
 11 additional revenue that would be generated by the
 12 growth. So the growth, in addition to generating
 13 revenue, will also generate additional tonnage.
 14 Q. Go ahead. Since I'm not a hundred-percent
 15 sure where I'm going here, I'll just say what else do
 16 you want to say about this?
 17 A. Thank you. The second page of Exhibit 81
 18 is the impact of the change that the staff report
 19 proposed in apartment migration. The staff report
 20 proposed that there would be a decreased amount of
 21 migration from what the companies had included in the
 22 application. And so the companies did an analysis by
 23 changing that amount of migration, what would it do to
 24 the overall tonnage in the three categories, assuming
 25 that the migration that was anticipated was a movement

Page 698

1 from trash to recycling and composting. If you've got
 2 lesser movement from trash to recycling, then you've got
 3 more trash tons and less recycling tons. So, again,
 4 Exhibit 2 is an estimate of the impact of making that
 5 proposal. To take a step back, the companies recognize
 6 that it's very difficult to project and anticipate how
 7 much migration will exist. We strongly believe that
 8 implementing the new apartment structure will elicit
 9 changes in behavior and will move people to migrate.
 10 But we also agree that it's difficult to determine
 11 exactly how much it was. Our original proposal was
 12 based on earlier experience in the commercial area. But
 13 we are not quite sure what that number is. We agree
 14 with the staff's recommended adjustment that we use
 15 1.4 percent as a reasonable basis for migration. Again,
 16 we don't know what that number is. But it certainly
 17 will have an impact on the amount of tons that are
 18 generated in the various categories. And that's what
 19 page two of Exhibit 81 is intended to show.
 20 Q. I just wanted to clarify that. You
 21 referred to this page at one point as Exhibit 2, but you
 22 meant page 2 of Exhibit 81?
 23 A. Yes, that's correct.
 24 Q. What is this third page of Exhibit 81?
 25 A. The third page is a summary of the two.

Page 699

1 **So the third page is net impact of changes to all three**
2 **streams as a result of anticipated growth and the**
3 **proposed change in the level of migration.**

4 Q. So staff proposed 1.4 percent as a more
5 appropriate migration projection. As I understand it,
6 Recology is accepting that modification to its
7 application and in this exhibit is illustrating how that
8 will play out in terms of tonnages?

9 **A. That's correct.**

10 Q. Then moving back to Exhibit 80, what is
11 Exhibit 80's purpose?

12 **A. Again, Exhibit 80 is an analysis of the**
13 **apartment caps and impact of changes on the cap,**
14 **starting with Exhibit 54, and then doing some**
15 **calculations associated with that. Kind of the basic**
16 **premise is that the number that's included in Exhibit 80**
17 **will change as the level of the rate increase changes.**
18 **So the 4.571 million, the number that the companies have**
19 **submitted as the amount of potential additional revenue**
20 **under the cap, would be less if there was a lower rate**
21 **increase. So moving the rate increase from**
22 **21.51 percent under the column that says "Staff Report"**
23 **to 19.14 percent in the revised column, there's**
24 **3,822,924. That's the amount of additional revenue that**
25 **could be generated under the cap from a rate increase of**

1 **would be available with the removal of the caps. So if**
2 **it's 19.14 percent, it would generate on a gross basis a**
3 **potential of 3,824,000. If it's 21.51 we estimate it**
4 **would generate 4,571,055. So ultimately the**
5 **adjustment -- the companies would propose that the final**
6 **adjustment would be predicated on whatever the final**
7 **increase -- approved increase percentage -- would be and**
8 **the cap adjustment would have to be calculated at the**
9 **time that we determine what that increase would be.**

10 Q. So the staff report proposed that this
11 adjustment be made to future COLA adjustments, correct?

12 **A. That is correct.**

13 Q. And the company is saying we can live with
14 that?

15 **A. The company will agree to that. We**
16 **recognize that this is revenue that is generated in a**
17 **period outside of the rate application of the one-year**
18 **period; and the COLA adjustment is intended to impact**
19 **that year. This is appropriate to be included at that**
20 **same time.**

21 Q. So you're offering Exhibit 80 as a
22 template, so to speak, that could be used to determine
23 what the future adjustment is, depending upon what the
24 final rate increase that is decided upon? And you're
25 taking the City's methodology and then showing how it

1 **19.14 percent.**

2 Q. Wait a minute. 38 -- where is that again?

3 **A. That's under the revised column. So if**
4 **you look down in the third line in that column, that**
5 **number represents the amount of additional revenue that**
6 **could be generated under the cap and a rate increase of**
7 **19.14 percent.**

8 Q. But you read number that I think might be
9 different than the number on my page. And that's why
10 I'm confused. What's the number again?

11 **A. 3,822,924.**

12 MR. BAKER: Is that what you have, Mr. Legg?

13 MR. LEGG: No. We have 3,824,157 on our --
14 it's within 2,000.

15 MR. BRASLAW: Now I have the right number.
16 Let me say that again. 3,824,157.

17 MR. BAKER: So I just want to make sure that
18 we've distributed the correct exhibit.

19 MR. BRASLAW: You did.

20 MR. BAKER: Thank you.

21 Q. So continue with your description of what
22 the document shows.

23 **A. So what we have done is first wanted to**
24 **illustrate the point that, whatever the final increase**
25 **is, will have an impact on the potential revenue that**

1 would be applied. Is that a fair summary?

2 **A. That's correct.**

3 **Now, there's one additional adjustment that we**
4 **made. It's in the revised column. And that's an**
5 **adjustment for migration that's included in the**
6 **application in Rate Year 14. When we did the**
7 **calculation of the impact of removing the caps on**
8 **additional revenue, it's based on the current customers**
9 **and their current service configuration. So if we**
10 **assume that there will be migration of 738,000 in Rate**
11 **Year 2014 and that 738,000 is based on the revised**
12 **1.4-percent migration, then the amount of additional**
13 **revenue available under the caps in those future years**
14 **would be reduced by that amount. We are assuming that**
15 **customers will adjust their service and revenue will go**
16 **down by that amount during Rate Year 2014, so it would**
17 **not be available in Rate Years 2015 or 16.**

18 MR. BAKER: All right. So I have one more
19 exhibit, which will be Exhibit 82?

20 MR. OWEN: We will mark the document as
21 Exhibit 82 and receive it into evidence. The document
22 is a single sheet with the tag line "Management Fee."

23 (The document referred to was
24 marked and received into evidence
25 as Exhibit 82.)

1 DIRECT EXAMINATION
 2 BY MR. BAKER:
 3 Q. What is Exhibit 82?
 4 **A. Exhibit 82 is a proposed adjustment or**
 5 **correction to the corporate fee allocation adjustment**
 6 **that is contained in the staff report.**
 7 Q. And why is that correction necessary?
 8 **A. There were a couple of things in the staff**
 9 **report that -- one, as it moved from the initial report**
 10 **to the final -- weren't picked up as changes. So the**
 11 **company went through -- in looking at the analysis --**
 12 **went through and made a couple of corrections. I'll run**
 13 **through them.**
 14 **If you look at the column entitled "Staff**
 15 **Report," the adjustments that were included based on the**
 16 **report from Calvin Lui -- that is Exhibit 69 -- anyway.**
 17 **So when the staff made the adjustment and**
 18 **recalculated the rate increase percentage based on**
 19 **proposed adjustments, even though we had analyzed and**
 20 **agreed that the reduction in the fee at that point was**
 21 **36,905, in fact, the actual number that got picked up**
 22 **for Sunset and Golden Gate was the original 127,484,**
 23 **which was before allocation to other Recology companies.**
 24 **So there were some adjustments between the**
 25 **initial report that Calvin Lui produced. He requested**

Page 704

1 some additional information and then revised his final
 2 report, the final staff analysis -- actually, the staff
 3 report talks about an adjustment of 36,905, but the
 4 calculation included 127,484 for Sunset and Golden Gate.
 5 So the companies have put in this exhibit a revised
 6 column that adjustment is reflected. So the first thing
 7 is that the actual allocation percentage to the San
 8 Francisco companies went up slightly in the final
 9 analysis and the final report submitted by Mr. Lui. So
 10 the actual percentage is 40.1 percent in Table 1 in his
 11 report and the adjustment based on the \$98,151 of
 12 adjustment that he identified should be \$39,329. So
 13 that's the total adjustment coming out of his report.
 14 The two numbers that you see 82.25 and 17.75 those are
 15 the percentages that are allocated to Recology, sunset
 16 scavenger and Recology Golden Gate and Recology San
 17 Francisco. So that's a way to allocate the adjustment
 18 between those two companies; and then the resulting
 19 product is shown below. The adjustment for sunset and
 20 Golden Gate should be \$32,348 and the adjustment to
 21 Recology San Francisco should be \$6,981.
 22 Q. Thank you. I think you weren't sure about
 23 Mr. Lui's analysis and you thought it might be Exhibit
 24 69, but it's Exhibit 70, I think.
 25 All right. Moving on to a couple of other

Page 705

1 subjects.
 2 We asked Mr. Drew earlier this morning about
 3 the pay per setout and the amortization period of three
 4 years versus seven years. Can you tell us what the
 5 company's position is on that proposal by the staff.
 6 **A. The company disagrees that that seven-year**
 7 **amortization would be appropriate. Much of the cost**
 8 **that's included in -- I believe was in that testimony**
 9 **and cross-examination of Mr. Drew -- those costs**
 10 **included outreach, they include labor costs to install**
 11 **the equipment, to perform the tests. Those are periodic**
 12 **costs that are not subject to capitalization. They are**
 13 **recognized for accounting purposes in the period that**
 14 **they're incurred and the companies proposed to amortize**
 15 **them over the three-year period. Again, because that's**
 16 **the assumption of the period that the rate will last in**
 17 **a normal case and from an accounting standpoint they'll**
 18 **be recognized in Year 1. So the company does not**
 19 **believe it's appropriate to amortize those over a longer**
 20 **period of time.**
 21 Q. Why then did the company propose three
 22 years? Why didn't the company just propose a one-year
 23 to make the amortization comport with the accounting
 24 treatment?
 25 **A. Because the assumption -- there's an**

Page 706

1 assumption that the rate will last for three years, that
 2 the companies recognize that it wouldn't be reasonable
 3 to request reimbursement of the entire cost during the
 4 rate year and have that same reimbursement carry over to
 5 Years 2 and 3. So the companies proposed a three-year
 6 amortization for those costs.
 7 Q. So then let's talk for a minute about the
 8 subject that we discussed with Mr. Legg earlier, namely
 9 the abandoned materials program. Is the company keen on
 10 some sort of penalty provision?
 11 **A. We're not real keen on that. I think the**
 12 **concern is that there's lot of uncertainty. I think**
 13 **that there is some -- certainly, there's some debate,**
 14 **but in our experience that picking up material more**
 15 **quickly -- essentially having more coverage rather than**
 16 **reducing the amount of material that's out there, in**
 17 **fact, increases it, because unfortunately it encourages**
 18 **some people, I assume those that would be inclined to**
 19 **throw the material out in the streets to do that. I**
 20 **guess they are happy that the streets are getting**
 21 **cleaned up. So it's really the uncertainty of the**
 22 **program that kind of creates the concern on the part of**
 23 **the companies. We set performance goals and we'll**
 24 **certainly endeavor to meet those goals.**
 25 **I think you asked the question about the**

Page 707

1 **company's reputation. And that's extremely important to**
2 **us. The company and Recology recognizes our partnership**
3 **with the City, our responsibility. And I believe that**
4 **we have demonstrated in the past we'll put resources in**
5 **place to address the problem, even though unfortunately**
6 **at times they're in excess of the amounts that are**
7 **included in the rates. But that's a commitment that the**
8 **companies make to fulfill our obligations and to help**
9 **keep the city clean.**

10 MR. BAKER: Thank you. That's all I have.

11 DIRECTOR NURU: Any cross-examination?

12 MR. LEGG: I think staff want to reserve
13 cross-examination for Wednesday.

14 I do have a clarifying question about Exhibit
15 81, which is the three-page two-sheet exhibit concerning
16 impact on tonnage. In introducing this, are the
17 companies proposing that we would be making adjustments
18 to collection processing and/or disposal expenses in the
19 rate application to account for these additional funds?
20 And have you done those calculations? Will you have
21 those?

22 MR. BRASLAW: We've done some kind of
23 preliminary work, haven't finalized the calculations.
24 We don't anticipate that there's any really significant
25 impact. There's some cost impact, primarily at the

1 in Exhibit 80?

2 MR. BRASLAW: We actually have not calculated
3 tonnages in Rate Years 15 and 16. Because they're
4 outside of the rate year for which the application is
5 focused on we haven't included those tonnages. So we
6 haven't determined what impacts those additional changes
7 and the additional migration might have.

8 MR. LEGG: Okay. Thank you. Do other staff
9 have any clarifying questions before we -- all right.

10 David Assmann is here to introduce the
11 reserved Exhibit No. 74. So I would like to, Mr. Nuru,
12 if it's okay, have David introduce that at this point.

13 DIRECTOR NURU: Please proceed, Mr. Assmann.

14 MR. ASSMANN: Thank you. Those are
15 independent accountant reports. We audit the impound
16 account every year. And these are the reports from 2008
17 through 2011 which we would like to introduce as Exhibit
18 74.

19 (The document referred to was
20 marked and received into evidence
21 as Exhibit 74.)

22 THE COURT: Okay. I think at this time we
23 will go to public comment. Could everyone wishing to
24 speak please give me a show of hands so can I allow time
25 for every one to speak. One person. Okay. I'll allow

1 processing side. It's on the margin in terms of impact
2 on collection and the companies are not proposing that
3 we increase collection costs associated with the
4 changes. So we can complete the analysis, but we don't
5 anticipate there's changes in terms of our cost
6 structure.

7 We do however, because there's additional
8 tonnage, believe that it would be appropriate to adjust
9 the incentives accordingly to reflect final tonnage
10 that's included in the rate order.

11 MR. LEGG: Okay. And going forward, since
12 there is still -- I'm now referring to Exhibit 80 where
13 you accepted staff's methodology but revised our
14 calculations based on a new rate increase, you've
15 provided us with a template essentially. But here
16 you're -- I guess I want to back up.

17 When the companies submitted their application
18 and included a cap that would be lifted gradually in
19 Years 1 and 2, the theory was that you would not achieve
20 that additional revenue in Rate Year 15 and Rate Year 16
21 because the companies would make -- apartment customers
22 would make adjustments to service such that you would
23 not realize those revenues. Have you made adjustments
24 for tonnage in Rate Year 15 and Rate Year 16 based on
25 the assumptions on apartment revenue that you're showing

1 five minutes per person for public comment. Since this
2 is public comment only, you do not need to be sworn in
3 unless you also intend to present material you would
4 like placed into the record. If that is the case, I'll
5 have the clerk swear you in. Also, when you come
6 forward, please state your name so that the court
7 reporter can enter it into the record.

8 Thank you. And let's proceed with our
9 speaker.

10 MS. WUERFEL: Good afternoon. My name is
11 Nancy Wuerfel.

12 I want to thank staff for once again doing a
13 very comprehensive job in getting a lot of detail about
14 this rate application. The staff report is always, I
15 think, extremely instructive. It's not always as
16 complete as I'd like it, but I do give credit where
17 credit is due.

18 I want to make a couple of comments on the
19 comments that are in the end of report that have to do
20 with a couple of things that aren't addressed.

21 The first thing that wasn't mentioned is the
22 existence and continuance of having a ratepayer
23 advocate. I put that in my request and comment, and so
24 I want to make sure that's included. And that is a
25 comment. And I want to make sure that everyone here

1 today understands how vital it is.
 2 Sometimes the information that is visible on a
 3 Website or the number of phone calls does not represent
 4 the ripple effect that a ratepayer effect does have in
 5 the community. As you know, you've seen me. You're not
 6 seeing other people, but it doesn't mean that I'm a
 7 quiet person. I am getting word out, to the best of my
 8 ability. And thank you for smiling. But the point is
 9 that I really think that these hearings need to have a
 10 lot more broad-based contact; and that is going to be
 11 essential to have a ratepayer advocate in the future to
 12 make sure that when people do want to become
 13 participants that they have someone to go to. So I just
 14 want to make that real clear. And I want to thank the
 15 Ratepayer Advocate for doing a spectacular job.
 16 Also, I'd like to make just a couple of brief
 17 comments today. I'll be back on Wednesday with more. I
 18 want to make sure that you understand one of my other
 19 comments was to tell us in the taxpayer audience, not
 20 just the ratepayer audience, what DPW is going to do
 21 with the money, over three million dollars that you're
 22 going to be saving when the abandoned waste is
 23 transferred directly to the costs of Recology. It's not
 24 acceptable to me to hear that you're going to redeploy
 25 those dollars to other good things. I trust you to do

1 gotten a full accounting of what happens to that money.
 2 There's a mixed message in the responses about, well,
 3 gee whiz, when stealing happens, it's only maybe one
 4 percent of the rates. And then later on it's talked
 5 about that the recycling really is part of offsetting
 6 the rates. That's a very mixed message. And we've got
 7 to get it really clear.
 8 People -- I can't tell you how mad they are.
 9 It's something visceral. Whether it's economic or not,
 10 it's just visceral. People don't like it. And you're
 11 going to have a backlash on these things that you can
 12 avoid. So I'm just suggesting right here and now that
 13 you start dealing with how this is going to be done.
 14 And to suggest that it's the judges and the juries -- I
 15 don't know. That doesn't solve the problem. I want
 16 people to get buy-in to zero waste. So you're going to
 17 have to be very believable about making sure that zero
 18 waste means that the revenue is contributing towards
 19 offsetting the costs.
 20 Again, I want to thank everybody for the
 21 amount of energy that's been put into trying to clarify
 22 some of the very esoteric rate-saving money that you can
 23 find.
 24 And I'll tie up my final comments on
 25 Wednesday. Thank you for your time.

1 that. I just want to know exactly where they're going.
 2 because if you don't tell me where they're going, I
 3 won't be able to go down to the Board of Supervisors and
 4 decide whether your decision is my decision.
 5 So since we do have a Board of Supervisors
 6 budget process, I'm going to be real, real clear that if
 7 you recycle these dollars into something that I don't
 8 think is appropriate that my ratepayer money is taxing,
 9 I might want to suggest that you don't have those
 10 dollars, that maybe the health department gets them or
 11 maybe rec and park gets them. You understand exactly
 12 what I am saying.
 13 Let's be real clear that you are going to make
 14 me feel good about freeing up these bucks and tell me
 15 why. And, again, I'm going to put in a plug for street
 16 trees. They are your charge. I don't think the public
 17 is happy at all with getting street tree maintenance
 18 back on them. If you tell us you're going to start
 19 doing street trees, believe me, there will be roses on
 20 your desks not thorns. So let's hear from you where
 21 you're going to put these dollars.
 22 Also, I want to make sure that the recycling
 23 revenue is really clear, that people are going to be
 24 buying into the zero waste issues that are going to come
 25 before them when they feel really good that we have

1 DIRECTOR NURU: Thank you.
 2 If there's no other speaker, I will close
 3 public comment. And no other speaker? Okay.
 4 At this time I would like to continue the
 5 hearing till Wednesday, May 22nd, at 1:00 p.m. in Room
 6 400. We will pick up the agenda items listed for that
 7 day.
 8 And thank you for participating in these
 9 proceedings. We are now adjourned.
 10 (The hearing session was concluded
 11 at 12:41 p.m.)
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, FREDDIE REPPOND, a duly authorized Shorthand Reporter and licensed Notary Public, do hereby certify that on the date indicated herein that the above proceedings were taken down by me in stenotype and thereafter transcribed into typewriting and that this transcript is a true record of the said proceedings.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand on this 17th day of June, 2013.


FREDDIE REPPOND



<p>A</p> <p>à (1) 617:17</p> <p>abandoned (17) 607:7,21,25 608:5,19,24 609:9,14 610:1 614:16 615:12 616:6 628:23 629:1 635:18 707:9 712:22</p> <p>ability (2) 616:6 712:8</p> <p>able (12) 612:21 620:11 627:22 645:19 657:24 661:5 666:12 679:9,17 681:1 686:9 713:3</p> <p>accept (1) 600:11</p> <p>acceptable (1) 712:24</p> <p>acceptance (1) 651:17</p> <p>accepted (4) 651:11,25 675:16 709:13</p> <p>accepting (2) 679:16 700:6</p> <p>accessible (1) 675:23</p> <p>accessing (1) 675:4</p> <p>accommodate (2) 670:25 675:9</p> <p>account (12) 595:15 644:7,21 644:22,24 645:2 662:25 663:1 664:24 694:9 708:19 710:16</p> <p>accountant (1) 710:15</p> <p>accounted (3) 625:9 694:12 694:15</p> <p>accounting (6) 621:21 625:10 706:13,17,23 714:1</p> <p>accurate (3) 629:24 635:9 641:1</p> <p>accurately (1) 643:13</p> <p>achievable (1) 671:24</p> <p>achieve (4) 632:10 633:3 639:2 709:19</p> <p>achieved (2) 615:2 635:3</p> <p>achieving (1) 614:23</p> <p>acidity (1) 658:13</p> <p>acknowledging (1) 694:20</p> <p>acquired (1) 649:13</p> <p>act (2) 598:7,18</p> <p>activity (2) 613:18 697:21</p> <p>actual (6) 632:17,18 643:14 704:21 705:7,10</p> <p>add (2) 630:11 675:8</p> <p>added (4) 634:13 653:8 675:8 694:14</p> <p>adding (3) 635:13,17,18</p> <p>addition (2) 635:20 698:12</p> <p>additional (53) 605:14 606:13 619:16,20 620:10,21 630:15 632:12 633:25 634:18 635:2,21 636:4,5,18 655:25,25 656:5,9 657:12 657:23 658:16,24 667:13 682:15 683:6,7,8 692:8,13 692:16,17,20 693:4,8 696:2 697:20,21,25 698:11,13 700:19,24 701:5 703:3,8,12 705:1 708:19 709:7,20 710:6,7</p> <p>address (4) 606:23 657:5 690:12 708:5</p> <p>addressed (3) 615:21 646:19 711:20</p> <p>adequate (3) 617:2 619:21 620:3</p>	<p>adjourned (1) 715:9</p> <p>adjust (5) 605:2 681:12,12 703:15 709:8</p> <p>adjusted (4) 691:25 692:5,6 693:6</p> <p>adjustment (35) 601:18 604:3 604:14 617:15 630:9,17 632:2 643:6,10,11,13 690:21 693:15 694:8,21 699:14 702:5,6,8,11,18,23 703:3,5 704:4,5,17 705:3,6 705:11,12,13,17,19,20</p> <p>adjustments (13) 603:19 604:1,21,25 606:4 612:19 702:11 704:15,19,24 708:17 709:22,23</p> <p>administration (1) 646:6</p> <p>administratively (1) 646:10</p> <p>admitted (1) 628:20</p> <p>advocate (13) 593:16 595:18 599:13,16 606:12 626:19 628:9 685:13,14 688:1 711:23 712:11,15</p> <p>advocates (1) 606:14</p> <p>aerate (1) 658:10</p> <p>aeration (2) 659:10 672:9</p> <p>affect (1) 666:8</p> <p>afternoon (1) 711:10</p> <p>age (2) 598:22 635:20</p> <p>agenda (3) 597:8 661:4 715:6</p> <p>aggregate (2) 678:18 679:2</p> <p>ago (2) 615:24 677:6</p> <p>agree (6) 624:7,18 625:4 699:10,13 702:15</p> <p>agreed (3) 611:16 621:12 704:20</p> <p>agreedupon (3) 595:9,14 601:22</p> <p>agreement (3) 605:20 615:6 638:23</p> <p>ahead (4) 619:12 645:15 688:16 698:14</p> <p>air (1) 670:22</p> <p>alec (2) 594:11 636:7</p> <p>allersma (1) 595:6</p> <p>allocate (1) 705:17</p> <p>allocated (1) 705:15</p> <p>allocating (1) 667:17</p> <p>allocation (4) 602:1 704:5,23 705:7</p> <p>allow (7) 613:10 627:4 629:3 647:5 671:6 710:24,25</p> <p>allowed (2) 612:22 630:8</p> <p>allows (3) 630:11 657:25 666:10</p> <p>alterations (1) 604:20</p> <p>amortization (9) 619:6 621:11 625:8 626:2 665:1 706:3,7,23 707:6</p> <p>amortize (2) 706:14,19</p> <p>amount (19) 604:12 632:6 633:13 634:7 657:14 658:2 672:20 678:10 698:20,23 699:17 700:19,24 701:5 703:12,14,16 707:16 714:21</p> <p>amounts (4) 643:10 657:11 665:15 708:6</p> <p>analysis (22) 596:1 610:15</p>	<p>620:11 635:22 636:10 667:6 690:25 693:11 694:1 695:7,18 696:18 697:17 698:6,8,22 700:12 704:11 705:2,9,23 709:4</p> <p>analyze (3) 598:21 620:18 680:4</p> <p>analyzed (1) 704:19</p> <p>analyzing (1) 628:2</p> <p>anecdotal (1) 689:3</p> <p>announcement (2) 598:6,11</p> <p>annual (7) 605:3 632:2 644:11,14 645:1 649:19 693:10</p> <p>annualize (1) 693:9</p> <p>answer (4) 609:12 642:25 680:22 685:21</p> <p>anticipate (6) 605:19 643:1 688:15 699:6 708:24 709:5</p> <p>anticipated (4) 622:2 638:7 698:25 700:2</p> <p>anticipating (1) 605:16</p> <p>anybody (2) 660:22 661:4</p> <p>anymore (2) 625:3 669:25</p> <p>anyway (2) 625:12 704:16</p> <p>apartment (35) 595:7 596:1,1 596:2 601:7,13,14 604:15 608:6 630:23,25 631:14,21 634:2 687:4 690:14 691:12 692:1,6,9 694:5 695:7,8,18 696:18 697:9,10,17,19 698:3,19 699:8 700:13 709:21,25</p> <p>apartments (5) 604:11 631:2 692:13 694:9,16</p> <p>app (1) 616:7</p> <p>appear (1) 692:11</p> <p>appeared (5) 607:14 618:23 640:13 647:15 686:24</p> <p>appliances (1) 608:8</p> <p>application (42) 592:3 595:3 595:18,23 597:11,13,16 602:9,19,21 603:3,4,6,7,7 603:11,13 604:10 605:18 605:19 606:5 611:20 624:24 625:22 630:1,4,12 636:3 662:12,19,24 691:11 691:16 693:24 698:22 700:7 702:17 703:6 708:19 709:17 710:4 711:14</p> <p>applied (5) 616:24 622:16 668:18 693:7 703:1</p> <p>applies (2) 637:2,7</p> <p>apply (7) 617:1 642:8 668:14 671:9 676:21 684:16 693:19</p> <p>applying (5) 595:9,14 601:22 617:12 658:13</p> <p>approach (1) 674:21</p> <p>approaching (1) 646:1</p> <p>appropriate (10) 599:2 602:1 639:17 644:14 700:5 702:19 706:7,19 709:8 713:8</p> <p>appropriating (1) 644:13</p> <p>approved (7) 601:4 605:8,11 631:5 643:23 644:3 702:7</p> <p>april (5) 597:17 602:4,10 616:25 617:20</p>	<p>area (5) 635:22 645:14 674:3 674:5 699:12</p> <p>areas (6) 607:10 608:20 639:16 646:11,17,21</p> <p>arent (3) 614:18 636:5 711:20</p> <p>argument (2) 636:15 641:18</p> <p>arnold (1) 593:19</p> <p>arrive (2) 652:8 669:14</p> <p>arrives (2) 654:5 668:8</p> <p>arriving (1) 630:1</p> <p>aside (2) 663:14 683:12</p> <p>asked (5) 629:14 661:21 682:11 706:2 707:25 682:12 686:14</p> <p>asserting (2) 633:10,11</p> <p>assigned (1) 609:4</p> <p>assistance (1) 598:21</p> <p>assisted (1) 598:19</p> <p>assisting (1) 608:3</p> <p>assmann (4) 593:9 710:10,13 710:14</p> <p>associate (2) 680:5,25</p> <p>associated (9) 620:5 656:6 663:15 669:22 671:3 672:18 674:16 700:15 709:3</p> <p>associates (1) 678:14</p> <p>assume (7) 612:4 621:12 688:20 693:20 695:2 703:10 707:18</p> <p>assumed (1) 631:5</p> <p>assuming (5) 605:18 623:10 697:18 698:24 703:14</p> <p>assumption (4) 625:20 706:16,25 707:1</p> <p>assumptions (2) 604:15 709:25</p> <p>attached (1) 601:2</p> <p>attempts (1) 653:23</p> <p>attendance (1) 598:15</p> <p>attention (2) 619:11 649:5</p> <p>attitude (1) 618:15</p> <p>attorney (2) 593:11,12</p> <p>attract (1) 681:1</p> <p>attracts (1) 657:18</p> <p>audience (3) 598:4 712:19,20</p> <p>audit (1) 710:15</p> <p>audited (1) 603:15</p> <p>auditors (3) 595:9,14 601:21</p> <p>august (1) 691:13</p> <p>auspices (1) 600:22</p> <p>authority (2) 649:8,11</p> <p>authorized (1) 716:3</p> <p>available (10) 597:20 599:21 603:23 644:6 645:18 658:4 689:16 702:1 703:13,17</p> <p>average (3) 603:10,10 631:3</p> <p>averaging (1) 640:25</p> <p>avoid (2) 657:5 714:12</p> <p>awfully (1) 659:23</p>	<p>694:15 695:14 696:25 699:5 700:10 709:16 712:17 713:18</p> <p>backlash (1) 714:11</p> <p>bag (1) 651:23</p> <p>bagging (1) 652:3</p> <p>bags (9) 654:5 675:15 681:23 681:23,24 684:5,6,6,11</p> <p>baker (50) 593:18 594:6,8,12 594:17 606:21 607:1,17,19 617:23 618:20 619:2 626:5 626:7 647:7,10,18 659:25 660:7,11,17,20 661:7,19,23 662:1,10 663:22 682:5,6,9 684:17 686:21 689:23 690:1,10 695:3,12 696:1,5 696:10,21,24 697:7 701:12 701:17,20 703:18 704:2 708:10</p> <p>balance (2) 631:12 667:16</p> <p>balanced (1) 631:15</p> <p>balances (2) 644:6 645:1</p> <p>bands (1) 610:24</p> <p>bargaining (3) 637:10 638:23 639:20</p> <p>base (13) 632:1 633:9,14 692:21 693:6,16,20,22 694:2,6,10,17 695:19</p> <p>based (23) 603:19 605:15 612:8 617:16 633:1,11 641:15 647:21 659:17 690:21 692:1 694:2,21,23 697:25 699:12 703:8,11 704:15,18 705:11 709:14 709:24</p> <p>basic (2) 669:16 700:15</p> <p>basically (4) 608:6 622:18 627:3 689:3</p> <p>basins (1) 670:3</p> <p>basis (16) 605:3 627:1 632:3 633:19 644:14 645:1 665:13 680:5,8,18,24 688:16 689:18,18 699:15 702:2</p> <p>bayside (2) 649:7,11</p> <p>bearing (1) 655:4</p> <p>beatty (2) 645:20,22</p> <p>beatup (1) 623:15</p> <p>began (3) 597:17 602:19 694:2</p> <p>beginning (4) 617:20 669:10 684:14 687:17</p> <p>behavior (1) 699:9</p> <p>believable (1) 714:17</p> <p>believe (36) 607:11 608:7 610:12 611:21 612:8 614:21 615:13,25 616:9,9 616:11 617:11 621:4 622:25 630:25 639:10,18 639:22,23 642:4 643:12 649:23 660:5 663:24 664:18 677:6,10 686:18 689:21 690:2 699:7 706:8 706:19 708:3 709:8 713:19</p> <p>benefit (4) 637:12,16 639:7 663:20</p> <p>benefited (3) 654:22 655:3 681:20</p> <p>benefiting (1) 677:21</p>
---	--	---	--	---

<p>benefits (12) 595:19 620:21 636:21 637:17,19,24 638:12 639:14,18 640:1 646:12 678:3 best (9) 599:2 607:23 632:20 641:14 655:5 659:14 667:3 687:6 712:7 bestmanagement (2) 654:17 663:18 better (5) 624:25 645:22 655:1 681:16 686:10 beyond (2) 611:2 622:9 bid (7) 649:12,14,16 650:18 681:6,8 683:4 bidder (1) 650:18 bidding (1) 681:3 biggest (1) 620:4 bin (1) 688:8 bins (4) 621:7 686:3 692:23 692:23 biofilter (1) 672:9 biofiltration (3) 658:10 659:10 671:2 bit (11) 606:8 607:10,24 612:12 614:18 620:2 640:6 653:7 655:20 672:5 686:12 black (2) 670:11 671:11 blend (1) 657:24 blended (2) 668:10,11 blending (1) 658:12 board (5) 597:15 644:12 670:22 713:3,5 bonnie (3) 593:4 598:10 599:7 bonus (1) 633:10 bother (1) 623:15 bottles (1) 651:8 box (1) 599:5 boxes (6) 599:2 653:2 676:4,6 676:6,7 braslaw (15) 594:16 661:8 685:18 686:21,23 687:1 689:20,24,25 690:11 697:13 701:15,19 708:22 710:2 break (5) 660:25 661:2,12,14 661:20 breakdown (3) 641:3 649:1,4 brief (3) 618:20 660:25 712:16 briefly (3) 599:8 637:23 638:6 bring (2) 624:5 695:14 bringing (4) 639:3 640:22,24 673:5 brisbane (1) 642:19 broadbased (1) 712:10 broader (1) 665:13 bruen (10) 593:13 594:13 664:2,4 677:4 682:2,11 683:18 684:20 685:11 brugmann (3) 593:4 598:10 598:12 bucks (1) 713:14 budget (4) 643:15,23 644:20 713:6 budgeted (1) 644:17 bugs (1) 617:13 buildings (3) 601:3 691:9 694:25</p>	<p>bulk (1) 654:15 business (3) 599:9 655:24 656:16 buyin (1) 714:16 buying (2) 621:23 713:24</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">C</p> <hr/> <p>c (3) 593:1 597:2 692:11 ca (5) 592:7 593:6,10,14,20 calculate (1) 665:9 calculated (7) 692:15,19 693:4 694:13,23 702:8 710:2 calculation (4) 595:11 695:20 703:7 705:4 calculations (5) 602:5 700:15 708:20,23 709:14 call (16) 608:4 609:4,5,21 610:20 611:25 613:19 615:1,21,25 630:7 633:19 633:23 634:4,11 678:4 called (4) 637:23 697:8,10,11 calling (1) 608:18 calls (30) 608:4,14,16 609:14 609:17,17 610:1,7,8,19,21 610:22,23,25 611:5 612:4 612:21,23 613:3,10 614:8,9 614:16,17 616:8 623:2 633:25 634:2,10 712:3 calvin (3) 601:22 704:16,25 cant (3) 672:19 688:22 714:8 cap (13) 595:7 596:1 601:11 632:8 695:8,19 696:19 700:13,20,25 701:6 702:8 709:18 capacity (1) 605:17 capital (28) 654:16 656:21 657:5,12,23 658:16,20 659:3 663:2,7,18 664:23,25 665:1 666:25 667:3,13 673:8 674:15,17 676:17 677:19,22 679:8 682:13,25 683:11 685:1 capitalintensive (1) 674:10 capitalization (1) 706:12 caps (13) 601:12,13 631:21 631:24 632:23 695:21 698:4,8,9 700:13 702:1 703:7,13 cards (1) 599:20 carey (1) 610:13 carlton (3) 592:6 593:5,14 carry (2) 674:7 707:4 cart (12) 623:3,5,14,15,21 624:2,3,3,4,4,16,18 carts (9) 622:8,21,21,21,23 623:7,10 624:5 686:2 case (4) 630:18 641:12 706:17 711:4 cases (2) 608:2,9 catching (1) 673:9 categories (3) 648:1 698:24 699:18 categorize (1) 665:13 category (4) 638:9 648:4,17 648:25 cause (2) 614:4 675:24 causes (1) 667:2 cautious (1) 653:5</p>	<p>cec (2) 642:11,14 center (5) 593:20 633:19,23 634:5,11 cents (4) 621:15,16 624:14 664:7 ceqa (2) 595:13 602:9 certain (8) 637:3 646:21 647:12 653:6 656:22 657:4 657:22 694:25 certainly (16) 613:25 624:10 627:15 629:3,9 636:10 649:15 670:22 676:8,10,21 689:16 696:3 699:16 707:13,24 certificate (1) 716:1 certified (3) 654:15 675:17 684:7 certify (1) 716:4 chair (1) 597:14 challenges (1) 688:7 challenging (2) 611:4,6 chance (2) 616:18 619:10 change (11) 612:6 616:2 622:14 625:3 633:23 634:9 634:25 669:25 698:18 700:3,17 changed (1) 672:5 changes (19) 603:21 604:17 605:1,3 606:1 635:4 638:16 639:1 643:1,7 697:12 699:9 700:1,13,17 704:10 709:4,5 710:6 changing (4) 638:18 642:14 673:2 698:23 channels (1) 670:3 charge (3) 663:11 684:23 713:16 charged (4) 641:18 648:18 664:8 685:4 charging (1) 684:22 charts (1) 697:8 check (3) 599:2 671:20 672:3 checking (1) 610:13 choose (1) 606:25 chunk (2) 635:3,6 city (86) 592:5 593:5,11,12,13 595:3,4,6,7,8,9,10,12,13,16 595:19,19 597:7 599:11,17 600:23,25,25 602:8,23 606:19 607:25 608:10,11 608:15,18,23,25 609:13,16 609:24 610:1 611:12,14 613:19,24 615:7,10,17,20 615:20 616:18 618:3 620:6 622:16 625:15,19,24 626:8 626:9,19 630:12,16 636:13 636:17 637:10,17,23 638:10,13,14,21,22,23 639:5,7,17 641:8 643:21 645:17,23 646:13 663:25 664:1,16 667:15 673:16 675:11 689:17 708:3,9 cityowned (2) 645:9,16 citys (7) 605:16 606:24 608:16 638:10,16 690:25 702:25 citywide (3) 622:13 626:1 627:23 civil (2) 598:7,17</p>	<p>clarify (3) 665:6 699:20 714:21 clarifying (2) 708:14 710:9 clarity (1) 651:2 classic (1) 656:12 classification (1) 638:11 clean (3) 676:25 684:16 708:9 cleaned (1) 707:21 cleaner (1) 654:2 cleanest (1) 650:23 cleanliness (2) 651:5,7 clear (7) 603:24 697:7 712:14 713:6,13,23 714:7 clearly (2) 598:2 663:10 clerical (1) 604:18 clerk (2) 598:6 711:5 close (6) 617:23 630:4 659:23 672:1,16 715:2 closed (1) 609:21 closely (1) 629:21 closer (2) 639:3 660:6 cng (6) 604:19 635:1,9,20 642:2,4 cola (10) 595:11 602:6 605:1 612:18 617:15 632:2 642:24 643:6 702:11,18 colas (1) 638:7 colas (6) 598:21 646:14 652:19 658:7 670:3 674:22 collected (3) 598:17 654:6 675:15 collection (20) 597:12,15 604:8,17 630:15 633:16 634:16 635:18,19 638:9 671:3 675:13 686:1,7 688:5 689:1,12 708:18 709:2,3 collective (3) 637:10 638:22 639:20 collector (1) 651:4 column (7) 700:22,23 701:3,4 703:4 704:14 705:6 combination (1) 634:3 combined (3) 595:12 643:21 669:6 come (24) 597:3 598:2 604:13 606:11 615:22 622:2 625:19 626:10 631:24 636:8 640:10 656:15 658:4 661:5 664:24 666:11 669:19 679:2 687:12 691:19,25 694:15 711:5 713:24 comes (8) 615:3 624:24 625:21 668:14 681:23 682:15 683:19 693:19 coming (14) 605:18 614:8 618:16 630:19 641:6 659:18 665:10 667:23 676:10 690:15,19 695:2 697:19 705:13 commend (1) 675:21 commensurate (1) 630:19 comment (10) 599:20 629:11 645:5,7 710:23 711:1,2,23 711:25 715:3 comments (11) 599:24 606:11 606:13,14 629:12 646:3 711:18,19 712:17,19 714:24</p>	<p>commercial (51) 649:24 650:15,22,23 654:23 656:23,24 657:20,24 663:2 663:20 667:2,14 668:2,5,19 668:25 669:1 670:9,13,20 671:4,9,14 672:21 674:25 677:23 679:5,10,11,17 680:6,9 681:1,7,20 682:15 682:23 683:1,4,14,19 684:1 685:5 687:4 691:18,22,24 692:7,10 699:12 commingle (1) 675:2 commingled (8) 667:23 668:3 669:4,7,10,15 683:20,24 commission (1) 642:5 commitment (1) 708:7 communities (2) 598:24 675:20 community (3) 618:1 651:19 712:5 comp (1) 646:12 compactor (5) 652:20,22,24 653:17,21 compans (45) 595:12 599:12,13,15 602:20 603:1 603:1,11 604:15,18 606:18 617:13 630:8 632:9,19 634:11 635:13 636:15 639:24 641:12,16,18 647:5 648:11 655:18 673:5 687:8 698:21,22 699:5 700:18 702:5 704:23 705:5,8,18 706:14 707:2,5,23 708:8,17 709:2,17,21 company (30) 593:17 604:1 606:3 620:17 627:16 631:13 635:3 646:20 649:13 654:13 677:14 678:19 679:22 680:19 686:5,19 687:2 691:15,23 695:20 697:18 702:13,15 704:11 706:6,18,21,22 707:9 708:2 companys (6) 617:17 633:16 634:16 643:14 706:5 708:1 compared (2) 615:24,24 comparing (1) 646:18 comparison (1) 657:20 compelling (3) 636:15 641:12 641:22 compensation (1) 639:11 compete (1) 678:19 competitive (3) 648:16 654:9 679:21 competitor (1) 649:17 competitors (1) 681:4 complete (4) 657:9 696:4 709:4 711:16 completed (2) 599:4 626:8 completely (1) 672:21 complex (1) 645:20 compliance (1) 614:6 complicated (1) 634:9 comply (2) 598:7,17 components (2) 621:4 643:14 comport (1) 706:23 compost (6) 651:2 653:9 654:15 656:18 664:8 671:2 compostable (5) 604:3 675:18</p>
---	--	---	---	--

<p>681:23 684:5,6 composting (11) 631:2 640:8 647:21,25 651:3 657:10 662:20 667:19 697:11 698:2 699:1 compounds (2) 670:24 671:4 comprehensive (1) 711:13 concentration (3) 641:13 668:25 669:3 concept (6) 642:20 645:10 656:13 667:12,13 685:1 concepts (1) 664:22 concern (6) 612:11 618:1 620:4 685:23 707:12,22 concerned (1) 673:16 concerning (2) 598:6 708:15 concerns (4) 613:15 629:5 636:22 657:16 concluded (2) 620:2 715:10 concludes (3) 606:10 682:3 689:22 concrete (1) 658:6 concretepadded (1) 674:4 conditions (1) 665:25 conducting (1) 598:12 configuration (1) 703:9 configure (1) 687:15 confused (1) 701:10 connected (1) 630:14 conservative (1) 620:8 consider (6) 597:23 613:3 617:25 630:16 631:17 641:10 considerable (1) 635:3 consideration (6) 615:10 616:16,22 636:10 665:23 682:18 considered (5) 606:6,7 613:8 613:16 645:12 considering (2) 613:21 632:22 consists (1) 697:8 consolidated (1) 686:3 constraint (1) 688:8 construct (1) 627:15 construction (4) 601:4,5 604:12 695:1 construe (1) 642:22 consultants (3) 602:23,24 606:19 consulting (2) 600:20 601:17 contact (1) 712:10 contained (2) 612:14 704:6 container (3) 652:3 653:22,23 containerized (1) 652:22 containers (1) 651:8 contains (1) 650:9 contaminants (4) 652:5,25 668:4 675:2 contaminated (3) 655:1,3 674:8 contaminates (1) 668:10 contamination (26) 636:12 636:14 651:7 652:12,13 675:5,9,25 677:18 684:3,14 685:16,17,23,24 686:6,15 687:6,13,16 688:4,16 689:1 689:4,6,13 contends (1) 631:13</p>	<p>content (1) 646:8 context (1) 639:4 contingent (7) 605:5,6,7,9,10 605:11 645:6 continuance (1) 711:22 continuation (1) 597:10 continue (11) 599:14 639:25 640:1,2 661:17 671:6 674:20 689:19,23 701:21 715:4 continued (1) 603:14 continuity (1) 628:22 continuum (1) 670:1 contract (11) 601:23 605:16 605:17 649:14,17 678:25 679:22,23 680:3,20 685:2 contrasted (1) 653:15 contributing (2) 639:8 714:18 contribution (2) 638:13 639:9 contributions (3) 638:10,18 646:13 control (6) 636:18 638:21 639:12 658:9 659:9 688:25 controlled (2) 643:24 686:15 controller (3) 664:13,14 678:7 controllers (1) 600:25 controlling (1) 686:6 controls (5) 657:6 669:23,25 671:8 687:24 convenience (1) 696:14 conventions (1) 625:10 convert (1) 654:14 convey (2) 599:23 658:8 conveyance (1) 674:7 cooperation (1) 598:8 copays (1) 638:13 copies (3) 597:19 628:10 696:5 copy (5) 600:15 647:19 695:14 696:2,3 corporate (1) 704:5 correct (53) 608:1,13 610:10 610:12 611:3,17 648:3,7,19 648:20,25 650:17 653:13 653:14,18 654:7 656:14 658:6,19,23 660:12 668:17 668:22 669:5,18 671:15,16 672:11,12,14 677:9 679:25 680:18 683:17 690:16,22 690:23 691:3 692:12 693:3 693:25 694:4,24 695:25 697:24 698:4,5 699:23 700:9 701:18 702:11,12 703:2 correction (3) 660:4 704:5,7 corrections (2) 603:2 704:12 correctly (1) 655:17 cost (35) 602:2 620:5,8,20 621:3,5,10,10,14,23,23,24 623:5 625:14 639:2,13 643:14 655:15 656:6,10 666:11 667:11 670:21 672:16,19 680:13,16,25 683:13 687:24 692:16 706:7 707:3 708:25 709:5 costco (35) 652:16,17,20 653:10,15,16,24 655:7,9,14 665:11 668:19 669:2,12</p>	<p>670:9 671:10 678:16,24 679:6,13,14,20 680:12,13 680:15,17,20 681:7,16 682:12,16,22 683:15 684:2 684:23 costly (1) 618:11 costs (55) 603:14 604:22,25 605:20 621:7,14,17,22 624:14 627:5,6 630:14,15 638:21 639:12 640:2 641:13 654:15,16 655:4,10 655:24 656:4 658:4 659:18 663:1,8,15 664:25 665:8 666:22 667:18,18 669:22 672:18 674:18 677:18 678:14,14 679:8 680:5,8,8 683:10 684:1 686:13 688:25 692:22 706:9,10,12 707:6 709:3 712:23 714:19 counsel (2) 593:13 660:3 counting (1) 617:22 county (6) 597:7 637:18 650:24 651:10 652:7 683:15 couple (12) 618:20 619:4 661:19 673:12 682:6,10 704:8,12 705:25 711:18,20 712:16 course (1) 634:14 court (2) 710:22 711:6 cover (5) 663:7 672:9,19 680:16 689:9 coverage (1) 707:15 covered (1) 610:6 covering (2) 680:8,13 covers (1) 672:16 cpa (1) 601:22 crazy (1) 634:12 create (2) 653:23 657:8 created (1) 655:2 creates (2) 616:7 707:22 creating (1) 675:22 credit (2) 711:16,17 criteria (1) 658:11 crossexamination (18) 597:22 599:11,16 607:16 619:1 626:8,19 640:15 660:22 664:1 684:19 685:13,14 688:1 689:22 706:9 708:11,13 crossexamine (2) 606:19 663:25 curious (2) 636:4 647:1 current (8) 638:7 661:8 679:4 693:3,12 694:2 703:8,9 currently (7) 607:24 608:23 657:2 659:5 670:16 673:8 681:21 customer (12) 633:17 648:14 648:17 650:16 666:4 668:23,24 669:3 676:22 680:25 682:15 693:14 customerbycustomer (1) 680:5 customers (55) 601:7,11 632:23 648:1,4,7,15,25 649:2 651:9 653:11 654:25 659:13,15 663:17 667:19 667:24 670:10 675:11,23</p>	<p>677:1,17,21 679:10 681:12 681:19 683:20,24 685:6,9 686:4 687:4,5,10,14 688:12 690:14 691:8,9,11,12,17,19 691:21,22,23,25 692:6,6,9 693:16,17 703:8,15 709:21 cut (1) 635:7 cutoff (1) 616:25 cycle (1) 657:9</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">D</p> <hr/> <p>d (1) 597:2 dais (1) 606:21 dark (1) 625:16 data (15) 598:21,22 603:15 610:23 626:22,25,25 627:4 627:14 632:19 634:11 646:14 689:15,15,17 database (1) 609:23 date (2) 634:12 716:5 dated (2) 595:5 600:21 dates (1) 642:15 david (3) 593:9 710:10,12 day (6) 599:20 609:10 610:20 617:11 715:7 716:10 deadline (1) 630:3 dealing (1) 714:13 deals (1) 698:3 debatable (1) 613:23 debate (1) 707:13 december (3) 595:25 602:21 690:6 decide (3) 651:23 685:21 713:4 decided (1) 702:24 deciding (1) 613:22 decision (3) 679:21 713:4,4 decisionmaking (1) 598:25 decreased (3) 688:4 697:10 698:20 dedicated (2) 675:1 676:18 deductibles (1) 638:12 definitely (1) 659:4 defray (1) 679:18 degree (2) 646:9 670:16 deibler (48) 593:16 594:7,9 594:10,11,15,16 599:12,24 626:9,11,18,20,24 627:4,10 627:18 628:3,7,22 629:18 630:23 631:20 632:25 633:15 634:6,15 635:8,24 636:20 637:22 638:5 640:4 640:16 642:2,17 643:6,20 645:4,25 647:4 685:15,22 686:5,11,18 688:2 689:20 demand (1) 613:13 demonstrated (1) 708:4 denoted (1) 692:10 department (14) 592:1 593:2 593:7 595:15,17 597:6,19 600:22 601:23 602:7,8 608:12 643:24 713:10 departmental (1) 644:2 departments (3) 601:1 643:21 644:2 depending (2) 612:20 702:23 depends (1) 670:12 depicted (1) 650:7 depth (1) 620:24</p>	<p>deputy (1) 593:12 derived (1) 650:22 describe (5) 599:3 600:12,13 638:6 650:21 described (6) 620:2 659:3 664:6 668:13 671:9 683:5 describes (2) 600:25 619:13 description (4) 595:2 601:16 602:2 701:21 desirable (1) 651:16 desks (1) 713:20 detail (7) 595:7 601:10 603:23 648:11,24 655:20 711:13 detailed (3) 627:19 654:17 655:18 details (1) 664:20 determination (1) 595:13 determine (6) 618:5 692:17 692:22 699:10 702:9,22 determined (3) 645:21 677:11 710:6 detriment (1) 681:14 develop (1) 688:12 developed (4) 664:13,14,20 665:16 developing (3) 613:2 631:5 646:17 development (4) 595:24 601:1 605:25 690:5 devices (3) 615:6,16 616:12 didnt (10) 618:7 631:18 634:11 641:22 669:24 670:7 682:21 684:25 695:24 706:22 difference (2) 675:25 676:1 differences (2) 604:9 637:19 different (27) 610:24 621:1,4 623:19 637:16 639:13,14 652:9,11,19 653:25 657:19 665:4,4,10 674:9,18,19,24 675:7,11,21 676:19 683:19 684:11 686:12 701:9 difficult (7) 675:24 676:12,15 688:6,9 699:6,10 digging (1) 621:19 diligence (1) 636:16 direct (3) 647:17 689:25 704:1 directed (1) 638:17 direction (2) 651:24 652:3 directly (5) 652:2,22 654:18 677:22 712:23 director (33) 593:3 597:3,6 599:7 606:17 607:11 617:25 618:19 619:25 626:7,17 628:5,12,15 640:10 647:4 660:19,24 661:11,16,23 663:24 682:3 682:8 684:19 685:12,20 686:16,19 689:21 708:11 710:13 715:1 directors (4) 592:2 597:10 603:17 606:15 disability (1) 598:22 disagreement (1) 642:13 disagrees (1) 706:6 discount (1) 620:24 discreetly (1) 627:5</p>
---	---	---	--	--

<p>discussed (3) 602:18 687:7 707:8 discussion (2) 627:19 654:20 discussions (1) 605:15 diseconomies (2) 654:21 655:23 diseconomy (1) 641:23 diseconomyofscale (1) 655:19 disincentive (2) 629:3,7 disposal (13) 597:12,15 604:1 605:20 630:16 650:20,25 651:4,10 652:8 662:5,14 708:18 distinct (1) 676:1 distribute (1) 666:11 distributed (8) 598:15 656:4 663:16 665:2 674:19 677:20 678:14 701:18 distribution (5) 601:7 610:7,7 665:1 679:7 ditches (1) 674:7 divided (1) 647:25 dividing (1) 692:20 dmitriew (3) 594:11 636:7,9 document (24) 603:24 628:19 637:25 638:2 642:11,11 648:24 660:14 662:1,3,7 690:3,7,12,18 695:5,9 696:17 697:4 701:22 703:20,21,23 710:19 documenting (1) 642:12 documents (2) 602:13,15 doesnt (8) 627:19 653:19 663:11 676:15 678:4 680:16 712:6 714:15 doing (9) 622:7 631:14 639:12 674:1 693:11 700:14 711:12 712:15 713:19 dollars (6) 657:3 712:21,25 713:7,10,21 dont (50) 600:13 606:21 610:5 614:2 616:2,9,10 617:14 618:4 624:20 625:11 627:12,14 632:16 633:5 637:11,12,14 639:15 641:2 642:12 643:18 644:13,23 645:9 649:21,24 650:15 653:6 655:14 660:20,21 664:18 669:13 673:15 682:1 684:17 685:9 685:20 686:18 696:11 699:16 708:24 709:4 713:2 713:7,9,16 714:10,15 douglas (7) 593:4 594:6 600:1 600:3 607:4,13 646:19 downward (1) 681:13 dpw (13) 597:18 598:6,12,21 598:23 600:2 609:18 613:24 614:2 617:5 638:15 644:19 712:20 dpws (1) 598:6 dr (3) 592:6 593:5,14 draft (6) 602:20,24 603:3,4,6 636:2 drainage (3) 658:7 670:3 671:2 draw (1) 644:18 drew (21) 594:8 607:4,5</p>	<p>618:21,22 619:3 626:23 627:1,7,12,25 628:4 631:8 631:10 633:21,22 634:8,22 642:10 706:2,9 drilling (1) 623:6 driver (3) 609:20 636:10 638:11 drivers (10) 608:19 609:2,3,9 611:10 634:23 637:11 638:15 639:10 687:11 drives (1) 614:13 driving (2) 608:20 667:11 drop (1) 599:4 due (5) 604:12 630:15 633:23 636:15 711:17 duly (1) 716:3 dump (1) 614:1 dumping (3) 609:19 612:9 615:16</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">E</p> <hr/> <p>e (5) 593:1,1 595:10 597:2,2 earlier (21) 602:18 609:25 625:13 631:23 632:8 649:24 650:3 655:16 658:15 663:4 666:24 677:18 681:11 683:10,13 685:8 687:7 691:19 699:12 706:2 707:8 early (2) 620:9 627:25 easier (1) 615:19 economic (2) 681:15 714:9 economies (3) 654:21 655:22 655:23 economiesofscale (1) 655:6 economy (4) 655:2,16 656:2 656:15 economyofscale (1) 656:12 eecs (1) 672:8 ecstype (1) 673:24 education (1) 614:6 educational (1) 686:10 effect (12) 612:17 616:3,4 630:5 634:17 635:8 642:5 643:1 666:12 667:10 712:4 712:4 effective (2) 602:4 630:2 effectively (1) 674:16 efficacy (1) 688:24 efficiency (3) 629:17 633:18 634:4 efficient (1) 620:8 effort (3) 642:7 654:13 672:20 efforts (5) 598:7 614:11 638:21 642:11 688:24 eight (3) 617:21 623:16 684:14 eighthour (2) 612:1,13 either (7) 599:18 601:3 629:14 631:18 663:9 680:13 691:24 elected (1) 651:19 electric (1) 602:3 element (1) 678:20 elicit (1) 699:8 eligible (3) 604:6 644:21,25 eliminated (2) 620:14 636:4 email (2) 595:5 600:24</p>	<p>embarcadero (1) 593:20 embarked (2) 670:25 671:5 emphasis (1) 642:17 emphasize (1) 672:19 empire (10) 650:20,25 651:3 651:9 652:8 655:8,9 676:25 683:16 684:2 employ (2) 655:5 674:13 employed (3) 654:14 674:9 675:19 employee (1) 608:11 employees (8) 607:25 608:10 608:23 637:18 638:13,14 639:13,21 employing (1) 673:23 employs (1) 652:20 enable (2) 598:23 685:4 enabled (1) 684:23 encouraged (1) 683:6 encourages (1) 707:17 endeavor (1) 707:24 endorse (2) 642:20 645:13 ends (1) 653:9 energy (2) 642:5 714:21 engage (1) 670:16 engaged (1) 659:5 engineered (1) 671:1 engineering (1) 600:20 ensure (1) 598:23 enter (4) 628:10 679:22 680:20 711:7 entire (3) 598:3 639:10 707:3 entirely (1) 643:24 entities (1) 640:24 entitled (1) 704:14 environment (5) 593:7 595:15 597:19 600:22 601:24 environmental (2) 657:16 671:8 environmentally (1) 670:6 equation (1) 678:21 equipment (8) 622:18 626:3 674:11 675:8 683:21,25 684:11 706:11 esoteric (1) 714:22 especially (2) 673:17 688:7 esq (2) 593:18,19 essential (1) 712:11 essentially (8) 612:23 616:24 643:15 645:8 664:24 694:13 707:15 709:15 estimate (5) 604:19 632:20 643:8 699:4 702:3 estimated (1) 690:14 ethnicity (1) 598:22 evaluation (1) 644:11 event (2) 609:11 616:5 events (1) 609:10 eventually (1) 669:6 everybody (2) 661:7 714:20 evidence (19) 597:23 602:14 602:16 628:20 636:13 638:1,3 641:22 660:15 662:4,8 690:4,8 695:6,10 697:5 703:21,24 710:20 evolved (2) 670:2 679:4 exact (3) 660:8,10 672:4 exactly (9) 601:9 616:19</p>	<p>622:10 632:14 637:15 683:5 699:11 713:1,11 examination (4) 594:5 647:17 689:25 704:1 examine (1) 687:12 examining (1) 603:14 example (3) 651:22 656:22 682:12 exceed (3) 618:12 629:21 680:10 exceeding (1) 644:7 exceeds (2) 644:22 658:3 excel (1) 629:10 exceptions (2) 656:7 666:15 excess (5) 630:8 632:1 633:7 644:24 708:6 excluded (1) 651:18 exhibit (110) 595:2 600:15,19 600:24 601:6,16,21 602:2,5 602:7,11 610:3,5,10 619:10 619:13 621:2 628:11,14,16 628:16,21 632:7 637:21,21 637:23 638:1,4,6 640:5 647:20,24,25 648:5,23 649:1,3 650:5 660:2,12,16 662:2,4,9 671:19 690:1,2,3 690:9,18 691:2,4,8,12 692:2 694:24 695:4,5,11,17 695:18,19,23,25 696:4,7,8 696:11,16,16,20,22 697:2,6 697:8,15,23 698:3,7,17 699:4,19,21,22,24 700:7,10 700:11,12,14,16 701:18 702:21 703:19,19,21,25 704:3,4,16 705:5,23,24 708:14,15 709:12 710:1,11 710:17,21 exhibits (7) 595:1 600:7,16 602:17 609:24 619:9 696:9 exist (1) 699:7 existed (1) 663:20 existence (2) 623:11 711:22 existing (7) 612:9 620:10 635:4 645:19 692:5,9 693:5 exists (3) 615:18 678:6 685:1 expand (2) 619:20 645:22 expanding (3) 619:16 621:3 671:6 expansion (2) 605:6 645:6 expect (2) 618:10 643:9 expectation (3) 651:1,5,6 expectations (1) 646:20 expected (1) 622:22 expecting (1) 629:25 expenditures (9) 632:18 644:20 656:21 657:23 658:21 667:1,3 670:14 683:12 expense (12) 601:25 621:20 621:22 625:9 642:21 654:16 657:1 679:8,8 683:6 683:7,8 expenses (17) 601:25 604:7 618:12 619:7 630:6,19,20 644:25 656:21 658:16 663:17 664:23 665:1 666:25 667:13 679:18 708:18 expensive (2) 618:9 623:7</p>	<p>experience (4) 617:1 622:10 699:12 707:14 explain (3) 649:20 666:22,24 explained (1) 659:8 explaining (1) 655:20 explanation (1) 655:18 explore (1) 607:10 extended (1) 611:2 extending (1) 622:5 extensive (2) 602:22 609:16 extensively (2) 645:16,21 extent (3) 646:8 670:15 671:12 extra (2) 617:12 653:7 extraordinary (1) 630:13 extremely (2) 708:1 711:15 eye (1) 642:15</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">F</p> <hr/> <p>fl (3) 693:25 694:2,4 face (1) 688:7 facilitates (1) 687:23 facilities (18) 605:6 641:16 647:21 652:8 653:25 654:11 656:19 657:4 658:17 663:20 664:12 665:5,8 677:19 679:3 687:12 688:20 689:15 facility (14) 604:4 640:21 641:7 645:5,18 648:14 651:2,3,4 653:9 670:23 671:22 685:2 689:11 fact (15) 616:22 624:13 633:1 644:3 648:18 680:21 687:8 688:11 691:18 693:17 694:7,9,16 704:21 707:17 factors (1) 666:7 fair (8) 612:19 616:5 634:7 636:23 643:12 688:3 694:18 703:1 fairer (1) 643:11 fairly (1) 676:25 faith (1) 642:7 fall (1) 653:7 far (4) 644:22 657:15 674:11 691:13 fastened (1) 621:6 favorable (1) 685:5 feasible (3) 627:10,20 646:10 federal (1) 598:20 federally (1) 598:18 fee (17) 595:8 596:4 601:18 603:8 604:3,5 640:19 642:20 650:10,12 653:12 662:20 665:9 672:12 703:22 704:5,20 feed (2) 669:7 670:8 feedback (1) 689:2 feedstock (8) 649:24 652:5 656:25 657:8 658:10 663:15 676:13 679:17 feedstocks (2) 655:3 668:12 feel (4) 613:19 641:23 713:14 713:25 fees (2) 640:8 662:25 fell (1) 612:22 felt (8) 620:9 631:15 632:21 633:25 634:6,13 635:5 695:1</p>
--	--	--	---	--

<p>field (1) 623:1 fifteen (1) 624:11 fifty (2) 621:16 632:3 figure (3) 617:9 667:7 670:21 figures (1) 603:15 filed (1) 597:13 fill (2) 598:16 599:21 final (17) 597:21 599:9 603:6 603:11,13 636:3 701:24 702:5,6,24 704:10 705:1,2 705:8,9 709:9 714:24 finalized (1) 708:23 finally (3) 608:19 644:19 646:22 financial (1) 598:20 find (2) 610:18 714:23 finding (2) 602:8 634:24 findings (1) 605:4 fine (1) 614:1 firm (2) 600:20 601:17 first (19) 608:3,5 610:20 618:7 621:10 632:5,14 640:20 648:4,25 649:7 685:25 691:6,11 697:15 698:7 701:23 705:6 711:21 five (4) 609:22 623:16 640:24 711:1 fixed (3) 615:8 656:3 667:18 fleet (1) 635:21 flexibility (1) 629:4 floor (1) 593:20 focus (6) 597:21 649:5 651:15 651:16 675:13 682:11 focused (2) 619:10 710:5 focusing (1) 662:23 follow (2) 626:14 682:10 followed (1) 599:16 following (1) 626:15 followon (1) 685:5 follows (5) 607:15 618:24 640:14 647:16 686:25 followup (3) 627:22 677:5 682:7 food (91) 595:21 641:13 648:5,16 650:1,2,9,15,22 652:1,21 653:2,5,16 654:23 656:23,25 657:11,20,22,24 658:2,12,25 659:12,15 663:2,20 665:13,15,17,18 666:1,6,18,24 667:2,9,14 667:23,25 668:2,5,14,19,25 669:1,3,22,23 670:9,13,20 671:5,9,10,14,21 672:21 674:25 676:21,22 677:8,23 678:3,10,24 679:5,11,14,20 679:23 680:6,9,16,20 681:7 681:8,17,20,23 682:15,23 683:1,4,14,14,19 684:1,2 685:5 foodwastetype (1) 679:17 footnote (1) 662:14 forbearance (1) 646:2 forced (1) 672:9 foresee (1) 644:23 foretell (1) 644:15 form (4) 627:18 629:2,6 646:24 formal (1) 689:7 formulate (1) 620:19</p>	<p>forth (2) 662:13,20 forward (8) 598:2 615:5 639:24 640:10 642:14 647:9 709:11 711:6 found (5) 610:19,20,25 623:3 635:22 four (6) 603:16,22 621:4 672:8 684:13 691:8 fourhour (2) 611:24 612:13 fourth (1) 621:8 francisco (71) 592:7 593:6,10 593:14,20 597:7,15 604:2 640:23 641:4,7 646:7 648:6 648:13,22 649:2,6 650:13 652:10,14 653:12 654:1,5,8 654:10,19,22 655:2,8 659:1 659:14,16 660:4 663:1,4 667:19,21,24 668:15 669:2 669:12,23 670:8,20 671:7 672:15 673:3,5,11,16 675:14,22 676:11 677:8,21 680:7,7,10 681:17,22 682:14,22 683:14 684:1,15 684:22 685:2,4 705:8,17,21 franciscos (7) 659:21 663:15 672:18 675:16 681:8,13 685:23 franciscotype (1) 676:20 frankly (1) 620:16 freddie (5) 592:22,24 597:25 716:3,13 freeing (1) 713:14 frequency (1) 625:1 fresh (1) 653:4 front (3) 617:14 675:3,6 fte (5) 633:17,20 634:7,18,20 fuchs (1) 595:5 fulfill (1) 708:8 full (3) 605:19 694:11 714:1 fully (1) 663:19 function (1) 611:14 fundamental (1) 664:22 fundamentally (1) 641:5 funding (1) 644:21 funds (5) 642:11,12 643:22 644:18 708:19 further (7) 626:6 642:7 660:1 660:17 663:23 684:18 689:25 future (11) 605:14 612:6,18 613:22 620:12 643:15 644:15 702:11,23 703:13 712:11</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">G</p> <p>g (1) 597:2 gabriel (1) 593:19 gains (1) 687:18 gallons (3) 692:20,21,25 garbage (2) 609:5 623:25 gas (3) 595:10 602:3,3 gate (5) 638:8 704:22 705:4 705:16,20 gather (2) 598:13 689:15 gear (1) 682:25 gee (1) 714:3 general (12) 609:2 629:18 637:1,6 645:14 651:18 652:4,15 656:11 658:1</p>	<p>664:5 676:24 generally (4) 643:9 656:3 683:24 695:16 generate (7) 633:25 665:10 685:9 697:25 698:13 702:2 702:4 generated (10) 652:2 659:11 692:17 693:5 697:20 698:11 699:18 700:25 701:6 702:16 generates (1) 680:11 generating (1) 698:12 generator (1) 671:4 geographic (1) 610:7 getting (6) 659:14,21 707:20 711:13 712:7 713:17 give (9) 612:17,21 616:18 651:22 679:20 684:9 685:5 710:24 711:16 given (6) 615:10 616:16 631:12 641:6 651:25 663:12 gives (1) 617:12 glance (1) 638:12 glaub (1) 661:9 ngv1 (1) 595:10 go (33) 601:8 603:22 614:16 614:17,17,17 619:12,24 627:22 628:24 642:6 643:18 645:15 647:9 648:10 651:19 655:24 665:5 666:1,9,9,20,22 676:16 679:19 688:9 696:3 696:11 698:14 703:15 710:23 712:13 713:3 goal (1) 611:24 goals (4) 614:23 636:24 707:23,24 goes (8) 652:23 658:14 668:15 669:7 672:20 673:3 675:12 695:13 going (71) 600:17 603:22 605:17,21 606:2 609:22 614:3,14 615:5 616:3,4,11 616:19,20 617:8 622:23 624:6,8,21 625:11,12 631:17 632:17,18 633:24 634:2,9 635:1,14 636:7 637:22 638:5 639:24 642:14 643:16,18 644:16 644:16 657:21 661:5,6 664:5 669:4,24 673:12,13 673:18,22 674:3,4,20 686:21 692:1 698:15 709:11 712:10,20,22,24 713:1,2,6,13,15,18,21,23 713:24 714:11,13,16 golden (5) 638:8 704:22 705:4 705:16,20 good (21) 597:5 607:1,18,19 618:2 619:3 626:11,11 640:17,18 642:7 660:23 661:1 664:3 671:18 694:20 697:3 711:10 712:25 713:14,25 goodlett (3) 592:6 593:5,14 goods (1) 608:8 gotten (3) 635:6,6 714:1 government (1) 642:20</p>	<p>grade (1) 650:13 gradually (1) 709:18 graduated (1) 612:24 graffiti (1) 615:7 grant (1) 614:12 great (1) 603:22 greater (6) 611:8 616:11 641:14 652:13 656:4 666:14 green (27) 649:23,25 650:9 654:24 657:1,14,14,25 658:3,12 659:12,24 665:12 665:14,14 667:23,25 668:6 668:11,12 671:21 672:22 675:3,3,6 678:3 688:8 greenbin (1) 604:5 gross (1) 702:2 ground (1) 689:9 groundwater (1) 657:6 group (2) 600:14 676:23 groupcustomers (1) 595:20 grove (1) 593:10 grover (15) 658:21 664:9 667:16,22 671:22 672:2,25 673:3,7,13,19,22 674:2,8 677:7 growth (4) 605:25 698:12,12 700:2 guess (17) 609:1 613:1,14 614:13 615:4 625:6 631:17 640:8,20 641:5 643:2 672:5 676:2 686:20 689:5 707:20 709:16 guidelines (1) 602:10 gut (1) 627:23</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">H</p> <p>haley (3) 593:8 601:14 645:15 half (2) 605:22 631:25 hall (3) 592:5 593:5,13 hand (1) 716:9 handing (1) 600:8 handle (2) 663:13 682:14 handling (1) 679:10 handouts (1) 638:15 hands (1) 710:24 happen (7) 601:12 613:20 614:14 631:13 637:3,6 681:19 happening (2) 646:7,23 happens (4) 609:7 622:17 714:1,3 happy (3) 612:11 707:20 713:17 hard (5) 627:7,9 631:10,11 642:22 hardware (2) 621:5,10 havent (3) 708:23 710:5,6 hay (2) 595:22 662:5 hdr (1) 600:20 health (8) 601:19 604:21 636:21 638:19 639:2,25 646:11 713:10 healthcare (1) 638:17 hear (3) 597:21 712:24 713:20 heard (1) 629:19 hearing (7) 592:2 597:3 616:18 618:5 622:3 715:5</p>	<p>715:10 hearings (12) 597:11,16,21 598:13 599:9,19 602:19 603:17 609:25 620:18 687:8 712:9 held (2) 603:15,16 help (5) 601:10 636:19 687:15 688:13 708:8 helpful (1) 620:1 hereunto (1) 716:9 hes (2) 618:21 626:15 high (3) 668:24 669:3 682:25 highconcentration (1) 676:21 higher (17) 639:8 641:13,18 641:19 648:18 649:16,18 651:20 653:12 660:5 666:19,21,23 683:4 684:22 694:22,23 highest (1) 684:15 highprofile (1) 629:8 hired (1) 687:11 hires (1) 638:18 historical (1) 603:15 holding (1) 634:12 home (1) 614:13 hope (4) 614:7 623:16 627:22 638:22 hopeful (1) 688:6 hours (2) 610:23 674:11 housekeeping (1) 598:5 huge (1) 641:6 hundred (2) 632:4 667:1 hundredpercent (1) 698:14 hypothetical (1) 683:2</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">I</p> <p>id (18) 598:5 600:1,12 607:20 617:4 627:13 628:22 629:2 631:8 638:24 646:9 648:23 661:3 672:3 686:11 689:14 711:16 712:16 idea (2) 623:20 624:25 ideal (1) 658:3 identified (7) 636:22 646:11 650:4 691:8 692:7 694:25 705:12 identify (4) 645:19 670:18 687:13 691:23 ill (10) 610:14 623:18 640:6 655:6 698:15 704:12 710:25 711:4 712:17 714:24 illegal (3) 609:18 612:9 615:16 illustrate (1) 701:24 illustrating (1) 700:7 im (29) 600:16 603:22 609:1 626:23 631:6,21 637:22 638:5 642:6 643:7,25 646:1 646:8,24 667:7 670:17 678:23 679:15 685:15,18 686:8 698:14,15 701:10 709:12 712:6 713:6,15 714:12 immateral (1) 666:25 impact (21) 596:2 631:1,12 643:9 695:20 696:18 697:9 697:10,16 698:18 699:4,17 700:1,13 701:25 702:18</p>
---	---	---	---	---

703:7 708:16,25,25 709:1 impacted (1) 598:24 impacts (4) 596:1 695:7 696:18 710:6 implement (1) 620:25 implementation (2) 622:11 626:21 implementing (3) 623:1 687:10 699:8 implication (1) 675:13 important (5) 639:24 687:19 688:23 689:7 708:1 impound (8) 595:15 643:22 644:7,21,22,24 645:2 710:15 improved (2) 674:4,5 improvements (1) 663:3 incentive (2) 605:4 629:2 incentives (3) 614:25 615:1 709:9 inclined (1) 707:18 include (2) 644:1 706:10 included (18) 598:24 627:17 691:7,20 692:2 698:21 700:16 702:19 703:5 704:15 705:4 706:8,10 708:7 709:10,18 710:5 711:24 including (5) 597:23 600:7 606:12 630:12 638:14 inclusion (1) 651:16 incorporate (1) 684:7 incorporated (1) 652:5 incorporates (1) 677:16 increase (26) 597:11 603:10 603:12 613:4,11 631:1 632:23 634:19,20 643:17 693:7,18,21 700:17,21,21 700:25 701:6,24 702:7,9 702:24 704:18 709:3,14 increased (14) 596:2 604:11 613:10,13 630:15 636:12 636:14 641:13 669:22 673:18 693:21 697:9,16,18 increases (4) 630:19 634:12 673:16 707:17 increasing (6) 636:1,2 673:11 673:13 693:20,22 incremental (2) 656:5 682:19 incur (2) 657:1,2 incurred (4) 621:22 625:10 658:16 706:14 independent (4) 595:9,14 601:21 710:15 index (2) 594:1 595:1 indicate (1) 678:10 indicated (7) 665:19 666:5,18 669:21 676:9 681:22 716:5 indicates (1) 648:6 indication (2) 599:22 637:2 indices (1) 643:18 individual (4) 609:22 643:24 653:22 680:24 industry (2) 641:15 656:20 influx (1) 657:5 inform (1) 638:22 information (19) 595:19 598:16,25 599:3 602:23 603:19 609:16,23 620:10	627:3,17 628:1 633:12 637:24 639:7 688:21 689:11 705:1 712:2 infrastructure (1) 655:5 initial (3) 622:18 704:9,25 initially (2) 673:19 675:5 innocuous (1) 657:19 input (1) 598:13 install (3) 621:7 674:4 706:10 installation (3) 621:7 622:11 671:1 installed (4) 621:5 622:20 623:20 670:2 installing (3) 621:17,23 623:15 instance (2) 623:2 627:16 instances (1) 623:4 instructions (1) 651:10 instructive (1) 711:15 insurance (1) 646:12 intend (1) 711:3 intended (2) 699:19 702:18 intensive (4) 659:10 672:22 674:15 677:1 intention (1) 627:8 intentionally (1) 653:1 intercompany (1) 662:14 interested (1) 646:22 interim (3) 629:12,15 637:6 internal (1) 609:18 introduce (7) 600:7,17 628:8 637:20 710:10,12,17 introduced (1) 650:4 introducing (3) 602:12 638:20 708:16 inventory (1) 645:8 inverse (2) 655:23 656:15 invest (2) 636:18 657:3 investment (15) 636:17 641:14 659:3,4 672:7,25,25 673:8,21 674:17 676:16,18 682:13,25 685:1 invite (1) 606:18 invokes (1) 667:3 involved (4) 620:17 624:14 635:10 638:9 involves (1) 676:4 ira (1) 664:8 isnt (10) 617:15 623:21 624:23 630:7 646:25 656:13 661:5 669:10,10 680:14 isolate (5) 627:5,8 629:16 680:6,24 isolated (2) 680:18,24 issue (9) 611:11 620:20 634:2 635:15 636:9,11,11 655:7 686:13 issued (2) 597:19 602:3 issues (7) 599:17 606:6,23 635:16 640:6 646:24 713:24 item (1) 634:16 items (4) 603:5 636:25 637:2 715:6 ive (7) 615:15 630:5 638:11 641:16 666:23 678:7 679:15	J	658:8 670:4 674:6,7,22 leak (1) 653:3 learn (1) 622:10 learned (1) 637:13 learning (2) 624:22 637:12 lease (2) 601:25 604:3 left (2) 623:14 692:7 legally (1) 646:10 legg (42) 593:4 594:6 600:1,5 600:15 601:16 602:14,18 606:17 607:4,6,12,13,18 626:13 628:6,13 629:18,25 631:8 632:3,5 633:5,21 635:12 636:7 637:9 638:5 643:3,4,12 644:5 660:25 661:10 696:1,9 701:12,13 707:8 708:12 709:11 710:8 lengthened (1) 619:7 lengthening (1) 625:7 lessor (3) 671:11 677:17 699:2 letter (1) 602:7 letters (1) 692:10 level (9) 639:8 646:6 654:13 673:8 684:3,14 689:4 700:3 700:17 levels (5) 604:4 611:9 612:9 659:2 698:1 liability (1) 646:12 licensed (1) 716:4 life (1) 623:14 lifetime (2) 622:8,9 lifted (2) 632:8 709:18 likewise (1) 691:17 lime (1) 658:13 limitation (1) 670:23 limitations (2) 670:25 671:13 limited (1) 646:5 line (17) 604:13 608:15,17 617:23 636:16 668:9 675:1 675:6,7 676:18,19,20 682:4 690:5 695:7 701:4 703:22 liner (1) 667:5 lines (3) 613:14 674:25 684:13 liquids (1) 659:11 list (1) 691:12 listed (2) 603:21 715:6 listing (1) 651:18 litter (1) 635:19 little (11) 606:8 607:24 612:12 620:2,25 632:10 640:6 648:11 655:20,20 686:11 live (1) 702:13 local (1) 670:22 location (1) 686:4 locations (1) 645:19 logistically (1) 669:14 logo (1) 613:24 long (2) 624:12 625:11 longer (2) 626:1 706:19 look (17) 601:8 606:2 610:10 615:1 620:12 632:19,19 643:11 644:6 645:1 672:17 680:7 681:11 685:8 690:24 701:4 704:14 looked (5) 601:24 634:10 639:4 645:16 691:6	looking (12) 603:14 606:3 620:7 625:14 627:2 642:13 644:12 645:8 646:18 667:8 697:23 704:11 looks (1) 695:19 losing (7) 618:8,11 677:8 678:17 679:6 680:3,21 lost (1) 677:14 lot (8) 615:19 641:14 643:17 672:7 676:15 707:12 711:13 712:10 lower (11) 604:19 631:17 641:9,9 649:16 650:10,12 656:6,9 679:9 700:20 lubke (2) 674:13,20 lui (4) 601:22 704:16,25 705:9 luis (2) 601:24 705:23	M	m (7) 593:13 595:6 597:1 661:14,15 715:5,11 macy (8) 593:9 594:10,14 640:9,12 664:4 671:17 677:3 mad (1) 714:8 magnitude (2) 632:22 659:5 main (2) 604:23,24 maintain (3) 609:14 635:15 658:13 maintenance (5) 634:18 635:2,2,11 713:17 major (1) 609:10 majority (3) 641:4,20 656:25 making (15) 597:24 600:6 605:22 623:1 628:2 636:25 644:11 658:10 678:25 679:13 688:25 693:23 699:4 708:17 714:17 manage (9) 656:22 657:25 659:11 674:6,9 680:10 683:6,8 685:2 manageable (3) 657:12,15,22 managed (1) 675:7 management (10) 596:4 601:25 637:11,14 641:14 649:8,11 657:7 670:15 703:22 managing (2) 672:20 677:23 mandatory (1) 673:17 manhours (1) 674:16 manner (2) 615:17 629:15 manpower (2) 674:12 677:19 march (3) 597:13 600:21 603:7 margin (4) 612:18 618:8,12 709:1 marginal (1) 655:25 mark (8) 637:25 648:23 662:2 662:3 690:3 695:5 696:14 703:20 marked (13) 602:16 628:20 638:3 647:19 660:2,14 662:7 690:8,18 695:10 697:5 703:24 710:20 market (8) 641:7 665:25 678:20 679:19 680:1 681:2 691:21,22 markets (1) 636:19
--	--	----------	---	--	----------	---

<p>mateo (1) 683:4 material (95) 607:7,21,25 609:15,15,19,20 610:1 612:5 614:16 615:12 616:8 629:1 635:18 640:22,23,25 641:6 649:21,22,25 650:6,8 650:11,21,23 651:11,24,25 652:9,16,20 653:3,4,8,19 653:24 654:1,3,5,10,13,14 654:19,23,25 655:8,9,9,13 655:14 657:15,19 658:1,14 659:16,18 663:2,13,14 665:24,24 666:23 668:9 670:12 672:18 673:2,3,7,10 674:6,10,12 675:2,14,16,20 676:3,11,20,25 679:1 680:4 683:6,9 684:16 685:24,25 686:2,3,13 707:14,16,19 711:3 materials (21) 608:5,20,22,24 613:4,24 628:24 650:5 651:12,14,17,18 652:7 659:19,22 672:23 675:19 679:16 687:12 688:19 707:9 math (1) 692:4 matter (1) 642:17 mean (5) 609:2 615:23 634:8 684:10 712:6 means (4) 621:20 646:22 666:14 714:18 meant (2) 695:23 699:22 measurement (1) 689:8 mechanics (2) 634:24,24 mechanism (4) 602:6 605:1 643:2,7 medical (1) 638:12 meet (5) 612:16,21 613:13 630:3 707:24 meeting (3) 598:1,15 612:23 member (1) 645:7 members (3) 593:22 606:22 607:3 mention (3) 603:25 609:8 617:4 mentioned (9) 664:25 666:10 670:13 672:8,17 681:10 684:4 685:7 711:21 message (2) 714:2,6 met (1) 612:14 method (1) 674:14 methodology (5) 625:18 626:1,1 702:25 709:13 metrics (1) 646:17 michael (1) 593:18 microphone (1) 598:3 migrate (1) 699:9 migrated (1) 632:9 migration (19) 604:16 606:2 630:23 631:4,13 634:1 697:10 698:19,21,23,25 699:7,15 700:3,5 703:5,10 703:12 710:7 million (6) 632:10,12 658:24 672:8 700:18 712:21 millions (1) 657:3 mind (1) 655:21 mindful (1) 620:7 minimal (2) 651:7 665:14</p>	<p>minimize (2) 674:5 688:10 minimum (3) 692:16,18 698:1 minute (4) 655:7 683:12 701:2 707:7 minutes (1) 711:1 missing (1) 694:14 mistake (1) 660:7 misunderstanding (1) 631:22 mitigate (1) 631:22 mix (2) 653:8 671:21 mixed (3) 650:11 714:2,6 mixedpaper (1) 636:19 mixing (1) 658:3 mobile (4) 615:6,15 616:7,12 model (18) 643:16 664:14,16 664:19,21 665:3,7,19,21 666:5,18 677:11,13,13,24 678:8,9,13 moderate (1) 657:11 modification (1) 700:6 modified (1) 613:10 modifying (1) 625:1 mohammed (2) 593:3 597:5 moment (2) 628:8,23 moments (1) 677:6 monday (3) 592:9 597:1,8 money (16) 621:20 643:17 663:5 677:8,15 678:17 679:6 680:3,21 682:17,19 682:24 712:21 713:8 714:1 714:22 monies (1) 644:3 monitor (1) 630:21 monitoring (1) 657:7 month (3) 608:3,6 692:20 monthly (2) 689:18 693:8 months (6) 617:21,21 694:5,7 694:13,14 morning (12) 597:5 598:1 607:2,18,19 619:3 626:11 640:17,18 664:3 671:18 706:2 motivator (1) 618:2 move (5) 655:11 687:21 689:6 695:3 699:9 moved (1) 704:9 movement (2) 698:25 699:2 moveouts (1) 608:7 moving (5) 639:13 688:16 700:10,21 705:25 multiply (1) 693:1</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">N</p> <hr/> <p>n (2) 593:1 597:2 name (3) 664:3 711:6,10 nancy (2) 593:23 711:11 natural (1) 602:3 naturally (1) 678:14 nature (2) 663:12 674:25 nearly (2) 616:25 658:24 necessarily (2) 669:15 676:23 necessary (3) 599:15 618:6 704:7 need (15) 601:8 606:2 611:8 613:12 617:9 618:4 620:7 629:5 635:21 644:18 653:19 659:11 687:21 711:2 712:9</p>	<p>needed (2) 636:5 644:7 needs (2) 612:5 644:15 neglected (2) 662:1 695:14 negotiating (1) 639:1 negotiation (1) 637:13 neighborhood (3) 620:15,25 623:21 neighborhoods (2) 619:15,16 net (1) 700:1 new (31) 615:3 616:19 618:3 618:8 621:6 622:3,21 623:4 624:3,5,15,16,24,25 630:25 635:1,2,19 638:17 675:7 687:9 688:12 690:1,14,19 693:5,12,13,17 699:8 709:14 noncompostable (2) 653:8 684:5 noncompostables (1) 651:8 nondiscrimination (1) 598:18 nonorganic (1) 684:7 normal (1) 706:17 normally (1) 609:3 notary (1) 716:4 note (1) 644:19 noted (1) 602:14 notes (1) 655:17 notified (1) 611:25 notify (1) 615:20 noting (1) 643:22 notation (1) 612:12 november (1) 691:14 number (50) 599:22 600:7 601:2,11 603:5,18 605:1,24 606:6,7 610:1,8 613:10 614:8 615:22 617:5 622:15 631:10,11,16 633:20 635:14,17 649:12 656:4 660:8,10 684:15 690:14 691:6 692:1,3 693:9 694:13 694:14,22,23 697:16,18 699:13,16 700:16,18 701:5 701:8,9,10,15 704:21 712:3 numbered (1) 602:14 numbers (6) 610:6 637:15 664:15 672:4 697:22 705:14 nuru (38) 593:3 597:3,5 599:7 600:5 606:17 607:1 607:11 618:19 626:5,7,11 626:17 628:5,12,15 640:10 647:4 660:19,24 661:11,16 661:23 662:11 663:23,24 682:3,8 684:19 685:12,20 686:16,19 689:21 708:11 710:11,13 715:1</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">O</p> <hr/> <p>o (1) 597:2 objection (1) 689:10 obligation (3) 670:4,5 678:19 obligations (1) 708:8 observed (1) 636:16 obtain (1) 642:8 obviously (1) 663:9 occasion (1) 609:7 occlude (1) 675:4 occur (2) 614:13 617:16 occurrence (1) 656:20</p>	<p>occurs (1) 686:15 odor (2) 657:6 659:9 odors (1) 657:17 offer (4) 679:9 681:9,16 696:7 offered (2) 609:25 648:9 offering (3) 662:13 696:10 702:21 office (2) 593:11 600:25 offset (1) 674:16 offsetting (2) 714:5,19 oh (1) 660:7 okay (37) 599:25 600:15 626:7,18 628:5,15 630:23 631:20 634:6,15 635:8,24 636:20 640:4 643:20 645:4 652:13 661:11,23 662:23 673:21 675:10 681:6,22 682:3 684:19 685:11 686:5 686:19 689:20,21 709:11 710:8,12,22,25 715:3 old (8) 622:23 623:3,6 624:3 624:4,6,17,18 once (6) 603:13 622:13 657:4 658:2 682:13 711:12 ones (4) 620:11 623:6 649:5 650:5 onetoone (2) 671:23 672:1 oneyear (3) 617:20 702:17 706:22 ongoing (3) 626:25 627:1 646:6 online (9) 690:15,19 691:9,13 691:19,24 692:1 694:10 697:19 ooo (1) 596:5 open (5) 673:1 678:20 679:19 679:25 681:2 openmarket (1) 678:20 operating (8) 600:19 604:6,6 615:2 630:9 654:16 665:8 679:8 operation (9) 595:4 653:1 656:18 657:11 666:4 671:3 673:7,20 679:4 operational (1) 656:21 operations (8) 657:2 664:8,23 667:17,22 680:23 681:21 687:15 operator (1) 615:22 operators (1) 658:11 opinion (1) 659:17 opportunity (4) 603:2 615:11 646:5 648:10 opposed (2) 659:21 693:12 optimistic (1) 688:4 option (3) 614:1 623:8,24 order (20) 597:4 598:17 599:8 606:25 609:20 613:13 617:3 629:15,16 630:3 631:6 636:24 643:25 655:10 658:23 670:5 682:14 688:24 694:11 709:10 ordinance (3) 630:11,22 637:5 ordinarily (1) 623:11 organic (3) 648:14 670:24 671:4 organically (1) 654:14</p>	<p>organics (10) 595:20 648:1 651:17,21 654:11 662:15 663:11 670:23 672:7 674:18 original (2) 699:11 704:22 outline (1) 599:8 outreach (7) 614:6 621:8,24 622:12 687:3,9 706:10 outside (3) 652:6 702:17 710:4 overall (7) 631:1 645:10 673:12 687:3,9 706:10 682:19 698:24 overarching (1) 667:12 oversight (2) 646:4,7 overview (2) 629:11,20 owen (15) 593:12 600:13 602:13 628:18 637:25 660:2,13 662:3 690:3 695:5 696:8,17 692:3 703:20 owners (1) 631:14 ownership (1) 645:11</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">P</p> <hr/> <p>p (6) 593:1,1 597:2 667:16 715:5,11 pacific (1) 602:3 packaging (1) 653:19 packer (2) 609:4,8 packertruck (1) 608:19 pad (1) 674:22 pads (3) 658:6 672:9 676:18 page (20) 594:2,5 595:2 638:9 639:6 648:12 662:11 695:13 696:2 697:15 698:7 698:10,17 699:19,21,22,24 699:25 700:1 701:9 pages (4) 603:22 696:13,14 697:14 paid (1) 618:13 pallet (1) 653:23 palletize (1) 653:2 palletized (1) 652:23 pallets (3) 653:6 676:4,4 paper (1) 697:1 parade (1) 609:10 paragraph (2) 634:15 648:12 paragraphs (1) 650:7 pardon (1) 662:25 park (1) 713:11 part (23) 611:20 614:5 617:11 623:9 624:22 629:14 638:20,23 642:8,9 644:2,8 644:10,23 645:10 687:8 691:7,10 695:21 697:17,20 707:22 714:5 participants (2) 687:19 712:13 participate (1) 675:23 participating (1) 715:8 participation (2) 598:8,14 particular (4) 619:5 646:13 656:16 690:12 partly (1) 633:23 partnership (1) 708:2 parts (1) 639:13 party (3) 599:18 630:12 637:10 passthrough (1) 642:21</p>
--	--	---	---	---

<p>patricks (1) 609:10 paul (3) 594:12 647:13,14 pay (12) 604:20 607:6 619:4 621:3 625:1 626:15 633:24 635:15 650:10,12 653:12 706:3 paying (1) 677:22 penalties (7) 607:8 615:1 616:23 617:1,12 618:4,17 penalty (5) 612:13,15 614:23 616:17 707:10 pension (8) 638:17,19,21,24 639:2,9 640:1 646:13 people (18) 599:22 613:25 616:6,12 618:10 638:8 647:1 684:10,13 687:20 699:9 707:18 712:6,12 713:23 714:8,10,16 percent (33) 603:11,12 610:22 612:23 631:3,5,7 632:4,4,6,13,14,25 633:4,7 633:8 640:23,24,25 641:4 648:15 673:12 676:22 693:18 699:15 700:4,22,23 701:1,7 702:2 705:10 714:4 percentage (12) 648:5,16 650:1 652:12 681:25 684:5 693:19,21 702:7 704:18 705:7,10 percentages (2) 595:21 705:15 percentagewise (1) 676:12 perform (1) 706:11 performance (8) 607:8 612:13 613:2 617:17 618:16 646:18,18 707:23 performed (1) 600:20 period (21) 599:20 602:22 610:6 611:1 612:7 617:2,20 619:6 621:12,18 622:2,17 625:8 629:12 702:17,18 706:3,13,15,16,20 periodic (1) 706:11 periods (1) 655:13 permeable (1) 658:7 permutations (1) 620:23 person (4) 600:24 710:25 711:1 712:7 peter (2) 593:16 599:12 pg (1) 595:10 ph (1) 658:14 phone (1) 712:3 photo (1) 676:8 photograph (1) 615:7 photographs (1) 615:15 photos (2) 649:23 650:4 pick (6) 608:8,21,23 609:15 614:2 715:6 picked (9) 607:25 608:10 610:19 612:5 614:10 668:4 694:12 704:10,21 picking (5) 608:4 609:9 613:24 684:11 707:14 picks (2) 609:20 697:22 pickup (1) 611:24 pickups (1) 608:11 piece (4) 598:5 621:15,16 640:25 pieces (1) 645:23</p>	<p>pier (1) 636:16 pilot (1) 627:11 pin (1) 631:18 pipeline (3) 595:24 601:1 690:5 place (14) 592:6 593:5,14 616:10 618:7 622:14 650:25 653:1 673:17 681:21 684:10 685:25 687:3 708:5 placed (2) 652:21 711:4 places (1) 637:18 placing (2) 652:1 686:2 plan (4) 626:22 660:21 661:8 687:9 planning (4) 601:1,4 602:8,8 plans (1) 627:21 plants (1) 669:17 plastic (8) 654:5 675:15,17 676:5,7,10,13,13 plastics (2) 651:8 652:4 play (4) 656:16 658:4 686:6 700:8 please (12) 597:3 598:4,10 599:2,4 600:3 626:10 647:13 682:7 710:13,24 711:6 plug (1) 713:15 plus (2) 648:6 649:2 pod (2) 620:10,13 podium (1) 626:10 point (34) 614:13 619:11 623:19,19 624:3,7 626:6 627:13,21,24 629:17 656:22 657:4 662:13 673:4 686:7 688:5,22 689:1,3,6,8 689:11,12 690:25 694:19 694:20,21 696:11 699:21 701:24 704:20 710:12 712:8 pond (3) 672:10 674:8 676:18 ponds (2) 658:8 674:23 popular (1) 618:10 port (1) 645:20 porter (1) 593:19 portion (2) 690:13 691:14 position (1) 706:5 positions (2) 604:19 636:5 positive (2) 651:15 675:12 positively (1) 658:9 possibility (7) 611:13 613:3 613:16,21 614:12 616:16 625:5 possible (9) 615:13 616:17 620:8 622:25 624:23 643:8 646:15 656:22 669:13 possibly (1) 629:15 post (1) 627:10 postcollection (1) 656:19 potential (8) 616:7 631:24,25 632:7 645:10 700:19 701:25 702:3 potentially (1) 641:9 pp (1) 592:11 practices (5) 641:15 654:17 655:5 663:19 667:4 prairie (10) 664:9 667:17,22 670:23 672:7 673:19,24 674:2,17 677:7</p>	<p>preceding (1) 617:19 precisely (1) 629:25 predecessor (1) 649:12 predicated (1) 702:6 predict (1) 627:7 prediction (1) 677:11 predictions (1) 628:2 predominance (1) 659:12 predominantly (1) 650:9 preferential (1) 641:21 preliminary (1) 708:23 premise (2) 655:19 700:16 premium (1) 635:10 prepared (2) 628:9 690:12 preponderance (1) 641:6 present (2) 600:2 711:3 presentation (5) 594:3 599:10 599:14 600:4,6 presented (1) 691:10 presume (1) 637:15 pretty (3) 603:24 645:21 675:15 prevent (1) 675:4 previous (1) 609:12 previously (7) 607:14 618:23 640:13 647:15 667:8 686:24 697:23 price (10) 649:9 659:14 666:8 677:11 679:14,20,21 680:13 684:25 693:1 prices (1) 604:19 pricing (4) 647:20 678:3 682:13 685:5 pride (1) 609:11 primarily (5) 626:12 634:23 638:17 649:22 708:25 primary (8) 603:21,25 604:8 651:1,3,4 669:7 671:3 principled (1) 625:7 prior (4) 599:19 616:3 649:3 650:18 probably (5) 605:21 626:13 630:1 673:6 689:7 problem (3) 608:21 708:5 714:15 problems (3) 615:17,20 616:20 procedures (5) 595:9,14 601:22 605:15,23 proceed (7) 598:10 600:3 606:24 628:6 661:24 710:13 711:8 proceedings (3) 715:9 716:6,8 process (36) 598:25 603:14 605:3,23 606:8 614:20 632:2,17 637:9,11 638:23 643:23 644:1,6,9,10,17 646:16 652:19 655:15 668:5,13,14,18 669:11,17 670:6 671:15 674:9 683:11 683:13 684:1 686:1,2,14 713:6 processed (5) 636:6 667:25 668:2 669:5 675:5 processes (5) 639:19 644:5,17 645:2 688:13 processing (11) 604:1 630:16 635:25 655:10 662:25 663:1 683:21 687:23</p>	<p>688:19 708:18 709:1 produce (1) 643:16 produced (6) 603:20 657:17 674:6 678:9,13 704:25 produces (1) 657:15 product (1) 705:19 profit (8) 612:18,22,24 618:8 618:11 630:8 678:25 679:13 profitable (1) 680:23 program (53) 604:20 605:4 607:6,8,21 612:18 613:22 614:7,16 615:3 616:17,19 617:3 618:4,7,9,15 619:5,7 619:14,17 620:5,12,24 621:3,8 622:14 623:9,12 624:12 625:14,17,23 626:21 627:5,13 628:24 629:8 635:18 638:17 639:11 642:14 650:25 651:11,21 671:7 675:22 678:11 687:9,17,18 707:9 707:22 programs (6) 598:19 636:13 637:16 686:10,10 687:22 progress (5) 627:11 636:25 637:8 646:16 688:16 project (7) 598:24 602:9 605:6,10 627:2 671:5 699:6 projected (4) 673:22 690:21 693:12,13 projection (3) 604:10 690:19 700:5 projections (4) 601:15 629:22 691:15,20 projects (2) 670:24 694:25 promise (1) 627:13 properly (1) 675:17 property (3) 645:20,23 658:13 proportion (1) 673:13 proportioning (1) 659:18 proportions (1) 658:3 proposal (16) 607:23 611:16 611:23 612:4,8,10,14,25 613:9 615:3 616:15 625:16 662:24 699:5,11 706:5 proposals (1) 619:19 propose (4) 622:5 702:5 706:21,22 proposed (23) 592:2 602:6 604:10,15 605:1,5 607:9 613:2,12 621:11,18 622:1 664:7 690:21 698:19,20 700:3,4 702:10 704:4,19 706:14 707:5 proposing (6) 614:6,23 674:2 685:3 708:17 709:2 protect (1) 636:19 protection (1) 657:6 protections (1) 639:20 provide (4) 598:23 646:22 655:18 660:12 provided (11) 615:11 632:20 637:17 649:23 651:10,12 667:15 690:17 695:20,21 709:15 provides (3) 627:16 630:22 648:24</p>	<p>providing (3) 617:6 618:2 689:10 provision (1) 707:10 prudent (1) 641:24 public (25) 592:1 593:2,22 595:17 597:6,24 598:8,12 598:14 599:20 602:23,25 603:16 606:11 608:12 635:19 645:7,11 646:23 710:23 711:1,2 713:16 715:3 716:4 purchase (1) 642:4 pure (2) 665:11 679:11 purpose (3) 599:1 629:22 700:11 purposes (1) 706:13 pursue (1) 686:11 put (12) 624:2 651:24 661:6 683:11 696:13,15 705:5 708:4 711:23 713:15,21 714:21 puts (1) 653:16 putting (7) 618:6 623:6,25 624:15 673:25 674:21,24</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">Q</p> <p>qualified (1) 634:25 qualitatively (1) 659:23 quality (5) 636:18 651:20 653:25 657:8 675:13 quantities (1) 673:1 quantity (1) 673:10 quarterly (6) 626:22,25 627:15 630:20 688:15 689:18 question (31) 609:12 610:14 613:1,15 615:4 618:14 625:6 634:1 636:23 637:6 640:8,19 641:5 642:3,3 643:5 645:6 657:21 666:7 678:23 680:12,19,22 684:20 685:15,17,20,22 687:1 707:25 708:14 questioning (4) 617:6,24 682:4 689:23 questions (27) 599:25 605:24 607:4,5,7,21 618:21 619:4 626:9,12,14,21 629:4 633:16 646:3 660:23 661:20,21 664:4,5 677:5 682:7,11 685:12 686:14,16 710:9 quick (3) 626:14 629:11 645:5 quickly (4) 631:22 640:7 673:9 707:15 quiet (1) 712:7 quillen (1) 617:7 quite (6) 653:7 667:5 668:7 670:11 683:22 699:13 quote (2) 630:24 631:22</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">R</p> <p>r (2) 593:1 597:2 race (1) 598:22 radio (1) 595:4 radios (1) 658:12 raise (2) 597:14 634:3 raised (6) 599:17 629:5 645:7</p>
--	---	---	---	---

<p>685:17,22 694:20 range (10) 610:9 665:18,22 666:6,8,19 667:9,10 671:25 677:12 rate (147) 592:3 595:3,7,11 595:17,23 597:15 602:9 603:9 605:3,18,19 606:5,8 611:20 614:5,20 616:3,3,18 616:24 617:16,18 618:5 620:18 622:3,17 624:24 625:18 629:13,14,16 630:1 630:12,17,25 631:1,6,21 632:1,22 633:9,14 634:9 635:4 636:24 637:3,9 641:8 641:8,21,24,24 643:25 644:5,10,16 645:2 648:17 648:18 649:6 650:14 654:9 659:24 662:5,12,14,15,16 662:16,16,17,19,20,20,23 663:6,7 664:7,12,13,16 664:19,20,24 665:3,4,7,11 665:16,19,21 666:1,5,13,14 666:17,20 677:11,13,13,14 677:24 678:8,9,13 679:9 680:15 681:13,16 683:4 684:22,23 690:20 691:11 693:3,7,18,21,24 695:19 700:17,20,21,25 701:6 702:17,24 703:6,10,16,17 704:18 706:16 707:1,4 708:19 709:10,14,20,20,24 709:24 710:3,4 711:14 ratemaking (1) 605:14 ratepayer (17) 593:16 595:18 599:12,16 606:12,13 626:19 628:9 685:13,14 688:1 711:22 712:4,11,15 712:20 713:8 ratepayers (3) 593:15 644:4,8 rates (22) 592:2 595:22 597:12,14 598:13 601:11 605:2 616:24 630:4 638:13 639:22 644:23 664:11 681:12 685:3 693:12,12,13 694:3 708:7 714:4,6 ratesaving (1) 714:22 ratio (7) 600:19 604:6,7 615:2 630:9 667:5 671:24 rationale (1) 634:21 reach (1) 605:17 reaction (1) 659:20 read (3) 633:19 641:21 701:8 readily (1) 675:23 real (6) 676:1 707:11 712:14 713:6,6,13 realize (1) 709:23 realized (2) 633:6,9 really (26) 609:11 614:14 624:4,20 625:11,15 629:1,7 629:12 630:13 636:23 641:7 642:7,19 644:15 645:17 687:21 688:22 698:10 707:21 708:24 712:9 713:23,25 714:5,7 reason (5) 616:10 625:7 629:22 641:8 696:25 reasonable (12) 632:21 633:1 639:4,15,19,23 640:3 641:17,24 695:1 699:15</p>	<p>707:2 reasons (3) 651:19 659:8 683:3 reassigned (1) 609:5 rebate (2) 642:3,4 rebated (2) 644:4,8 rebates (1) 642:9 rebating (1) 633:3 rebuttal (7) 597:22 599:13,15 647:6,8,8 661:17 rec (1) 713:11 recalculated (1) 704:18 recall (3) 610:8 647:12 684:25 receive (31) 602:13 638:1 640:22 649:21,22,24,25 650:15,24 654:9,23 655:14 656:1,5,23 662:4 665:24 666:22 667:1 668:19 669:2 669:24 670:8,9,12 671:10 675:21 677:17 690:4 695:6 703:21 received (17) 602:16 603:13 610:2 638:3 648:16 651:13 653:25 659:1 660:15 662:8 667:21 689:2 690:8 695:10 697:5 703:24 710:20 receiving (4) 610:20 670:19 674:3,5 receptacles (1) 635:19 recipient (1) 598:20 recirculation (1) 658:9 recognize (4) 638:24 699:5 702:16 707:2 recognized (2) 706:13,18 recognizes (1) 708:2 recology (77) 595:19,20,21,23 595:23,25 596:1,3,4 597:13 604:2 608:2,7 611:13,13,16 611:23,24 612:5,10,15,17 613:12,15,16 614:3,9,24 616:18 618:3,6,11 619:19 619:24 621:10,18,21 622:1 623:10 624:16,24 625:21 629:9 633:1,10 637:23 638:25 639:9,11,20 642:8 647:25 648:14,18 649:14 651:2 654:10 659:2,14,16 662:12,19 663:5,11 666:17 682:13,16 690:12,24 700:6 704:23 705:15,16,16,21 708:2 712:23 recologys (11) 597:11 607:23 612:3,11 617:4,25 638:8 649:16 652:8 659:15 661:4 recommend (1) 642:10 recommendation (4) 604:9 616:23 644:1 645:14 recommendations (7) 597:23 597:24 604:24 605:13,22 606:14 619:5 recommended (3) 603:19 633:17 699:14 recommending (9) 601:19 605:7,11 631:4 632:13 634:17,17 643:2,7 recommends (2) 631:25 648:13 reconcile (1) 607:23</p>	<p>record (6) 597:7 609:25 697:7 711:4,7 716:8 records (2) 609:13,21 recyclables (2) 635:25 636:1 recycle (1) 713:7 recycling (11) 604:4,5 636:12 673:17 697:11 698:2 699:1 699:2,3 713:22 714:5 redeploy (1) 712:24 redirect (1) 599:17 reduce (5) 614:8 643:10 666:13 687:6,16 reduced (5) 603:5 634:20 636:3 666:14 703:14 reducing (1) 707:16 reduction (7) 604:2,4,18 633:17,20,22 704:20 redwood (11) 650:20,24 651:3,9 652:8 655:7,9 660:14 662:7 690:7 695:9 684:2 reevaluate (1) 681:19 refer (1) 696:15 reference (2) 631:23 693:23 referenced (2) 601:9,14 referred (14) 597:16 600:17 602:15 628:19 638:2 649:2 660:14 662:7 690:7 695:9 697:4 699:21 703:23 710:19 referring (1) 709:12 refers (1) 634:16 reflect (2) 633:18 709:9 reflected (2) 698:6 705:6 reflects (3) 643:14 690:19 691:2 refuse (8) 592:2,3 595:3,17 595:23 597:12,15 598:13 regard (1) 607:5 regarding (6) 609:14,14 626:25 643:6 646:3 669:23 regardless (1) 665:9 regards (1) 614:15 regular (1) 627:15 regularly (1) 688:14 regulations (1) 670:1 reimbursement (2) 707:3,4 reinforced (2) 672:9 674:22 reinsurance (2) 595:8 601:18 relate (1) 697:14 related (9) 595:15 654:18 663:18 664:23 667:14 677:22 680:6,9 696:12 relations (1) 637:14 relative (1) 641:23 reluctant (1) 627:13 remain (2) 624:8,21 remember (1) 610:5 remind (1) 598:1 removal (1) 702:1 remove (1) 675:1 removed (3) 603:5 632:23 668:10 removing (5) 604:5,6 624:14 695:21 703:7 repeat (2) 643:4 678:23 replaced (1) 624:6 replacements (1) 634:25 replacing (5) 623:3,7,10</p>	<p>624:16 634:24 report (68) 594:3 595:3,9,17 597:19,20 599:10,14 600:2 600:4,8,16,18 601:21,24 603:20,21,23 604:22,25 606:10,15,16,20 607:9 609:22 612:15,17 615:14 616:1,6,15 617:14 619:6,21 620:18 628:8,25 636:23 641:21 642:6,21 647:6,8 648:12 655:17 661:18 690:13,17,20 695:22 698:18,19 700:22 702:10 704:6,9,9,15,16,25 705:2,3 705:9,11,13 711:14,19 reported (4) 592:22 609:19 688:14,17 reporter (4) 592:24 711:7 716:1,4 reporting (10) 605:14 615:16 626:25 630:20 640:7 642:9 646:15 688:15 689:11,17 reports (6) 595:14 615:15 627:16 645:14 710:15,16 reppond (5) 592:22,24 597:25 716:3,13 represent (3) 638:15 643:13 712:3 representative (2) 633:18 692:24 representing (1) 648:15 represents (1) 701:5 reputation (2) 618:1 708:1 request (4) 602:22 636:2 707:3 711:23 requested (5) 603:1 634:20 639:23 689:19 704:25 requesting (3) 603:8 630:17 635:13 requests (1) 608:18 require (6) 626:22,24 635:1 642:7 655:10 677:1 required (15) 598:21 603:10 603:12 606:9 635:15,23 657:5 658:21 659:2 663:3 667:4 672:22 674:12 682:14,25 requirements (3) 638:18 657:13 659:9 requires (1) 674:14 research (1) 627:2 reserve (3) 599:19 628:13 708:12 reserved (1) 710:11 reserving (1) 628:15 resident (1) 623:24 residential (10) 595:24 597:12,14 598:13 604:12 649:22,25 650:8 687:5 690:5 residents (7) 598:23 613:17 613:18,23 615:6,11,20 resources (2) 613:11 708:4 respect (2) 644:1 688:19 respond (6) 610:21,22 631:8 636:8 686:22 687:1 responded (2) 610:23 611:1 responding (2) 608:4 611:4 response (3) 610:16 616:21</p>	<p>617:17 responses (3) 606:10,13 714:2 responsibility (2) 687:2 708:3 responsible (1) 609:9 responsive (1) 613:17 restaurant (2) 651:23 686:4 restaurants (3) 650:23 654:6 688:9 restrictions (2) 637:5 671:1 result (4) 603:6,18 665:4 700:2 resulted (2) 603:4 677:14 resulting (3) 675:20 693:9 705:18 results (2) 657:11 668:9 resume (1) 661:12 retiree (1) 638:19 retiring (1) 634:24 return (3) 632:4 633:14 640:6 returned (2) 632:1 633:9 returning (1) 612:24 revenue (54) 596:1 601:15 603:10 604:11 630:19 631:2,23,24 632:1,7 633:2 633:5,7,8,12,13 644:22,24 677:16 680:10 682:20 685:10 690:21 691:10,15 691:15,20 692:17 693:4,8 693:10,16 694:2,5,6,6,10 694:12 695:7 696:18 698:11,13 700:19,24 701:5 701:25 702:16 703:8,13,15 709:20,25 713:23 714:18 revenues (15) 604:25 605:24 606:3 629:18,21 630:6,14 630:21 632:13,14,17 644:13,22 691:20 709:23 reverse (1) 656:3 review (12) 602:19,22,24 603:4 607:24 629:13,23 630:6 637:4,8,9 639:17 reviews (3) 646:4,17,21 revised (8) 605:21 700:23 701:3 703:4,11 705:1,5 709:13 revisions (1) 603:2 rfid (1) 622:9 right (47) 610:2,3,13 611:12 612:1 613:1,20 615:19 619:17,22,23 623:22,23,25 624:1 626:4 628:17 634:14 637:15 648:2,22 653:21 658:5,12,22 659:25 660:8 665:21 668:16 669:17 671:20 672:10,24 676:23 679:24 683:21 690:11 691:13 692:11 695:3 696:3 696:6 701:15 703:18 705:25 710:9 714:12 rights (2) 598:7,18 rigorous (1) 630:6 ripple (1) 712:4 risk (2) 618:7,11 risks (1) 633:2 road (2) 595:22 662:5 roaming (1) 608:17 robert (2) 593:8 601:10 role (1) 686:6</p>
---	--	--	---	---

<p>roll (1) 636:12 rolling (4) 620:6 622:12 631:2 633:24 rolls (1) 625:14 room (5) 592:6 593:5,13 686:9 715:5 roses (1) 713:19 roughly (1) 673:4 route (1) 609:4 routes (2) 619:20 635:19 row (1) 674:14 run (8) 600:10 614:1 668:8 669:10 671:14 683:20,24 704:12 runs (1) 624:12</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">S</p> <p>s (2) 593:1 597:2 safeguard (1) 630:22 safeway (17) 652:18,25,25 653:9,11,16,20,22 655:8 665:11 668:21 669:2,12 670:9 671:10 676:2,3 safeways (1) 676:11 salaries (3) 638:6,7,10 salary (4) 595:19 637:24 639:7,18 san (80) 592:7 593:6,10,14,20 597:7,14 604:2 640:23 641:4,7 646:7 648:6,13,22 649:1,6 650:12 652:9,13 653:12 654:1,4,8,10,18,22 655:2,8 659:1,14,16,21 660:4 663:1,4,15 667:18,21 667:24 668:15 669:2,12,23 670:8,20 671:6 672:15,18 673:3,4,11,16 675:14,16,22 676:11,20 677:8,21 680:6,7 680:10 681:8,13,17,22 682:14,21 683:4,13,25 684:15,22 685:2,4,23 705:7 705:16,21 saturation (1) 616:11 saving (1) 712:22 savings (1) 639:2 saying (11) 632:16 633:6,7 653:15 655:24 673:22 676:19 679:12,15 702:13 713:12 says (4) 617:19 649:19 662:14 700:22 sbwma (3) 649:8 650:6,10 scale (9) 641:23 654:21,21 655:2,17,22,23 656:3,15 scavenger (1) 705:16 schedule (12) 595:10,22 605:7,8,9,10,11 691:7 692:2 693:25 694:4,8 scheduled (2) 604:13 608:6 schedules (3) 605:5 645:6 693:24 science (1) 632:24 scraps (4) 641:14 650:1,9 652:2 screened (1) 668:4 seat (2) 598:4 661:17 second (7) 605:8 621:6 632:11,15 638:9 697:9 698:17</p>	<p>seconds (1) 616:1 section (2) 601:20 643:21 see (18) 601:8 607:22 608:19 613:17,23 618:15 626:12 634:11 639:6,18 671:23 673:1,10,13 678:9 691:12 692:4 705:14 seeing (4) 625:15 630:3,18 712:6 seen (8) 615:15 616:13 631:11 641:16 675:16 677:25 688:21 712:5 segregated (1) 668:8 send (1) 615:7 sends (1) 659:16 sense (5) 627:23 629:3 631:16 644:25 645:9 sent (1) 654:10 separate (7) 617:8 627:19 668:6 675:1,6 696:9,20 separated (1) 617:11 separately (3) 668:1,3 696:15 series (2) 626:21 675:8 series (1) 659:14 service (15) 606:1 609:20 614:3 617:6 618:2 625:1 633:17 635:14 640:22 692:16,18 698:1 703:9,15 709:22 services (1) 674:5 session (1) 715:10 sessions (1) 637:13 set (9) 611:24 636:24 639:16 662:13,19 664:11 688:9 707:23 716:9 setout (9) 604:20 607:6 619:4 621:3 625:1 626:15 633:24 635:16 706:3 setting (1) 646:19 setup (1) 622:19 seven (10) 619:8 621:19 622:6 622:22 623:16 624:9,11,21 625:8 706:4 sevenyear (3) 621:11 622:8 706:6 sex (1) 598:22 share (2) 614:24 689:16 shared (1) 664:16 sharing (1) 663:17 sheet (6) 662:5 667:16 690:4 695:6 696:24 703:22 sheets (3) 696:19,22 697:1 shifting (1) 635:9 shops (1) 604:18 short (1) 648:12 shortfall (2) 631:23,24 shorthand (1) 716:3 shouldnt (1) 631:6 show (10) 597:7 610:6 638:12 638:20 662:13 667:17 678:2 687:5 699:19 710:24 showed (1) 676:8 showing (3) 601:2 702:25 709:25 shown (4) 635:21 638:11 644:20 705:19 shows (8) 601:6,7 602:5 638:6,10 694:4 697:16 701:22</p>	<p>shrink (1) 652:23 shrinkwrap (1) 653:2 shrinkwraps (1) 676:3 sic (2) 675:11 698:7 side (10) 597:9 604:8,11,18 638:19,25 639:2 644:19 696:25 709:1 signed (1) 602:10 significant (13) 604:17 616:2 625:3 629:6 636:22 637:19 638:25 645:23 655:13 659:2 681:13 685:10 708:24 significantly (5) 612:6 639:8 649:18 660:5 673:11 similar (7) 625:17 640:22,22 652:18 658:21 674:1 675:19 simple (3) 625:19 667:5 668:7 simply (3) 620:4 623:7 625:13 single (6) 653:23 662:4 690:4 695:6 696:1 703:22 sit (1) 620:17 site (7) 663:16 666:12 668:8 675:21 679:3 681:15 682:19 sites (2) 656:18 677:7 situation (3) 618:17 646:15 691:18 six (5) 617:21,21 640:21 648:6 649:2 size (1) 692:21 sizing (1) 658:11 slightly (2) 635:23 705:8 small (3) 666:24 674:21 685:9 smaller (15) 603:12 604:21 606:7 614:9 650:1 655:12 657:25 659:10,11 671:12 674:14 681:19 682:16 689:6,7 smiling (1) 712:8 socalled (2) 605:9 608:8 sold (1) 657:8 solely (1) 663:4 solve (1) 714:15 somebody (1) 687:11 sonoma (4) 650:24 651:10 652:7 683:15 sooner (1) 623:10 sorry (3) 626:23 643:4 678:23 sort (19) 608:9 609:13 616:19 627:23 635:9 636:16 637:1 651:16,24 668:9 674:24 675:1,6,7,12 684:6,8,13 707:10 sorted (3) 668:4,16 675:5 sorters (3) 636:18 675:4 684:15 sorting (5) 669:11 676:18,19 676:20 677:1 sorts (1) 667:3 sound (2) 610:3 670:6 sounds (1) 676:17 south (2) 649:7,11 space (2) 645:17 688:8 speak (9) 598:2,4 599:23 637:22 645:15 688:22 710:22 710:24,25 speaker (4) 599:20 711:9</p>	<p>715:2,3 speaking (2) 611:21 659:23 speaks (1) 598:2 specific (11) 633:15 636:24 646:16 649:12 650:13 666:3,4,4 670:5 679:1 684:9 specifically (7) 630:24 652:25 670:18 671:6 680:9 687:14 688:17 specified (1) 629:14 specify (2) 678:5,12 specifying (1) 684:25 spectacular (1) 712:15 speed (1) 617:22 spend (2) 628:1 655:19 split (1) 640:24 spoken (1) 678:7 spreadsheet (2) 601:6 677:25 spreadsheets (1) 601:2 st (1) 609:10 stab (1) 625:16 stable (1) 653:6 staff (110) 594:3 595:3,17 597:18,18,19,20 599:10,11 599:14,17 600:2,4,7,16,17 600:24 601:19 602:19,23 602:24 603:18,20,21,23 604:10,14,22,24 605:7,10 606:10,16,19,20,22 607:3,9 608:17 610:15 611:11 612:15,16 613:3,16 614:23 614:24 616:1,15,16 617:14 617:24 619:6,21,21,25 620:2 621:12,18 622:5 623:1,9 626:8,10,19 628:8 628:25 630:24 631:4,25 634:13 636:2,23 637:12 638:8 641:21 642:6,21 645:14 647:6,8 648:9,12,13 655:17 660:24 661:17 664:17 687:2 690:13,17,20 694:24 695:22 698:18,19 700:4,22 702:10 704:6,8,14 704:17 705:2,2 706:5 708:12 710:8 711:12,14 staffing (4) 604:4 611:9 634:19 635:21 staffs (8) 597:22 602:5 604:9 607:22 616:23 694:19 699:14 709:13 stage (2) 620:9 687:21 stamps (1) 609:18 standalone (1) 680:7 standards (4) 607:8 612:16 613:2 618:16 standing (1) 684:10 standpoint (2) 621:21 706:17 start (10) 600:9 607:11 617:22 626:15 628:2 647:13 656:17 690:1 713:18 714:13 started (1) 692:5 starting (2) 687:20 700:14 starts (1) 642:15 state (2) 642:3 711:6 stated (1) 625:13 statement (6) 629:24 630:24 636:1 637:1 640:20 667:16</p>	<p>states (1) 642:22 stay (2) 622:13 623:18 staying (1) 673:14 stealing (1) 714:3 stenographic (1) 592:24 stereotype (1) 716:6 step (4) 606:21 628:7 642:7 699:5 stepfunction (1) 656:20 steps (1) 620:19 stock (1) 669:7 stop (1) 608:21 stoplights (1) 615:16 store (1) 653:22 stores (1) 652:21 stormwater (7) 657:6 669:23 669:25 670:3,14,15 674:8 straight (1) 652:24 stream (5) 636:14,19 663:5 665:10 687:25 streamlined (2) 606:5 629:23 streams (2) 665:4 700:2 street (8) 593:10 609:6 611:8 614:2 682:22 713:15,17,19 streets (2) 707:19,20 streetsweeping (1) 609:6 strength (1) 657:16 strive (1) 671:23 strong (1) 641:12 strongly (2) 645:13 699:7 structure (5) 630:25 693:6,15 699:8 709:6 structures (1) 606:1 struggling (1) 632:7 studied (1) 645:21 study (2) 595:4 600:19 subject (9) 601:11,18 617:7 625:3 669:16 693:14,17 706:12 707:8 subjected (1) 671:13 subjects (2) 647:12 706:1 submission (1) 602:20 submittal (3) 599:3 626:22,24 submitted (5) 603:7 605:12 700:19 705:9 709:17 submitting (1) 681:6 subsequent (2) 625:18 646:20 subsidiess (1) 679:14 subsidy (4) 678:2,6,10,12 substantial (2) 613:11 635:17 successful (2) 614:11 625:23 suddenly (1) 630:18 sufficient (1) 618:2 sufficiently (1) 625:23 suggest (3) 646:9 713:9 714:14 suggested (2) 611:12 630:5 suggesting (5) 606:4,5 621:19 633:14 714:12 summarize (1) 694:18 summary (9) 595:17 606:16 612:19 627:18 628:8 647:20 697:11 699:25 703:1 sunset (5) 638:8 704:22 705:4 705:15,19 supervisors (3) 644:12 713:3 713:5 support (3) 611:10 629:2</p>
--	--	---	---	---

<p>646:14 sure (30) 609:1 610:11 620:4 621:25 629:19 630:7 631:10 640:2 646:8,24 652:4 657:7 658:10 670:6 685:15,18 686:8 687:22 689:15 694:11 698:15 699:13 701:17 705:22 711:24,25 712:12,18 713:22 714:17 surfaces (2) 646:25 658:7 survey (4) 598:9,14,16 599:4 suspect (1) 681:4 sustainable (1) 657:9 swear (1) 711:5 sweeping (2) 609:6 611:9 sworn (6) 607:14 618:23 640:13 647:15 686:24 711:2 system (10) 625:2 639:9 655:11 669:8 671:2 672:8 673:24 675:12 687:6,20 systems (7) 658:7,8,9,9 659:10 674:18 688:10</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">T</p> <p>table (5) 597:9,20 599:21 639:1 705:10 tag (10) 623:7,12,15 624:2,13 624:16,17 690:5 695:7 703:22 tagging (1) 625:2 tags (10) 621:6,8,15,23 622:20 623:20 624:8,10,20 626:3 take (25) 598:3 617:9 618:14 620:11 624:17 629:6 630:5 646:24 655:15 660:25 661:2,11,16 662:24,25 665:23 679:5,22 680:20 681:7 682:23 685:8 690:24 694:19 699:5 taken (3) 661:14 664:24 716:6 takes (2) 609:15 653:16 talk (6) 612:12 628:23 629:17 655:22 696:12 707:7 talked (5) 649:8 650:5 654:4 655:21 714:4 talking (9) 600:9 611:19 625:8 635:16 656:2 666:3 675:10 676:3 697:14 talks (2) 690:13 705:3 tandem (1) 617:10 targets (2) 612:14 639:16 tax (1) 642:19 taxing (1) 713:8 taxpayer (1) 712:19 teamsters (1) 638:14 techniques (1) 688:13 technology (3) 616:10 635:1 673:23 telephone (2) 608:15 615:21 tell (11) 627:25 643:15 649:9 691:5 695:16 706:4 712:19 713:2,14,18 714:8 telling (1) 677:12 template (2) 702:22 709:15 ten (1) 623:17</p>	<p>tends (1) 654:2 term (1) 655:1 terms (25) 604:3 608:11 613:21 615:2 632:4 635:10 644:11 651:8 652:11,16 654:16 655:7 657:16 659:13 660:22 662:19 666:25 670:14 674:11 675:22 689:12 693:14 700:8 709:1,5 tested (1) 623:21 testified (17) 607:14 618:23 640:13 647:15,24 655:16 658:15 663:3 667:8 677:6 677:10,18 680:2 683:10,12 685:8 686:24 testify (2) 647:12 684:21 testimony (14) 594:4 597:22 597:24 598:3 619:14 641:17 648:9 650:3 654:18 664:6 680:15,17 681:11 706:8 testing (1) 619:17 tests (1) 706:11 thank (52) 599:6,7 600:5 606:17 607:1 618:18,19 626:5,7 628:3,5 629:10 631:20 635:24 636:20 640:4,4 641:25 642:18 643:20 645:4,25 646:1 647:2,4,10 660:1,11,17,19 661:10 663:22 671:18 677:3 682:2 684:17 685:11 689:20 698:17 701:20 705:22 708:10 710:8,14 711:8,12 712:8,14 714:20 714:25 715:1,8 thats (94) 606:16 609:11 610:12 611:3,19,21 613:23 622:25 623:21 624:22 625:4,5 627:8,20 631:18 633:13,13 634:22,23 637:13 642:5,16 646:7 647:2,23,25 648:3 649:20 650:8,17,22 653:8,14,18 654:7 656:12 658:6,23 665:19 671:24 674:6 675:7 676:17 677:22,24 679:21 679:25,25 682:2 683:3,17 683:23 685:7,17 686:13 688:18,18,20 689:14 690:16 691:3,7 692:12 693:3,3 694:1,4,24 696:24 697:24,24,25 699:18,23 700:9,16,24 701:3,9 703:2 703:4,5 705:13,17 706:8,15 707:16 708:1,7,10 709:10 711:24 714:6,21 theoretically (1) 656:6 theory (1) 709:19 thereof (1) 602:1 theres (50) 602:11 616:11,20 617:21 624:14 628:10 630:24 631:10,23 633:1,2 633:16 634:8 635:25 637:2 640:21 642:3,24 643:22 645:22 646:6 648:12 651:1 653:7 654:20 661:4 670:1,4 672:19 673:1 675:25 676:9</p>	<p>676:10,19 678:20 688:8 689:5 694:7 695:12 700:23 703:3 706:25 707:12,13 708:24,25 709:5,7 714:2 715:2 theyll (1) 706:17 theyre (15) 608:18,20 609:2 612:21 618:6 621:22 635:17,18 639:18 669:16 706:14 708:6 710:3 713:1,2 thing (7) 608:9 609:11 613:14 632:22 639:14 705:6 711:21 things (11) 604:23 614:1 629:13 630:21 634:4 637:3 687:7 704:8 711:20 712:25 714:11 think (76) 609:24 610:3 613:7 613:9,21,23,25 614:24 612:11 614:6 617:2 619:20 620:21 621:15 622:15 623:13 624:10 625:13 629:2,5,9,19 630:17 633:8 633:11 634:19 636:22 637:20 639:3,4,15,16 641:11,11,17,22 642:10,12 642:18,22 643:17 644:13 644:21 646:7,11,19 647:2,5 650:4 658:17 667:7 672:16 675:19,24 680:14 682:3 683:13 687:17 688:11,18 694:21 695:12,23 696:13 701:8 705:22,24 707:11,12 707:25 708:12 710:22 711:15 712:9 713:8,16 thinking (2) 620:5 622:7 third (8) 621:7 634:15 639:6 697:11 699:24,25 700:1 701:4 thomas (2) 593:12,13 thorns (1) 713:20 thought (7) 619:21 620:1 625:17 633:3 636:17 667:9 705:23 thoughts (1) 623:4 thousand (1) 667:2 three (31) 593:20 603:22 605:19 613:4 614:10,15 619:7,20 620:14,22 622:1,6 622:9 624:5,6,24 625:12,22 646:25 647:11 648:1 696:9 696:13 697:1,8 698:24 700:1 706:3,21 707:1 712:21 threeandahalf (1) 641:3 threepage (2) 696:7 708:15 threeyear (3) 621:18 706:15 707:5 threshold (2) 656:17 657:22 throw (2) 624:4 707:19 thrown (1) 622:23 tie (3) 698:7,9 714:24 tied (1) 622:18 tier (2) 641:18,19 ties (2) 698:10,10 till (3) 618:5 622:14 715:5 time (47) 600:11 603:20 605:8 606:8 609:15,18 610:6,21,24 611:4 613:19</p>	<p>615:25 616:1 617:9,12,13 620:16 622:2 628:1 629:8 630:4,7,10 634:10 639:22 642:6 645:3,3 646:25 647:3 649:9 655:14,20 660:23 661:1 669:14 670:2 686:12 688:4 689:13 702:9,20 706:20 710:22,24 714:25 715:4 times (6) 609:3 610:16 616:21 617:17 693:1 708:6 tip (10) 603:8 640:8 650:10 650:12 653:7,12 662:20 663:6 665:9 672:12 tipping (1) 604:3 title (2) 598:7,17 today (12) 597:8,8 599:10,23 611:19 615:18 661:6 671:14 690:18 693:4 712:1 712:17 told (2) 683:18 689:5 tom (4) 600:10 628:13,17 664:4 tomorrow (1) 669:24 ton (35) 603:9,9 648:17 649:7 650:20 654:8 655:25,25 656:5,9 662:15,15,16,16,21 663:12 665:9 666:6,9,19,20 667:11 677:7,12,14 678:16 678:24 679:20 680:21 681:8,9 682:17,24 684:23 684:24 tonnage (16) 613:11 636:9 666:18 673:12,18 680:10 697:12,16,20,25 698:13,24 708:16 709:8,9,24 tonnages (3) 700:8 710:3,5 tons (24) 614:10 636:1,5 649:19 650:21 656:4,23,24 656:24 660:6 663:16 665:2 666:11 667:2 677:20 678:15,18 679:2,9 680:25 681:1 699:3,3,17 top (1) 692:11 topic (1) 634:1 total (5) 601:24 606:3 631:25 632:6 705:13 touch (1) 686:9 towers (1) 601:17 track (3) 646:16,23 688:21 tracking (1) 629:20 traction (1) 687:18 trailers (1) 653:4 train (2) 675:8 687:14 trained (1) 658:11 training (2) 651:13 686:9 transcribed (1) 716:7 transcribing (1) 597:25 transcript (1) 716:8 transferred (1) 712:23 transfertype (1) 653:1 transition (1) 595:8 transitional (1) 601:17 transport (2) 653:3,4 transportation (1) 605:20 trash (4) 698:1 699:1,2,3 treatment (5) 658:8,13 670:15 674:8 706:24 tree (1) 713:17</p>	<p>trees (2) 713:16,19 tremendous (1) 672:20 trends (3) 616:13 632:19 673:15 tried (1) 666:23 trigger (1) 629:23 triggered (2) 608:14,16 trommel (2) 668:15 669:11 trommeled (1) 668:9 truck (9) 609:2,3,5,6 611:10 634:23 638:11,15 639:10 trucks (9) 612:6 613:17,24 617:5,8,9 621:5 635:1,14 true (6) 656:3 667:11 683:16 685:7 696:24 716:8 trust (1) 712:25 try (5) 629:16 687:5,6,15 688:10 trying (6) 623:19 651:23 667:7 670:17 688:12 714:21 tune (1) 687:21 tunnel (2) 645:20,22 turn (2) 674:12 679:23 turnaround (1) 612:1 turning (1) 674:15 turns (2) 618:9 696:5 twice (1) 617:5 two (36) 597:21 599:9 604:18 605:4,21,21 607:3,9 616:25 619:21 620:3,10,14,22 622:24 624:6 626:14 630:2 630:4 633:19 636:18 645:18 665:5 667:22 674:18,24 691:11,17,21 696:19,22 697:1 699:19,25 705:14,18 two dimensional (1) 676:14 twoorthreetoone (1) 672:6 two sheet (1) 708:15 two thirds (2) 673:4,6 type (7) 645:18 651:11 654:9 654:13 656:16,20 665:23 typewriting (1) 716:7 typically (2) 652:3 654:5</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">U</p> <p>uhhuh (1) 669:20 ultimately (9) 620:7 643:23 668:11 669:6,9 687:23 693:6,18 702:4 uncertainty (3) 615:5 707:12 707:21 uncharted (1) 614:18 unclear (1) 693:14 underlying (2) 625:21 667:13 understand (17) 615:5 621:20,24 622:3 629:4,8 632:11 637:4 642:25 646:4 646:23 664:6 684:21 688:24 700:5 712:18 713:11 understanding (7) 602:25 612:2,3 640:21 646:14 662:18 666:17 understands (1) 712:1 understood (1) 629:19 underway (1) 619:15 unfortunately (3) 689:4</p>
--	--	--	---	--

<p>707:17 708:5 unit (2) 692:21,24 units (18) 596:3 604:13 690:19 691:7,24 692:1,8,14 692:16,18,19,24 693:5 694:23 697:9,17,19,24 unknowns (1) 606:1 unreasonable (1) 624:15 unrequired (1) 672:21 untested (1) 618:8 updates (1) 627:11 updating (1) 627:14 upstream (1) 687:14 upward (1) 681:12 use (14) 615:6 616:12 624:9 624:21 625:17,25 643:22 646:15 665:8 687:5,20 692:22 693:11 699:14 useful (1) 625:2 useful (1) 626:13 users (1) 640:21 uses (1) 695:19 utilizes (1) 653:20</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">V</p> <p>variable (1) 667:18 variations (1) 656:7 various (3) 651:19 666:11 699:18 vast (1) 656:25 vectors (1) 657:18 vegetables (1) 652:1 vehicles (4) 635:9,17 642:2,4 versus (9) 620:22 637:23 653:9 655:8 659:12 665:14 667:18 684:5 706:4 vi (2) 598:7,17 viability (1) 681:15 view (3) 622:15 625:6 626:2 views (1) 607:22 vis (2) 617:17,17 visceral (2) 714:9,10 visible (1) 712:2 visual (1) 676:14 vital (1) 712:1 volatile (2) 670:23 671:4 volume (31) 592:10 612:4,4 613:3 614:9,17,17 616:7,8 651:20 654:22 655:13 657:17 658:25 659:17 660:4 663:14 665:24 666:1 666:7,8,9,10,14,24 667:10 670:12 681:14 682:14,21 volumes (7) 658:1 666:19,21 666:23 671:12 682:16,25 volumetoprice (1) 667:5 voluntary (2) 598:14 599:4 vs (1) 595:19</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">W</p> <p>wait (4) 615:21 616:1 618:5 701:2 waitandsee (1) 618:15 waiting (1) 616:17 walk (2) 628:24 691:4 want (29) 598:1 600:10,11 607:6 610:11 612:11 619:3 628:1 629:19 642:19</p>	<p>645:13 653:6 698:16 701:17 708:12 709:16 711:12,18,24,25 712:12,14 712:14,18 713:1,9,22 714:15,20 wanted (7) 629:1 648:10 655:19 671:20 682:23 699:20 701:23 wants (2) 619:25 620:1 warehouses (1) 652:21 wasnt (5) 633:22 636:11 658:18 694:12 711:21 waste (136) 595:21 605:4 609:9 613:19 616:6 645:5 648:5,16 649:7,11,23,25 650:2,9,15,22 652:21 653:2 653:16 654:24,24 656:19 656:23,25 657:1,11,14,15 657:20,22,25,25 658:2,4,12 658:12 659:1,12,12,15,24 663:2,4,21 665:4,9,11,11 665:12,14,14,14,15,17,18 666:2,6,18,24 667:2,9,14 667:18,21,23,23,24,25,25 668:2,5,6,11,12,14,19,25 669:1,4,24 670:8,9,13,20 671:5,10,15,21,22 672:21 672:22 675:3,3,6 676:21,22 677:8,23 678:3,3,10,16,25 679:5,11,13,14,20,23 680:6 680:9,14,16,21 681:7,8,17 681:20,23 682:15,22,23 683:1,5,14,14,19,23 684:1 684:2 685:6 687:9 712:22 713:24 714:16,18 wastes (3) 669:22 671:9 675:1 waters (1) 614:18 watson (1) 601:17 way (20) 616:12 619:16 629:21 642:23,25 643:11 643:12 654:6 666:8 669:5 674:19 678:12,13 679:3 687:6,23 688:10 693:19 694:1 705:17 ways (3) 617:8 620:24 677:20 website (3) 627:11 628:10 712:3 wed (4) 607:3 625:25 630:3 681:18 wednesday (9) 599:15 660:21 661:5,8 695:15 708:13 712:17 714:25 715:5 weeds (1) 621:19 week (1) 623:25 weekdays (1) 611:24 weekend (2) 608:3,5 weekends (1) 611:25 weight (2) 595:11 676:15 welcome (1) 642:1 welfare (4) 601:19 604:21 636:21 646:12 wellthoughtout (1) 625:16 went (8) 636:16 673:17 681:2 681:8 692:15 704:11,12 705:8 west (1) 605:9 weve (11) 602:18 620:17 631:11,15 644:20 645:16 689:2,5 701:18 708:22</p>	<p>714:6 whats (6) 614:14 635:10 644:7 646:23 652:16 701:10 whereof (1) 716:9 white (4) 593:19 608:8 670:11 671:11 whiz (1) 714:3 willing (2) 619:24 689:16 wind (1) 674:14 windrows (4) 673:1 674:13 674:21 684:8 wing (1) 605:9 wish (1) 696:19 wishing (2) 599:23 710:23 witness (4) 594:5 660:18 686:22 716:9 witnesses (2) 606:24 647:11 won (1) 649:17 wondered (1) 642:24 wondering (5) 631:6 634:21 642:6 643:8,25 wont (2) 624:10 713:3 word (1) 712:7 words (3) 625:20 654:24 665:7 work (15) 607:22 613:22 616:19 617:13 618:3,4 631:15 687:3,4,13,14 689:5 689:14 691:2 708:23 worker (2) 651:23,24 workers (1) 646:12 working (3) 687:10,11 688:11 works (8) 592:1 593:2 595:17 597:6 608:12 618:15 661:13 680:1 workshop (2) 602:24 603:16 worth (2) 613:21 686:14 worthwhile (2) 623:5 636:17 wouldnt (13) 623:15 624:7 657:1,2,19 667:4 671:12 676:9 678:12 681:1 683:2 688:23 707:2 wrapped (1) 652:23 writing (3) 677:25 678:9 696:25 wrong (1) 616:13 wuerfel (3) 593:23 711:10,11</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">X</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">Y</p> <p>yamamoto (18) 594:12 647:13,14,24 649:1 660:3 661:20 662:11,18 664:3 671:18 682:6,10 684:22 685:16 686:1,8,17 yeah (6) 658:1 678:24 682:18 682:19 684:12 689:2 year (50) 597:13 604:13 610:10 611:3,7 616:24 617:16,18 621:22 622:23 623:18 625:9 632:5,9,11,14 632:15 635:4 643:19 656:23,24,24 658:24,24 660:6 662:15,16,16,17,17 662:21 673:9,9 690:15,20 694:10,11,16 702:19 703:6 703:11,16 706:18 707:4</p>	<p>709:20,20,24,24 710:4,16 years (51) 605:19,22 609:22 610:2,9 613:5 614:11,15 615:24,25,25 617:1,19 619:8,8 622:1,6,9,15,22 623:14,16,17 624:5,6,7,9 624:11,12,21,25 625:8,12 625:18,22 630:2,4 631:12 640:1 646:25 662:5 674:9 703:13,17 706:4,4,22 707:1 707:5 709:19 710:3 youd (1) 606:23 youll (1) 629:20 youre (30) 625:8 642:1 643:1 643:7 653:15 661:6 667:17 674:1,2,21 679:6,12,13 680:2 681:3,6 685:3 688:3 688:25 702:21,24 709:16 709:25 712:5,21,24 713:18 713:21 714:10,16 youve (7) 677:25 680:2 685:22 699:1,2 709:14 712:5</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">Z</p> <p>zero (7) 605:4 645:5 649:19 687:9 713:24 714:16,17 zerowaste (1) 605:5 zone (1) 608:4</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">0</p> <p>00 (1) 715:5 000 (9) 610:9 656:24,24 660:6 694:8 701:14 702:3 703:10 703:11 04 (1) 597:1 055 (1) 702:4</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">1</p> <p>1 (25) 592:6 593:5,14 602:4 605:7 617:20 631:3,5,7,11 632:12,12 633:16 656:24 696:14 698:7,10 699:15 700:4 703:12 705:10,10 706:18 709:19 715:5 10 (5) 597:18 656:24 661:12 661:14 662:17 10percent (2) 634:19 635:10 11 (8) 593:10 600:21 602:10 602:21 661:12,15 662:17 662:21 1169 (1) 691:21 12 (5) 661:15 693:9 694:5,7 715:11 127 (2) 704:22 705:4 12percent (1) 634:19 13 (3) 595:5 635:4 648:12 14 (7) 617:18 692:20 700:23 701:1,7 702:2 703:6 1401 (1) 691:21 148 (2) 660:6,10 14th (1) 597:13 15 (3) 709:20,24 710:3 150 (4) 603:9 693:10,23 694:8 151 (1) 705:11 157 (2) 701:13,16 159 (1) 603:8 15minute (2) 661:2,12</p>	<p>16 (6) 616:24 692:24 703:17 709:20,24 710:3 16gallon (1) 692:18 17 (5) 610:3,9,10 640:24 705:14 17th (1) 716:10 18 (8) 648:21 649:6 654:8 659:21 662:23 663:12 672:13 677:6 18perton (1) 663:6 19 (5) 610:9 700:23 701:1,7 702:2 190 (2) 694:15,22 1932 (2) 630:11 637:5 1964 (1) 598:18 1st (1) 691:13</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">2</p> <p>2 (15) 595:5 605:10 632:12 658:23,24 690:19 691:25 692:5 696:14 699:4,21,22 701:14 707:5 709:19 20 (2) 592:9 597:1 200 (1) 656:23 2006 (3) 595:23 662:12,19 2008 (2) 595:16 710:16 2009 (1) 595:16 2011 (1) 710:17 2012 (4) 595:25 602:21 690:6 691:14 2013 (9) 592:3,9 595:3,17 597:1 600:21 602:4,10 716:10 2014 (3) 617:20 703:11,16 2015 (1) 703:17 2016 (2) 617:16 630:2 20month (1) 617:2 20th (1) 597:8 21 (5) 603:12 693:18,19 700:22 702:3 22nd (1) 715:5 23 (1) 603:11 234 (1) 593:13 25 (1) 705:14 25percent (1) 632:8 25th (1) 691:14 27 (2) 693:2,8</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">3</p> <p>3 (11) 595:22 632:10,12 640:25 696:14 700:24 701:11,13,16 702:3 707:5 30 (1) 662:6 311 (12) 608:4,15,17 609:14 609:17 611:25 615:6,14,15 615:22,25 616:7 32 (3) 630:21 692:21 705:20 329 (1) 705:12 32gallon (1) 692:23 348 (2) 593:5 705:20 36 (2) 704:21 705:3 37 (2) 660:5 662:15 38 (1) 701:2 39 (5) 619:10,13 621:2 662:15 705:12</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">4</p> <p>4 (9) 631:3,5,7,11 634:18</p>
--	---	---	--	--

<p>699:15 700:4,18 702:4 40 (2) 621:15 705:10 400 (2) 692:20 715:6 408 (1) 592:6 41 (2) 662:16 715:11 415 (3) 592:25 593:6,21 43 (1) 662:16 45 (24) 641:7,19,24 648:17 650:20 659:21 662:17,21 663:9,10 665:18,22 666:6 666:19 677:12 678:16,24 679:20 680:21 681:7,9 682:17,24 684:23 450 (2) 692:22,23 45aton (2) 680:13,15 45perton (1) 640:19 45to (1) 667:9 46 (2) 650:13,14 4698867 (1) 592:25 4713143 (1) 593:21 48 (1) 610:22 484 (2) 704:22 705:4 48hour (1) 611:1 49 (10) 648:21 649:6 654:8 659:21 662:23 663:6,12 664:7 672:13 677:6 4percent (1) 703:12</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">5</p> <p>5 (5) 592:10 603:12 640:25 650:5 658:23 50 (13) 624:14 632:6,13,14,25 633:3,7,8 641:19 665:22 666:6,19 677:12 50aton (1) 667:9 50plus (1) 665:18 51 (4) 693:18,19 700:22 702:3 54 (4) 661:14 695:24,25 700:14 55 (2) 650:13,14 5546920 (1) 593:6 560 (1) 693:9 57 (3) 647:20,24 648:5 571 (2) 700:18 702:4 592715 (1) 592:11</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">6</p> <p>6 (4) 595:5 634:18 650:5 705:21 60 (2) 616:1 663:11 600 (1) 594:3 602 (9) 595:3,4,5,7,8,9,10,11 595:13 607 (1) 594:6 618 (1) 594:8 626 (1) 594:9 628 (1) 595:17 629 (1) 594:7 630 (1) 660:10 633 (2) 694:15,22 636 (1) 594:11 637 (1) 595:19 64 (2) 695:19,23 640 (1) 594:10 647 (1) 594:12 65 (3) 595:3 600:15 602:17 659 (1) 595:20 66 (2) 595:4 600:19 661 (1) 595:22</p>	<p>664 (1) 594:13 67 (6) 595:5 600:24 690:18 691:8 692:2 694:24 671 (1) 594:14 68 (2) 595:7 601:6 681 (1) 594:12 684 (1) 594:13 685 (1) 594:15 688 (1) 594:16 689 (1) 595:24 69 (4) 595:8 601:16 704:16 705:24 694 (1) 596:1 696 (1) 596:2</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">7</p> <p>7 (1) 662:15 70 (4) 595:9 601:21 663:12 705:24 703 (1) 596:4 704 (1) 594:17 709 (1) 595:14 71 (2) 595:10 602:2 714 (2) 693:10,23 72 (3) 595:11 602:5 671:19 73 (3) 595:13 602:7,17 7355 (2) 638:11 639:7 738 (2) 703:10,11 74 (7) 595:14 602:11 628:13 628:16 710:11,18,21 75 (7) 595:17 603:11 612:23 628:14,16,21 705:14 76 (4) 595:19 637:21 638:1,4 77 (6) 595:20 648:24 649:1 660:2,13,16 78 (3) 595:22 662:4,9 79 (8) 595:24 690:2,4,9 691:2 691:4,12 697:23 7th (1) 593:20</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">8</p> <p>8 (2) 633:16 662:16 80 (13) 596:1 695:6,11,17,18 696:19 698:3 700:10,12,16 702:21 709:12 710:1 80s (1) 700:11 81 (14) 596:2 650:20 696:7,16 696:23 697:2,6,8,15 698:17 699:19,22,24 708:15 82 (7) 596:4 703:19,21,25 704:3,4 705:14 822 (2) 700:24 701:11 824 (3) 701:13,16 702:3 83 (3) 640:23 641:4 648:15 847 (3) 690:19 691:25 692:5 85 (1) 610:22 853 (1) 638:14 860 (1) 650:21</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">9</p> <p>9 (2) 597:1 662:16 90 (1) 610:22 900 (6) 692:4,7,13,18,24 697:24 905 (2) 704:21 705:3 90day (1) 602:21 91 (2) 693:2,8 924 (2) 700:24 701:11</p>	<p>930 (1) 660:5 94102 (2) 592:7 593:10 941024645 (1) 593:6 941024682 (1) 593:14 941114024 (1) 593:20 96 (1) 636:16 98 (1) 705:11 981 (1) 705:21</p>		
---	---	---	--	--